From:
 Jason McDonald

 To:
 Mailroom Mailbox

 Cc:
 Sarah Drummond

Subject: FW: Environment Canterbury Submission

Date: Tuesday, 28 April 2015 8:30:17 a.m.

Attachments: Environment Canterbury Air Plan Submission 5.4.pdf
Environment Canterbury Air Plan 5.8.pdf

Environment Canterbury Air Plan 5.8.pdf Environment Canterbury Air Plan 6.7.pdf Environment Canterbury Table 8.2.1.pdf

Hi Records Team and Sarah.

pCARP submissions below.

Sarah this is the one where they were having trouble with objective on Friday FYI.

Cheers.

From: Sue Prattley [mailto:hewan.grazing@gmail.com]

Sent: Friday, 24 April 2015 4:30 p.m.

To: Jason McDonald

Subject: FW: Environment Canterbury Submission

Hello Jason,

Please find attached PDF copies of the submissions we have tried to make for each point we find relevant within the Proposed Canterbury Regional Air Plan.

We have decided to forward these copies to you to ensure they are submitted on time.

Regards

Fiona Ward and Sue Prattley



Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan (28/02/15 to 1/05/15)

Submission by Mrs Susan Prattley

Submission ID pCARP-

Response Date 24/04/15 3:53 PM

Consultation Point 5.4 Paragraph (View)

Status Draft

Submission Type Web

Version 0.1

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made

My submission is that: . Support

Please state your reasons for supporting/opposing/amendments sought

My reason(s) for supporting, opposing or requesting amendments to this specific provision are:

I support the objective of "5.4 Discharges to air are managed to maintain the amenity values of the receiving environment." as the air quality greatly affects the quality of life for the residents living in the area.

Please give precise details for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand the outcome you are seeking.

I seek the following decisions from Environment Canterbury:

I seek this objective to be maintained as part of the Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan.



Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan (28/02/15 to 1/05/15)

Submission by Mrs Susan Prattley

Submission ID pCARP-

Response Date 24/04/15 4:01 PM

Consultation Point 5.8 Paragraph (View)

Status Draft

Submission Type Web

Version 0.1

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: . Support

Please state your reasons for supporting/opposing/amendments sought

My reason(s) for supporting, opposing or requesting amendments to this specific provision are:

I support " 5.8 It is recognised that air quality expectations throughout the Region differ depending on location and the characteristics of the receiving environment." as the effects of effluent disposal have a greater effect in residential areas, for example, and quality of life or environmental impacts based on the differing characteristics of the surrounding area. This allows for these characteristics to be taken into consideration and also allows for any change in characteristics to be considered.

Please give precise details for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand the outcome you are seeking.

I seek the following decisions from Environment Canterbury:

I am looking for this objective to be maintained/included within the Canterbury Air Regional Plan.



Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan (28/02/15 to 1/05/15)

Submission by Mrs Susan Prattley

Submission ID pCARP-

Response Date 24/04/15 4:14 PM

Consultation Point 6.7 Paragraph (<u>View</u>)

Status Draft

Submission Type Web

Version 0.1

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made

My submission is that: . Support

Please state your reasons for supporting/opposing/amendments sought

My reason(s) for supporting, opposing or requesting amendments to this specific provision are:

I support "6.7 Where, as a result of authorised land use change, land use activities within the neighbourhood of a discharge into air are significantly adversely affected by that discharge, it is anticipated that within a defined time frame the activity giving rise to the discharge will reduce effects or relocate."

As a resident of Clearwater Resort which is an authorised land use change from 10+ years ago, we are significantly adversely affected by a neighbourhood discharge into the air from the effluent dispersal at a local piggery on Dickeys Road. I support the inclusion of this policy as it gives residents of Canterbury an avenue for challenging existing consents that affect air quality both when they are in action and at the time of renewal.

Please give precise details for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand the outcome you are seeking.

I seek the following decisions from Environment Canterbury:

I seek for Environment Canterbury to retain this policy as part of the Canterbury Regional Air Plan.



Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan (28/02/15 to 1/05/15)

Submission by Mrs Susan Prattley

Submission ID pCARP-

Response Date 24/04/15 3:27 PM

Consultation Point Table 8.2.1 Odour Effects Assessment Tools –

Existing Activities (View)

Status Draft

Submission Type Web

Version 0.1

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: . Support

Please state your reasons for supporting/opposing/amendments sought

My reason(s) for supporting, opposing or requesting amendments to this specific provision are:

I support the schedule as it provides an avenue and format for challenging an exisiting consented activity that is affecting air quality for other Canterbury residents. It provides an outline for a plan of action to submit this challenge and what needs to be done to prove the effects it has on residents.

Some of the consents may have been issued and then the <u>approved</u> usage of land surrounding the area changes; for example, changes from semi-rural to residential; making the conditions under which the consent was granted no longer applicable. It also gives residents the avenue to challenge the re-issuing of the consent based on evidence gained as outlined in the schedule.

For example:

I live at East Stream Lane, Clearwater and am very concerned with the Pig Odour that permeates the area from consented effluent dispersal at a nearby piggery on Dickeys Road.

I rang the Environment Canterbury Hotline in 2013 and worked with Sylvia McAslan regarding the piggery odour before handing over the monitoring to the Clearwater Owners Society.

In March this year the odour has been particularly bad and I recorded the following:

March 1	7.30a.m.	still	odour severity	8
March 7	9.30a.m. & 8.30p.m.	norwest		7
March 26	7.30a.m. & 9.00p.m.	still		6
March 29	11.00a.m. thru day	still		9
March 30	30 1.23a.m. was woken by odour, had left two fan light			
windows open, and odour permeated house				10
March 31	8.30a.m.	still		7

April 1 7-8.00a.m. still 7

Understanding that I do not record odour under a 5 and that of course I am not at home all the time the above is a significant amount of odour pollution.

The pig effluent odour is particularly nauseating and certainly curtails our enjoyment of our outdoor environment.

We have a house being built next door to us and I have heard the builders' comment "why would you want to live here, the air is putrid" and our own builders, who of course work outside much of the day, spoke to us about the poor air quality!!

In summary: The pollution caused by the spraying of pig effluent on to paddocks, especially in adverse weather conditions - the worst being still, low cloud/ground fog- really needs to have the conditions of the farmers consent reassessed.

It would be lovely to enjoy our home, be able to leave window open and washing on the line without having to jump up and shut doors and windows and enjoy our visitors.

Please give precise details for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand the outcome you are seeking.

I seek the following decisions from Environment Canterbury:

I wish the schedule with regards to Odour Effects Assessment Tools - Existing Activities to be retained in the Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan as it gives residents the opportunity to challenge an exisiting activity, as the conditions under which the consent was granted change over the course of time.