Environment Regional Council Kaunihera Taiao ki Waltaha Submission on the Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan	FOR OFFICE USE ONLY FILE REF: AR PLAN IP 630 09 APR 2015 44348 Submitter 1D: B Atdudge. File No:			
Form 5: Submissions on a Publicly Notified Proposed Policy Statement or Regional Plan under Clause 6 of Schedule 1 of th	e Resource Management Act 1991			
Return your signed submission by 5.00pm, Friday 1 May 2015 Freepost 1201 Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan. Environment Canterbury P O Box 345 Christchurch 8140	to:			
Organisation*: * the organisation that this submission is made on behalf of Postal Address: 9 Seffor Strept, Belfast, Christehusch Email: SaveyScripter: 42400. CONZ.	Postcode: <u>805</u> / Fax:			
Contact name and postal address for service of person making submission (if different from above):				
Trade Competition				
 Pursuant to Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991, competition through the submission may make a submission onl policy statement or plan that: a) adversely affects the environment; and b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade 	y if directly affected by an effect of the proposed			
 Please tick the sentence that applies to you: I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through the I could gain an advantage in trade competition through this select one of the following: I am directly affected by an effect of the subject 1 am not directly affected by an effect of the subject 	submission. If you have ticked this box please matter of the submission ect matter of the submission $2\alpha/\alpha = 1$			
Signature: (Signature of person making submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making Please note: (1) all information contained in a submission under the Resource Management Act 1991, inclu				
B ☐ I <u>do not</u> wish to be heard in support of my submission ☐ I <u>do</u> wish to be heard in support of my submission; and I would be prepared to consider presenting your submission at any hearing	d if so,			

	My submission and supporting	I seek the following decisions from
Page and Subsection	reasons	Environment Canterbury
Page and Subsection Page 7-18 Subsection 7.57		
	mitigate the dust by Ecan or the developer.	

Page and Subsection	My submission and supporting reasons	I seek the following decisions from Environment Canterbury
PAGE 7-19 Subsection 7.58	I oppose subsection 7.58 for the following reasons:	All discharge to air from sewerage air release valves that do not meet the requirements of 7.57 should be restricted discretionary activities to the same level and conditions as AQL69 in the previous Canterbury Air Plan.
	 7.58 will allow councils to install sewerage air pressure release valves on privately owned property. 	
	 Home owners have the right to protect their homes and property. 	
	 7.58 takes away the property owners rights to object on location, devaluation of property values, visual impact, potential odour or any other issue. 	
	 7.58 is too biased in favour of councils and not the property owner. 	
	 Discretion on 7.58 is limited to mitigation/remedy methods only and leaves no avenue for any affected parties to object on any other issue, which in effect makes the resource consent a foregone conclusion. 	