

Consultee Mr Timothy Robilliard (52029)

Email Address robilliard@farmside.co.nz

Address 48 Burts Road

RD3 Leeston 7683

Event Name Proposed Variation 1 to the Proposed Canterbury Land and

Water Regional Plan

Submission by Mr Timothy Robilliard

Submission ID V1pLWRP-29

Response Date 19/03/14 2:57 PM

Consultation Point 7. MANDATORY INFORMATION - HAVE YOUR SAY (

View)

Status Processed

Submission Type Letter

Version 0.3

To Be Heard

Please select the appropriate option from

the following:

I DO wish to be heard in support of my submission;

If so



Consultee Mr Timothy Robilliard (52029)

Email Address robilliard@farmside.co.nz

Address 48 Burts Road

RD3 Leeston 7683

Event Name Proposed Variation 1 to the Proposed Canterbury Land

and Water Regional Plan

Submission by Mr Timothy Robilliard

Submission ID V1pLWRP-20

Response Date 18/03/14 10:06 PM

Consultation Point 11.5.7 Paragraph (View)

Status Submitted

Submission Type Web

Version 0.1

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: Oppose

Please state your reasons for supporting/opposing/amendments sought

My reason(s) for supporting, opposing or requesting amendments to this specific provision are:

The Base Line will determine how many options are available to a farming enterprise and will there for have a magor influence on future property values. Under the proposed rules any one who has been trying to mitigate their environmental impact will be severely penalised. At present the biggest influence on land use is availability of water and soil depth. I beleive a fairer method is to allocate Nitrogen on that basis. Nitrogen trading would encourage all farmers to conserve N and may lead to more innovative solutions to such problems wintering dairy cows compared with the proposed rules that will just lock in poor practise. I also think that 15kg of Nitrogen gives little incentive for cropping farmers on deep soils to reduce their impact. It will be difficult for a plains farmers having to reduce their N emissions while other farmers are increasing theirs up to the 15 kg

Please give precise details for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand the outcome you are seeking.

I seek the following decisions from Environment Canterbury:

replace with a fairer method of allocating Nitrogen emition based on soil retention and total water applied that applys to all farms over 10 ha



Consultee Mr Timothy Robilliard (52029)

Email Address robilliard@farmside.co.nz

Address 48 Burts Road

RD3 Leeston 7683

Event Name Proposed Variation 1 to the Proposed Canterbury Land

and Water Regional Plan

Submission by Mr Timothy Robilliard

Submission ID V1pLWRP-22

Response Date 18/03/14 10:14 PM

Consultation Point 11.5.34 Paragraph (View)

Status Submitted

Submission Type Web

Version 0.1

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: Support

Please state your reasons for supporting/opposing/amendments sought

My reason(s) for supporting, opposing or requesting amendments to this specific provision are:

The changes to lake Ellesmere and the lowland streams have been influenced by the development of the plains since European settlement. I support use of active management rather than conservative regulation.

Please give precise details for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand the outcome you are seeking.

I seek the following decisions from Environment Canterbury:

no change



Consultee Mr Timothy Robilliard (52029)

Email Address robilliard@farmside.co.nz

Address 48 Burts Road

RD3 Leeston 7683

Event Name Proposed Variation 1 to the Proposed Canterbury Land

and Water Regional Plan

Submission by Mr Timothy Robilliard

Submission ID V1pLWRP-23

Response Date 18/03/14 10:21 PM

Consultation Point 11.4.23 Paragraph (View)

Status Submitted

Submission Type Web

Version 0.1

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: Oppose

Please state your reasons for supporting/opposing/amendments sought

My reason(s) for supporting, opposing or requesting amendments to this specific provision are:

This policy will encourage consent holders to use as much of their consent as possible. This is not good for the environment. Water consents make up a significant part of farm capital so farmers will have to play the rules to protect them. The Annual volumes where worked out on soil water holding capacity and rainfall. I thought that was a good policy as it provides for a range of land uses with in those parameters. Secure irrigation consents will result in conservative use of water.

Please give precise details for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand the outcome you are seeking.

I seek the following decisions from Environment Canterbury:

water will be reallocated on the basis of soil water holding capacity and rainfall.