

From: [Karen McKenzie](#)
To: [Mailroom Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission on Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
Date: Friday, 1 May 2015 9:52:00 a.m.
Attachments: [Signed Submission.pdf](#)

Attached

Regards

Karen McKenzie

Legal Assistant

MNZILE



RSM LAW Ltd | 17 Strathallan St | P.O.Box 557 | Timaru 7940 |
Ph: (03) 687 9777 | Fax: (03) 687 9797 | www.rsmlaw.co.nz

The information contained in this email is CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION, and may also be LEGALLY PRIVILEGED, intended only for the addressee named above. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any use, review, dissemination, distribution or copying of this document is strictly prohibited. If you have received this document in error, please immediately notify us by telephone on (03) 687-9777 and delete the original message

SUBMISSION ON PROPOSED CANTERBURY AIR REGIONAL PLAN

To: Environment Canterbury
E-mail: mailroom@ecan.govt.nz

1. This Submission is made by Michael Sugrue of 1139 Pareora River Road, R D 2, Timaru 7970.
2. I have been a regular complainant to Ecan about foul smells emanating from dairy farms adjacent to my property owned by Scotts Plateau Limited and Fernwood Dairies Limited. In addition to other nuisances created by flooding over my boundaries from irrigation water and from flashing lights at all hours on irrigation plant, there have been intolerable odours from these dairying operations.
3. These odours result from animal effluent storage ponds and from the spraying of slurry effluent on to land adjacent to my property.
4. I believe the relevant Rules in the new plan relating to these matters are 7.68 and 7.69.
5. Based on my experience to date with Ecan, I am seeking to ensure several things and my Submission requests these as follows:
 - (a) I consider the criteria in Rule 7.68.1 "*the discharge does not have a noxious or dangerous effect*" should be clarified and expanded and ideally be more objectively expressed.
 - (b) I want to ensure that these new Rules do not simply apply to future applications, but are also applicable on any review of existing consents and uses. I submit there should be an express provision in the Rules to

that effect. This would mean that in due course all dairy farms would be treated equally.

- (c) Because the Consents were granted to my neighbours without any reference to me, I submit there should be a provision in Rule 7.69 ensuring that adjoining owners and others likely to be adversely affected be served with the Application and then have an opportunity to comment.

DATED this 30th day of APRIL 2015



.....
Michael SUGRUE