

Tamina Roberts

From: 64 3 3296752 <"64 3 3296752"@faxmaker.com>
Sent: Friday, 21 March 2014 11:45 a.m.
To: GFIFaxmaker
Subject: TRIM: Fax received from 64 3 3296752
Attachments: 20140321_114449_00002.pdf

Categories: Purple Category
HP TRIM Record Number: C14C/43503

INCOMING FAX REPORT

Status: Received
Date/Time: 21/03/2014 11:44:52 a.m.
Speed: 9600 bps
Connection time: 01:51
Pages: 2
Resolution: Normal
Remote ID: 64 3 3296752
Line number: 1
DTMF/DID:
Description: Fax received from 64 3 3296752 Incoming Faxes: Attached



Submission on Proposed Variation 1 to the Proposed Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY
Submitter ID:
File No:

Form 5: Submissions on a Publicly Notified Proposed Policy Statement or Regional Plan under Clause 6 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991

Return your signed submission by 5.00pm Friday 21 March 2014 to:

Freepost 1201 Variation 1 to pLWRP
Environment Canterbury
P O Box 345
Christchurch 8140

Full Name: <u>JENNIFER BASSETT</u>	Phone (nr): <u>(03) 329 6751</u>
Organisation*: _____	Phone (nk): _____
* the organisation that this submission is made on behalf of	
Postal Address: <u>285 OLD TAI TAPU ROAD</u>	Phone (all): <u>021 027 62222</u>
<u>2RD CHRISTCHURCH</u>	Postcode: <u>7672</u>
Email: <u>jenibassett@xtra.co.nz</u>	Fax: (e) <u>3296 752</u>
Contact name and postal address for service of person making submission (if different from above):	
<u>as above.</u>	

Trade Competition

Pursuant to Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991, a person who competes in trade may gain an advantage in trade by an effect of the proposed policy statement or plan that:

- a) adversely affects the environment; and
- b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

Please tick the sentence that applies to you:

- I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission; or
- I could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

If you have ticked this box please select one of the following:

- I am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission
- I am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission

Signature: Jennifer Bassett Date: 21 March, 2014

(Signature of person making submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making the submission)

Please note: (1) all information contained in a submission under the Resource Management Act 1991, including names and addresses for service, becomes public information.

<input type="checkbox"/>	I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission; or
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	I do wish to be heard in support of my submission; and if so, Reserve the right to be heard with others making a similar
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	I would be prepared to consider presenting your submission in a joint case submission at any hearing

[Jennifer Bassett pg 1 of 2]

(1) The specific provisions of the Proposed Plan that my submission relates to are:	(2) My submission is that (include whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended and the reasons for your views.)	Reasons	(3) I seek the following decisions from Environment Canterbury. (Please give precise details for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand your concerns.)
<p>Wairarapa 1 Section & Page Number: [blank] Sub-section/ Point: [blank]</p>	<p>SUPPORT in full</p>	<p>Existing regulations do not recognise cumulative effects of ad hoc subdivisions My property lies between Halswell River and lower slopes of Port Hills. I have already had significant issues of flooding and run off from recent neighbours developments. These issues have been recorded with ECAN and S.D. Council. I would like for my property to be protected from future flooding issues/run off likely to be exacerbated by ad hoc subdivision, roading on hills etc.</p>	<p>Tighten NS regulations via Resource consent requirements to prevent the cumulative effects of future development affecting rural landowner such as myself I believe it is ECANs responsibility to protect existing landowners so I do not have to personally fight development likely to impact my property and land use</p>

Add further pages as required - please initial any additional pages.

N.B Please acknowledge receipt of this submission by email to: ~~jenibassett~~ jenibassett@xtra.co.nz (Jennifer Bassett) p92 of 2