To Whom it may concern,

Please find enclosed the attachments regarding Proposed Canterbury Air Regional plan.

Regards
Ross Stackhouse
Submission on the Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan

Form 5: Submissions on a Publicly Notified Proposed Policy Statement or Regional Plan under Clause 6 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991

Return your signed submission by 5.00pm, Friday 1 May 2015 to:

Freepost 1201
Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan.
Environment Canterbury
P O Box 345
Christchurch 8140

| A | Full Name: Ross William Stockhouse | Phone (Hm): 03 3688677 |
| Organisation*: P W Stockhouse | Phone (Wk): |
| Postal Address: 22b Frasers Road | Phone (Cell): 0274368248 |
| 8.20 Ashburton | Postcode: 7348 |
| Email: rossandwich@hotmail.co.nz | Fax: |

Contact name and postal address for service of person making submission (if different from above):

---

**Trade Competition**

Pursuant to Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991, a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission may make a submission only if directly affected by an effect of the proposed policy statement or plan that:

- adversely affects the environment; and
- does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

Please tick the sentence that applies to you:

☐ I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission; or

☒ I could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission. If you have ticked this box please select one of the following:

☐ I am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission

☐ I am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission

Signature: [Signature] Date: 30-4-15

(Signature of person making submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making the submission)

Please note:

1. All information contained in a submission under the Resource Management Act 1991, including names and addresses for service, becomes public information.

| B | I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission; or |
| I do wish to be heard in support of my submission; and if so, |
| ☒ I would be prepared to consider presenting your submission in a joint case with others making a similar submission at any hearing |
| Section 4, Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan, page 24.24 | Oppose proposed Ashburton Not to have crop residue burning buffer area. Oppose because buffer taken in large area of cropping farms. This area taking in wind direction would be no advantage as it comes down to wind direction. Not burning puts our small seed export in jeopardy because these would be higher. First of railway line burn need for weed control would be higher. West of railway line burn would mean more spraying. Town will not be affected. | To be able to burn crop residue in rural areas. |

Add further pages as required.