

From: [shirley truck](#)
To: [Mailroom Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission re Clean Air Plan
Date: Tuesday, 21 April 2015 4:33:00 p.m.
Attachments: [Scan_Jane_008-003.pdf](#)

I have posted hard copy by free mail today please acknowledge receipt of this and note that I desire to be heard at the hearing



FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

Submission on the Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan

Submitter ID:

File No:

Form 5: Submissions on a Publicly Notified Proposed Policy Statement or Regional Plan under Clause 6 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991

Return your signed submission by 5.00pm, Friday 1 May 2015 to:

Freepost 1201
Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan.
Environment Canterbury
P O Box 345
Christchurch 8140

A

Full Name: David Michael LAUNNY Phone (Hm): 03 3427240

Organisation*: _____ Phone (Wk): 0272348119

* the organisation that this submission is made on behalf of

Postal Address: 500 Yaldhurst Road RD6 Phone (Cell): _____

CHRISTCHURCH 7676 Postcode: 7676

Email: walk143@hotmail.com Fax: _____

Contact name and postal address for service of person making submission (if different from above):

Trade Competition

Pursuant to Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991, a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission may make a submission only if directly affected by an effect of the proposed policy statement or plan that:

- a) adversely affects the environment; and
- b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

Please tick the sentence that applies to you:

- I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission; or
- I could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission. **If you have ticked this box please select one of the following:**
 - I am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission
 - I am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission

Signature: [Signature] Date: 21/4/2015

(Signature of person making submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making the submission)

Please note:

(1) all information contained in a submission under the Resource Management Act 1991, including names and addresses for service, becomes public information.

B

- I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission; or
- I do wish to be heard in support of my submission; and if so,
- I would be prepared to consider presenting your submission in a joint case with others making a similar submission at any hearing

C (1) The specific provisions of the proposal that my submission relates to are: (Specify page number and subsection numbering for each separate provision).	(2) My submission is that: (State concisely whether you support or oppose each separate provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made and the reasons for your views.)	(3) I seek the following decisions from Environment Canterbury: (Please give precise details for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand your concerns.)
7.3 odour discharge	odour is aviation fuel smell	
<p>① Discharging into air from industrial and trade premises not allowed unless the NESAP a rule in a regional plan or a resource consent that expressly allows this discharge.</p> <p>To ensure these activities can take place the air plan must provide rules that enable them</p>	<p>Christchurch International Airport CZAL</p> <p>currently carry out on wing engine testing and off wing engine testing. The off wing is carried out in a purpose built building. On wing is carried out anywhere the airport manager deems and is only contained via a 1986 City Council Bylaw with the only restriction being that it cannot take place between 2300 - 0600</p> <p>This on wing testing generates extensive aviation fuel pollution that impacts on people miles away</p>	<p>Rules relating to CZAL engine testing air discharges ie fuel pollution do not seem to exist at all</p> <p>this is a major pollution source and should be enabled by rules aimed at mitigating the adverse impact of that pollution on neighbours if it is to be allowed at all. Note rule 7.4 (4)</p> <p>A purpose built premises for on wing engine testing should be required to mitigate the discharges and noise. I realise noise is a separate issue but the odour pollution is also real and significant</p>
<p>② 6.34</p> <p>on all sites greater than 2ha in areas provide for discharges of contaminants into air from older style, low and ultra low</p>	<p>I support the use of older style fires in Rural 5 zone</p> <p>However it appears much of Rural 5 is now to be clear air area hence it regulates older fires</p>	<p>Rural 5 should be exact lines requiring near low emission fires where over 2 hectares</p>

Add further pages as required.

fires

Rural 5 zone is larger areas of land and discharging from any home fire over land of 2hect or larger is able to be fully contained on site hence should not be restricted at all