

Make Submission

Consultee	Dr Michael Hurrell (58271)
Email Address	mhurrell@xtra.co.nz
Address	121 St James Avenue Papanui Christchurch 8053
Event Name	Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
Submission by	Dr Michael Hurrell
Submission ID	pCARP-38
Response Date	11/03/15 12:12 PM
Consultation Point	13 MANDATORY INFORMATION (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.4
To Be Heard	
Please select the appropriate option from the following:	I DO NOT wish to be heard in support of my submission; or
If so	

Make Submission

Consultee	Dr Michael Hurrell (58271)
Email Address	mhurrell@xtra.co.nz
Address	121 St James Avenue Papanui Christchurch 8053
Event Name	Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
Submission by	Dr Michael Hurrell
Submission ID	pCARP-26
Response Date	7/03/15 5:25 PM
Consultation Point	1 Introduction (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.1
Support Oppose	
Supports in Part or Opposes in Part	

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: Support

Please state your reasons for supporting/opposing/amendments sought

My reason(s) for supporting, opposing or requesting amendments to this specific provision are:

Overall, I strongly support this document -- well done ECAN. However, I have concerns about not using PM2.5 guidelines.

Although respiratory medicine is not my medical area of expertise, I am concerned about the reliance on PM10 rather than PM2.5 (as used by WHO). Either is better than nothing of course, but this deserves further informed debate. Just because PM10 is the NESAQ standard doesn't mean it should necessarily be used. What are the implementation issues with using WHO particulate guidelines? I suspect they would likely cover the NESAQ requirements but target health benefits more explicitly.

NESAQ

Please give precise details for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand the outcome you are seeking.

I seek the following decisions from Environment Canterbury:

I would like air pollution experts and respiratory medicine specialists to debate this further, unless such parties have already participated in informed public debate.

Air Shed

Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or none

Choose one of the following three

Tick relevant topics