Submission on Proposed Variation
1 to the Proposed
Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan

Form 5: Submissions on a Publicly Notified Proposed Policy Statement or Regional Plan under Clause 6 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991

Return your signed submission by 5.00pm Friday 21 March 2014 to:
Freepost 1201 Variation 1 to pLWRP
Environment Canterbury
P O Box 345
Christchurch 8140

Full Name: John Frizzell
Phone (Hm): 357 8595
Organisation*: Waitkiri Gardens
Phone (Wk): 383 5104
* the organisation that this submission is made on behalf of
Postal Address: P O Box 39 073
Postcode: 8545
Christchurch
Fax: 383 5102
Email: john@wgflowers.co.nz

Contact name and postal address for service of person making submission (if different from above):

Trade Competition

Pursuant to Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991, a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission may make a submission only if directly affected by an effect of the proposed policy statement or plan that:

a) adversely affects the environment; and
b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

Please tick the sentence that applies to you:

☑ I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission; or
☐ I could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

If you have ticked this box please select one of the following:
☐ I am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission
☐ I am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission

Signature: ____________________________ Date: 19/3/2014

(Signature of person making submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making the submission)

Please note:
(1) all information contained in a submission under the Resource Management Act 1991, including names and addresses for service, becomes public information

☐ I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission; or
☐ I do wish to be heard in support of my submission; and if so,
☑ I would be prepared to consider presenting your submission in a joint case with others making a similar submission at any hearing
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section &amp; Page Number</th>
<th>Sub-section/Point</th>
<th>Oppose/support (in part or full)</th>
<th>Reasons</th>
<th>(3) I seek the following decisions from Environment Canterbury: (Please give precise details for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand your concerns.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11.4.22</td>
<td>(b) &amp; (c)</td>
<td>Oppose</td>
<td>It is too detrimental for the economic wellbeing &amp; potential of the region. It would make it very difficult or prohibit some types of crops that require rotation &amp; fresh ground, and stop the best &amp; highest value use of the land. It could stop high value horticulture operations from relocating either into the region or within the region.</td>
<td>That the policy is moderated to allow for exceptions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.5.37</td>
<td>Rule</td>
<td>Oppose in part</td>
<td></td>
<td>That temporary transfers be a permitted activity &amp; the conditions for permanent transfers be moderated as below</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.5.37</td>
<td>3(C)</td>
<td>Oppose</td>
<td>This is too restrictive, there may be some cases where a transfer from one side of SH1 to the other would be beneficial.</td>
<td>That this rule is deleted or moderated</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 11.5.37               | 4                | Oppose                        | 1) It is too detrimental for the economic wellbeing & potential of the region. 
2) It would make it very difficult or prohibit some types of crops that require rotation or fresh ground and stop the best & highest value use of the land. 
3) It could stop high value horticulture operations from relocating either into the region or within the region. 
4) It is not the best or a fair way to reduce over allocation of water. 
5) It would stop the trading of consents. | That this requirement is deleted or moderated to make the amount of water surrendered discretionary. There should be exceptions for smaller water takes of 100cum or less /day. |
| 11.5.39               |                  | Oppose                        | As above | That this rule is moderated to allow for exceptions. |
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Environment Canterbury
P.O. Box 345
Christchurch 8140