

The submission supported or opposed	The particular parts of the submission supported or opposed are:	The reasons for support or opposition are:	Support or oppose
<p>Ellesmere Irrigation Society Inc c/- C M Barnett Lakeside R D 3 Leeston 7683</p>	<p>Submitter 52210 Submission point V1pLWRP-910 Table 11(c): Selwyn Waihora Minimum Flows and Partial Restriction Regime for A Permits</p>	<p>The submitter has requested the deletion of the minimum flow levels for A permits for the Lee and Tentburn Rivers, and requested a replacement level of 700 L/s for the Lee River.</p> <p>New Zealand King Salmon relies on the diversion of surface water from these rivers augmented by stream depleting groundwater takes for its hatchery operations. The proposed minimum flows in Variation 1 for these rivers may limit its ability take water at the same rate of take or volume at the time of re-consenting.</p> <p>The submission is therefore supported in part insofar that accurately derived minimum flows should be adopted for the Lee and Tentburn Rivers, which take into account the existing level of consented abstraction, while at the same time continuing to prevent additional abstraction so as to protect in-stream values.</p> <p>Higher minimum flows should only be introduced once the positive effects on river flows from upper catchment water storage, and reductions in groundwater use are known.</p>	<p>Support in part</p>
<p>Director General of Conservation Private Bay 4715 Christchurch Mail Centre Christchurch 8140</p>	<p>Submitter 52225 Submission point V1pLWRP-244 Rule 11.5.35</p>	<p>The submitter has requested that non-compliance with the minimum flow and partial restriction regime in Table 11(c) under condition 3 of rule 11.5.32 be a prohibited activity under Rule 11.5.36 (instead of non-complying).</p> <p>Prohibited activity status for non-compliance with the minimum flow regime would be inappropriate. Non-complying status provides greater flexibility by allowing for staged increases in minimum flows over time where there will be a significant loss of reliability for existing water users. (as recognised by proposed policy 11.4.29).</p>	<p>Oppose</p>