

Submission on Proposed Variation 1 to the Proposed Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan

	OFFICE L	ISE ONLY	
	PLAN/	WEL /	P7654/2
50	25 MA		
	nitter ID:		

Form 5: Submissions on a Publicly Notified Proposed Policy Statement or Regional Plan under Clause 6 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991

Return your signed submission by 5.00pm Friday 21 March 2014 to:

Freepost 1201 Variation 1 to pLWRP Environment Canterbury P O Box 345 Christchurch 8140

submission at any hearing

Full Name: John Frizzell	Phone (Hm): 357 8595					
Organisation*: Waitikiri Gardens	Phone (Wk): 383 5104					
* the organisation that this submission is made on behalf of	,					
Postal Address: P.O. Box 39 073	Phone (Cell):					
Christohurch	Postcode: 8545					
Email: john@wgflowers.co.nz	Fax: <u>383 5102</u>					
Contact name and postal address for service of person making submis	ssion (if different from above):					
Trade Competition						
Pursuant to Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991, a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission may make a submission only if directly affected by an effect of the proposed policy statement or plan that: a) adversely affects the environment; and b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.						
Please tick the sentence that applies to you:						
I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission; or						
I could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.						
If you have ticked this box please select one of the following:						
I am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission						
I am not diffectly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission						
Signature: Da	te: 19/3/2014					
(Signature of person making submission) or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making the submission)						
Please note:						
(1) all information contained in submission under the Resource Management Act 1991, including names and addresses for service, becomes public information.						
I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission; or						
I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission; or I do wish to be heard in support of my submission; and if so,						
✓ 1 do wish to be heard in support of my submission, and it so,						

I would be prepared to consider presenting your submission in a joint case with others making a similar

(1) The specific provisions of the Proposed Plan that my submission relates to are:		(2) My submission is that: (include whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended and the reasons for your views.)		(3) I seek the following decisions from Environment Canterbury: (Please give precise details for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier	
Section & Page Number	Sub-section/ Point	Oppose/support (in part or full)	Reasons	it will be for the Council to understand your concerns.)	
11.4.22	(b) & (c)	Oppose	It is too detrimental for the economic wellbeing & potential of the region. It would make it very difficult or prohibit some types of crops that require rotation & fresh ground, and stop the best & highest value use of the land. It could stop high value horticulture operations from relocating either into the region or within the region	That the policy is moderated to allow for exceptions	
11.5.37	Rule	Oppose in part		That temporary transfers be a permitted activity & the conditions for permanent transfers be moderated as below	
11.5.37	3(C)	Oppose	This is too restrictive, there may be some cases where a transfer from one side of SH1 to the other would be beneficial.	That this rule is deleted or moderated	
11.5.37	4	Oppose	 It is too detrimental for the economic wellbeing & potential of the region. It would make it very difficult or prohibit some types of crops that require rotation or fresh ground and stop the best & highest value use of the land. It could stop high value horticulture operations from relocating either into the region or within the region. It is not the best or a fair way to reduce over allocation of water. It would stop the trading of consents. 	That this requirement is deleted or moderated to make the amount of water surrendered discretionary There should be exceptions for smaller water takes or 100cum or less /day.	
11.5.39		Oppose	As above	That this rule is moderated to allow for exceptions.	

>>Carried by New Zealand Post>>

Freepost (201 Variation 1 to pLWRP

NI No.: Environment Canterbury 25 MR 9, Box 345 Christchurch 8140

