

From: [Glenys Hendrickson](#)
To: [Mailroom Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission - Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan (attached)
Date: Friday, 1 May 2015 3:29:02 p.m.
Attachments: [SC652 C652015050116220.pdf](#)

From: KonicaMFD
Sent: Friday, 1 May 2015 4:22 p.m.
To: Glenys Hendrickson
Subject: Message from CHC-001-GF-MIN-C652-A3C



FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

Submitter ID:

File No:

Submission on the Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan

Form 5: Submissions on a Publicly Notified Proposed Policy Statement or Regional Plan under Clause 6 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991

Return your signed submission by 5.00pm, Friday 1 May 2015 to:

Freeport 1201
Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan.
Environment Canterbury
P O Box 345
Christchurch 8140

A
 Full Name: Glenys Hendricksen Phone (Hm): 03 315 8205
 Organisation*: — Phone (Wk): —
* the organisation that this submission is made on behalf of
 Postal Address: Box 56 Phone (Cell): 0274 307459
Culverden Postcode: 7345
 Email: — Fax: —
 Contact name and postal address for service of person making submission (if different from above):

Trade Competition

Pursuant to Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991, a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission may make a submission only if directly affected by an effect of the proposed policy statement or plan that:

- a) adversely affects the environment; and
- b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

Please tick the sentence that applies to you:

- I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission; or
 I could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission. *If you have ticked this box please select one of the following:*
- I am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission
 - I am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission

Signature: Glenys Hendricksen Date: 30/4/15

(Signature of person making submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making the submission)

Please note:

(1) all information contained in a submission under the Resource Management Act 1991, including names and addresses for service, becomes public information.

B
 I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission; or
 I do wish to be heard in support of my submission; and if so,
 I would be prepared to consider presenting your submission in a joint case with others making a similar submission at any hearing

Submission to Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan

I wish to support Option 3 : Encourage the installation of ultra-low emission wood burners region-wide, apply a 'no visible smoke rule' inside and outside of polluted air sheds, continue to require CRC approved wood burners to be installed on sites less than 2 ha & provide for the burning of solid fuel in heritage buildings inside clean air zones.

I wish to support option 3 (page 4 – 54) and in particular the provision requiring operation of domestic solid fuel burners that minimises the discharge of smoke into air (no visible smoke rule) and a region-wide campaign on “Better Burning” practices.

Having seen the stress imposed on a young mother who was unable to let her pre-schoolers outside to play in their yard day after day because of excessive smoke from the neighbours chimney billowing down on them it is a relief to know there will be some way of dealing with this situation if needed in the future. This was a regular occurrence from this particular neighbour and despite the best efforts on the ECAN Field Officer the practice did not stop until these people left.

This situation happened in a remote urban village in North Canterbury so it is important that any plan would include these outlying areas.

The “Better Burning” campaign should effectively point out to people that wood smoke is harmful, especially to young children and that it is not OK to pump excessive smoke into neighbouring properties, burn damp or wet firewood that has not been shed stored, shut fires down to conserve firewood and let them smoulder for hours or to burn rubbish, plastics etc as these are even more toxic than wood smoke.

Education is the key and I look forward to seeing a Better Burning campaign in the media (including smaller newspapers and local newsletter publications throughout Canterbury).

Glenys Hendrickson

