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<td>Purple Category</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HP TRIM Record Number:</strong></td>
<td>C14C/42003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EC151289- EC151288

I attach copies of our submission to the above. Thanks Ann Jarman
**Variation1 to Proposed Canterbury Land and water regional plan**

Additional Comments, Paul and Ann Jarman

**Page 4.8, Section 11.4.22a**

Firstly; we contend that this clause is unfair because it penalises existing groundwater users who are converting to CPW water. The groundwater consents are recognised as a valuable source of back-up water in times of drought or low river levels restricting available CPW water. (This would be in tandem with the planned use of Lake Coleridge water as the primary source of reserve water). The best way to allocate this reserve of groundwater to users is by a market mechanism as is currently happening.

Secondly; when CPW water comes on-stream there will be an immediate reduction in demand for groundwater due to many large irrigation takes converting from groundwater to CPW water. When this happens there will no longer be a need to prohibit transfers within the CPW area.

**11.4.23**

Firstly; this section will penalise those users who have made efforts to reduce their water use in recent years. In our case we have used water scheduling, conservation tillage, more shelter, and more efficient application, to name just a few methods used.

Secondly; Canterbury has not had a real drought for many years, so recent usage is not a good indicator of the need to have the reserve which many consents will confer. Without doubt we will again see the drought years return and the need to use the full amount of consented water will be vital.

**11.4.26**

As mentioned above, we contend that when operative the CPW scheme will reduce demand for groundwater to the extent that limits on groundwater consents will not be required. These can then function as reserves in exceptional circumstances.
Submission on Proposed Variation
1 to the Proposed
Canterbury Land and Water
Regional Plan

Form 5: Submissions on a Publicly Notified Proposed Policy Statement or Regional Plan under Clause 6 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991

Return your signed submission by 5.00pm Friday 21 March 2014 to:
Freepost 1201 Variation 1 to pLWRP
Environment Canterbury
P O Box 345
Christchurch 8140

Full Name: Paul A. Jarman
Phone (Hm): 03 388539
Organisation:
"the organisation that this submission is made on behalf of"
Phone (Wk):
Postal Address: 201 Essendon Road
"as above"
Postcode: 7571
Fax:
Email: pajarm@xtra.co.nz

Trade Competition

Pursuant to Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991, a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission may make a submission only if directly affected by an effect of the proposed policy statement or plan that:

a) adversely affects the environment; and
b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

Please tick the sentence that applies to you:
✓ I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission; or
☐ I could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.
If you have ticked this box please select one of the following:
☐ I am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission
☐ I am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission

Signature: (Signature of person making submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making the submission)
Date: 18/3/2014

Please note:
1) all information contained in a submission under the Resource Management Act 1991, including names and addresses for service, becomes public information.
2) I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission; or
☐ I do wish to be heard in support of my submission; and if so,
☐ I would be prepared to consider presenting your submission in a joint case with others making a similar submission at any hearing.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section &amp; Page Number</th>
<th>Sub-section/Point</th>
<th>Oppose/support (in part or full)</th>
<th>Reasons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>11.4.22a</td>
<td>Oppose</td>
<td>Unfair to CPUC shareholders. who are reducing pressure on groundwater.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Oppose</td>
<td>1) Finalises efficient water use.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2) Recent use does not reflect drought use.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Oppose</td>
<td>Penalise holders in an irrigation scheme.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11.4.26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(3) I seek the following decisions from Environment Canterbury: **(Please give precise details for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand your concerns.)**

Add further pages as required – please initial any additional pages.