

## Make Submission

| Consultee          | Mr James Murdoch (56665)   |
|--------------------|--|
| Email Address      | ja.jlmurdoch@gmail.com   |
| Address            | 5RD<br>Ashburton<br>Mid Canterbury<br>7775   |
| Event Name         | Proposed Variation 2 to Proposed Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan   |
| Submission by      | Mr James Murdoch   |
| Submission ID      | V2 pLWRP-28  |
| Response Date      | 24/10/14 2:31 PM   |
| Consultation Point | Proposed Variation 2 to the Proposed Canterbury Land<br>and Water Regional Plan - Section 13 Ashburton ( <u>View</u> ) |
| Status             | Submitted  |
| Submission Type    | Web  |
| Version            | 0.1  |

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

## My submission is that: Oppose

Please state your reasons for supporting/opposing/amendments sought

## My reason(s) for supporting, opposing or requesting amendments to this specific provision are:

I oppose Variation 2 because the definatiion of GMP hasn't been eluded too. How can we work towards change if we don't know what we are aiming for.

What is the baseline and who sets it.

If farms were in development phase between periods 2009-13 and hadn't reached their potential till post 2013 then the baseline will be to low.

Who is going to pay for the MAR when the cost of irrigation water is so expensive and it is contrary to the efficientcies made by farmers in their irrigation equipment and technology.

If a farmer exceeds the baseline and needs a resource consent then it maybe easier to make this application.

This LWRP if not done well will put the future of farming and development in a time capsule and s restrict an indivuals rights to make even small improvements or even to take the opportunity to harness changes in season and markets

Overseer is a tool but shouldn't be the only mechanism to implement such a huge shift in agriculture.

There are numerous points that can be made against, but in short I support the Hinds Plains Water Land Partnership and its submission and the Federated Farmers submission on proposed variation 2 to the proposed canterbury LWRP section 13 from Lional Hume senior policy advisor, Ashburton.

Yours sincerely

James Murdoch

Please give precise details for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand the outcome you are seeking.

## I seek the following decisions from Environment Canterbury:

To read and adopt the remedies submitted from either or BOTH the Hinds Plains Water Land Partnership and Mid Canterbury Federated Farmers as these changes could stop farming in a time bubble forever

**Tick relevant topics**