

Gay Gibson

From: Liz MacAlister <kairnmuir@xtra.co.nz>
Sent: Thursday, 23 October 2014 10:22 p.m.
Subject: submission on variation two LWRP from Shepherds Bush 2004 Ltd
Attachments: shepherds bush submission cover 001.jpg; shepherds bush submission pg1 001.jpg; shepherds bush submission pg 2 001.jpg; shepherds bush submission pg 3 001.jpg

Categories: Purple Category

Please find attached our submission on proposed Variation 2 to the proposed Canterbury Land and Water Plan

Thanks

Liz Macalister and Chris Olley

Shepherds Bush 2004 Ltd



Submission on Proposed Variation 2 to the Proposed Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

Submitter ID:

File No:

Form 5: Submissions on a Publicly Notified Proposed Policy Statement or Regional Plan under Clause 6 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991

Return your signed submission by 5.00pm Friday 24 October 2014 to:

Freeport 1201 Variation 2 to pLWRP
Environment Canterbury
P O Box 345
Christchurch 8140

Full Name:	<u>Christopher mark Olley & Elizabeth Anne Macalister</u>	Phone (Hm):	<u>3036 333</u>
Organisation*:	<u>Shepherds Bush 2004 Ltd</u>	Phone (Wk):	
* the organisation that this submission is made on behalf of		Phone (Cell):	<u>021 972012</u>
Postal Address:	<u>917 Shepherds Bush Rd</u>	Postcode:	<u>7778</u>
	<u>RD8, Ashburton, 7778</u>	Fax:	
Email:	<u>kairnmuir@xtra.co.nz</u>		
Contact name and postal address for service of person making submission (if different from above):			

Trade Competition

Pursuant to Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991, a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission may make a submission only if directly affected by an effect of the proposed policy statement or plan that:

- a) adversely affects the environment; and
- b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

Please tick the sentence that applies to you:

- ☒ I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission; or
☐ I could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

If you have ticked this box please select one of the following:

- ☐ I am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission
☐ I am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission

Signature: Kyle Macalister CMCL Date: 20/10/2014

(Signature of person making submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making the submission)

Please note:

(1) all information contained in a submission under the Resource Management Act 1991, including names and addresses for service, becomes public information.

- ☐ I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission; or
☒ I do wish to be heard in support of my submission; and if so,
☒ I would be prepared to consider presenting your submission in a joint case with others making a similar submission at any hearing

(1) The specific provisions of the Proposed Plan that my submission relates to are:		(2) My submission is that: (include whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended and the reasons for your views.)		(3) I seek the following decisions from Environment Canterbury: (Please give precise details for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand your concerns.)
Section & Page Number	Sub-section/ Point	Oppose/support (in part or full)	Reasons	
13.5.14 ↓ 13.5.17 p 728		oppose	These rules benefit those farms that rushed to intensify their farms during or before the "base line" period. Those that were responsible & tried to keep their nutrient loadings low are now disadvantaged & unable to change land use, intensify or improve their property. This causes inequality and devalues some properties. Everyone should be following best practise guidelines no matter what their farming history or irrigation use.	delete any reference to "nitrogen base line" and replace with "matrix of good mangernment principals for your farm type & location." (Support Hinds Plains Land & Water Partnership (HPLWP) reference to the "baseline 2009-2013")

Add further pages as required – please initial any additional pages.

2

(1) The specific provisions of the Proposed Plan that my submission relates to are:		(2) My submission is that: (include whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended and the reasons for your views.)		(3) I seek the following decisions from Environment Canterbury: (Please give precise details for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand your concerns.)
Section & Page Number	Sub-section/ Point	Oppose/support (in part or full)	Reasons	
13 part 5 planning maps & Part 1 Paragraph 2 Scope & Variation		Oppose	The <u>Green</u> zone along the Rangitā river should be allowed to remain ① The Rangitā river has high flood flows and is less susceptible to nutrient runoff (flushing affect) This green zone is less likely to affect the hinds river & ashburton river and associated ground water flows because of its proximity to the Rangitā river towards which it will drain ② Because the area has only recently become part of the MHIS, existing shore holders have priority when allocating shores so new shares are hard to obtain	re instate the green zone and it's associated rules Modify the extent of the hinds plains area to exclude that which is currently in the green zone (support "Hinds Plains Land & Water partnership" reference to "Hinds plains Map boundaries")
Table 13(i)		Oppose	③ Some farms in high rainfall areas don't require irrigation but still have a right to be intensive & therefore	

Add further pages as required – please initial any additional pages.

③

(1) The specific provisions of the Proposed Plan that my submission relates to are:		(2) My submission is that: (include whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended and the reasons for your views.)		(3) I seek the following decisions from Environment Canterbury: (Please give precise details for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand your concerns.)
Section & Page Number	Sub-section/ Point	Oppose/support (in part or full)	Reasons	
			③ continued	
			Should be allowed the same privileges that those in irrigation companies have e.g. 27 kg/ha/yr N	
13 249 practises		support	all farms should be aiming to follow best practise guidelines where practicable	
		support	Hinds Plains Land & Water Partnership reference to matrix of good management "mgm"	

Add further pages as required – please initial any additional pages.