

Submission on Proposed Variation 1 to the Proposed Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan

FOR OFFICENESERMON					
FILE REF:					
DOCUMENT No: C14C193	18	O			
PILE REF: DOCUMENT No: C19C193 2 0 MAR 2014	CT	INFO			
4:49 pm					
Cub-ritter ID:					
Submitter ID:					

Form 5: Submissions on a Publicly Notified Proposed Policy Statement or Regional Plan under Clause 6 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991

Return your signed submission by 5.00pm Friday 21 March 2014 to:

Freepost 1201 Variation 1 to pLWRP Environment Canterbury P O Box 345 Christchurch 8140

submission at any hearing

Full Name: Ross William Manson.	Phone (Hm): 03 3180966				
Organisation*: * the organisation that this submission is made on behalf of	Phone (Wk):				
The organisation that this submission is made on behalf of	DI (0.11)				
Postal Address: Steeles Road R.D.					
Parfield.	Postcode:				
Email:	Fax:				
Contact name and postal address for service of person making submission (if different from above):					
Trade Competition					
Programme to Only duty 4 of the D					
Pursuant to Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991, a personnectition through the submission may make a submission only if direction	on who could gain an advantage in trade				
policy statement or plan that:	city affected by an effect of the proposed				
a) adversely affects the environment; and					
b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.					
Please tick the sentence that applies to you:					
I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission; or					
I could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission					
If you have ticked this box please select one of the following:					
☐ I am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission					
I am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission					
Signature: R w muses Da	ate: 20 - 3 - 2014				
(Signature of person making submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making the submission)					
Please note: (1) all information contained in a submission under the Resource Management Act 1991, including names and addresses for service, becomes public information.					
	and the second passes are the second and the second are the second and the second are the second				
l do not wish to be heard in support of my submission; or					
I do wish to be heard in support of my submission; and if so,					
I would be prepared to consider presenting your submission in a	a joint case with others making a similar				

(1) The specific provisions of the Proposed Plan that my submission relates to are:		(2) My submission is that: (include whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended and the reasons for your views.)		(3) I seek the following decisions from Environment Canterbury: (Please give precise details for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier		
Section & Page Number	Sub-section/ Point	Oppose/support (in part or full)	Reasons	it will be for the Council to understand your concerns.)		
Page 21	Rule 11.5	Oppose.	See attached 124per.	Probably restrict water Supplies for both Livestock and Humans		
The whol	e Plan.	оррозс.	(6 working Page) Not Enough Time form Meetings to poperly consider	So do not prohibit paning of Salaya etc.		
			Plan.			

Add further pages as required – please initial any additional pages.

The first farm was Deltons Road Brookiside: Heavy peat with abundant springs: from 1944 - 1989.

The water supply was beautiful spring water next to the Bogey creek. When this water was tested by the Catchment Board it was very high in Nitrates Our Family had no problems.

The second farm was at Mitchells Road Te Pirita

1989 - 1995, Our water supply was a waterrace

from the Rakain. Not ideal but except for sludge

we had few problems.

The third farm is on Steeles 12 and Hororatu which has a piped water supply from the Selwyn catchment It is good water that supplies both stock and humans Every now and then the council chlorinates it because of percieved problems.

This does not effect humans but can have adverse effects on livestock! Lumbs in particular, so the providing of good water is the basis of my. Submission.

One of the problems for piped water is how water at intakes which could easily overwhelm stored Tank water for livestock. So that in the future Dams to Increase and or Save water may be neccessary, especially as the population of the district is booming as it stands this would not be possible under the proposed Variation I. Therefore I appose it. (The prohibiting of water Storage in Mainstreams of selwyn / Waikirikiri and Waian Iwaniwa)

With only burnting days to consider this proposal I beleive that not enough time has been given to the Public to properly review it. Therefore I object to the Whole of Variation I

Rw Munson,

13

A