

## Make Submission

<b>Consultee</b>	mrs clare marshall (58186)
<b>Email Address</b>	clare.marshall@xtra.co.nz
<b>Address</b>	21 pine ave sth brighton christchurch 8061
<b>Event Name</b>	Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
<b>Submission by</b>	mrs clare marshall
<b>Submission ID</b>	pCARP-10
<b>Response Date</b>	3/03/15 2:42 PM
<b>Consultation Point</b>	13 MANDATORY INFORMATION ( <a href="#">View</a> )
<b>Status</b>	Submitted
<b>Submission Type</b>	Letter
<b>Version</b>	0.2
<b>To Be Heard</b>	
<b>Please select the appropriate option from the following:</b>	I DO NOT wish to be heard in support of my submission; or
<b>If so</b>	

## Make Submission

<b>Consultee</b>	mrs clare marshall (58186)
<b>Email Address</b>	clare.marshall@xtra.co.nz
<b>Address</b>	21 pine ave sth brighton christchurch 8061
<b>Event Name</b>	Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
<b>Submission by</b>	mrs clare marshall
<b>Submission ID</b>	pCARP-9
<b>Response Date</b>	3/03/15 10:38 AM
<b>Consultation Point</b>	7.87 Paragraph ( <a href="#">View</a> )
<b>Status</b>	Submitted
<b>Submission Type</b>	Web
<b>Version</b>	0.1

### Support Oppose

Supports in Part or Opposes in Part

*State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.*

**My submission is that:** .       Oppose

*Please state your reasons for supporting/opposing/amendments sought*

### My reason(s) for supporting, opposing or requesting amendments to this specific provision are:

I think that imposing a 15 year life cycle on a wood burner is irresponsible. These appliances are built to last for far longer than this. People who have recently installed a 'low emission' burner, will be forced to upgrade this for an 'ultra low emission' burner way before they would normally expect to. It puts an unfair financial pressure on home owners and creates unnecessary upgrading and waste (landfill).

The 'encouragement' of home owners (by imposing rules) to move away from solid fuel burning is also unfair when there is no viable alternative available. Electricity prices are not low enough to encourage the population to switch to this as a source of heating. Ultra-low emission burners are few and far (do they exist yet?).

We have switched to a pellet fire - many, many years ago so my motivations are not personal. I wish for other home owners who currently enjoy the output and cost-effectiveness of a low-emission log burner to continue to do so without being forced to change.

*Please give precise details for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand the outcome you are seeking.*

**I seek the following decisions from Environment Canterbury:**

Stick to the original air plan in this matter.

Do not phase out low emission burners from 2019.

Remove the '15 years old and it's not permitted' rule.

**Air Shed**

**Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or none**

**Choose one of the following three**

**Tick relevant topics**