

Proposed Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF HEARING COMMISSIONERS ADOPTED BY COUNCIL AS ITS DECISION ON 5 DECEMBER 2013

APPENDIX 1

DECISIONS ON SUBMISSION POINTS

Proposed Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF HEARING COMMISSIONERS

APPENDIX 1

RECOMMENDATIONS ON SUBMISSION POINTS



David F Sheppard

On behalf of Hearing Commissioners:

David F Sheppard (chairman)

Edward Ellison

Rob van Voorthuysen

Hearing Commissioners' Recommendations on Submissions

Interpretation of Schedule

In addition to the 354 primary submissions raising the points listed in this schedule, the CRC received 75 further submissions in support of, or opposition to, primary submissions. To the extent that the points raised by further submissions are not identified directly in this schedule, we recommend that they are accepted or rejected according to our recommendations for accepting or rejecting the corresponding primary submission points.

General Plan & Section 1

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
0-0-0	203.14, 206.14, 206.19, 206.29, 275.13, 275.23, 283.12,	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A report. Consequential changes have been made as appropriate.
0-0-0	206.1,	Amend	Accept in part	We consider that the amendments we are recommending would result in the Plan appropriately and proportionately recognising the farming industry's ability to contribute. We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A report. See also the separate narrative.
0-0-0	206.3,	Amend	Reject	A general request, which does not give precise details of amendments requested.
0-0-0	208.1	Amend	Reject	Elsewhere in this report we recommend amendments that address this submission point.
0-0-0	217.1	Amend	Accept in part	Issues are identified in Section 1.2.3. Elsewhere in this report we recommend amendments that address this submission point.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
0-0-0	275.1	Amend	Accept in part	Provisions in the Plan address these matters
0-0-0	325.1	Amend	Reject	Not necessary for the Plan to specify these points.
0-0-0	54.1	Amend	Accept in part	See separate narrative above. Elsewhere in this report we recommend amendments that address this submission point.
0-0-0	4.1	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A report. See also the separate narrative. We are recommending amendments that use the term 'farming activity' in its ordinary meaning.
0-0-0	11.1	Amend	Reject	A general request, does not give precise details of amendments requested.
0-0-0	13.2	Amend	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A report. Cross boundary processes are dealt with in the RPS.
0-0-0	19.113	Amend	Accept in part	Elsewhere in this report we recommend amendments that address this submission point.
0-0-0	21.1	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A report.
0-0-0	23.1	Amend	Reject	Meters already required. Charging is outside the scope of the Plan.
0-0-0	30.34	Amend	Reject	Elsewhere in this report we recommend amendments that address this submission point.
0-0-0	30.35	Amend	Reject	Elsewhere in this report we recommend amendments that address this submission point.
0-0-0	30.36	Amend	Reject	The submission is beyond the scope of the LWRP.
0-0-0	30.39	Amend	Accept in part	See separate narrative above. Some changes made to flow sensitive catchments and associated provisions.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
0-0-0	30.40	Amend	Accept	The Plan already does this.
0-0-0	33.1	Amend	Accept in part	Supports another submission.
0-0-0	35.14	Amend	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Section 42A Report
0-0-0	39.48, 44.50	Amend	Reject	A general request, does not give precise details of amendments requested.
0-0-0	39.49, 44.51	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A report.
0-0-0	46.1, 48.1, 53.1, 67.1, 134.1, 144.1, 181.1, 194.1, 195.1, 220.1, 229.1, 234.1, 235.3, 236.1, 242.16, 249.1, 260.1, 277.1, 302.1, 316.9, 323.1, 342.2, 363.1, 375.1, 379.1	Amend	Accept in part	Supports another submission.
0-0-0	56.3, 68.14, 69.10, 74.12, 88.6, 90.22, 103.22, 124.2, 126.5, 225.6, 255.2, 290.5, 290.15, 295.2; 370.6, 372.1, 373.1, 374.6, 380.6, 381.5, 382.2	Amend	Accept in part	Elsewhere in this report we recommend amendments that address these submission points.
0-0-0	56.5, 65.1, 66.2, 68.7, 69.2, 74.20, 75.1, 81.3, 88.2, 90.2, 103.18, 126.1, 145.1, 153.1, 215.7, 218.1, 225.1, 255.4, 290.17, 295.4, 305.1, 354.1, 368.1, 370.2, 372.3, 373.4, 374.8, 380.31, 381.1, 382.4, 387.11	Amend	Accept in part	Elsewhere in this report we recommend amendments that address these submission points.
0-0-0	58.1,	Amend	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A report. See also

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
				the separate narrative. In our judgment the risk of not making the Plan now is greater than making the Plan without awaiting further research.
0-0-0	61.1	Amend	Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A report. See also the separate narrative.
0-0-0	61.2	Amend	Accept in part	Water quality outcomes, limits and standards are recommended for the Plan, including timelines to achieve these.
0-0-0	61.3	Amend	Reject	Whether money changes hands when transfers occur is not an issue for the Plan.
0-0-0	62.1, 701.	Amend	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A report. See also the separate narrative.
0-0-0	63.1	Amend	Accept in part	Recommended Policy 14A promotes the addressing of such issues where certain population thresholds are exceeded.
0-0-0	64.1	Amend	Reject	A general request, does not give precise details of amendments requested.
0-0-0	71.1	Amend	Reject	The circumstances described by the submitter are addressed by Rule 5.6, and may be considered for enforcement.
0-0-0	73.17	Amend	Accept in part.	In the context of the use of Overseer (in the definitions section of the Plan) we recommended the use of the word “modelled” instead of “calculated”.
0-0-0	73.18	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A report. See also the separate narrative.
0-0-0	73.19	Amend	Accept in part	Soil conservation matters are already considered; animal welfare matters are beyond the scope of the Plan.
0-0-0	73.31	Amend	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A report. See also

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
				the separate narrative.
0-0-0	85.1, 111.1, 139.1	Amend	Accept in part	Supports another submission.
0-0-0	89.29	Amend	Accept	This will improve the clarity of the Plan.
0-0-0	89.32	Amend	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A report. See also the separate narrative.
0-0-0	89.43	Amend	Reject	Gravel stocks can be sufficiently variable through time and river reach such that it is not practicable to include limits in the Plan.
0-0-0	92.2	New maps and rules	Reject	Beyond the scope of the Plan to add this. Requires additional ECan processes and a Plan change to introduce it.
0-0-0	93.20	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A report.
0-0-0	93.79	Retain	Accept	Rule 5.4 which addresses these matters is retained.
0-0-0	99.4	Amend	Accept in part	Consequential amendments have been made where appropriate
0-0-0	99.5, 131.1	Amend	Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A report.
0-0-0	105.1	Amend	Accept in part	Supports another submission.
0-0-0	106.1	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A report. We recommend that the use the phrase "good practice".
0-0-0	114.10	Amend	Reject	Separate RMA Schedule 1 processes would be needed to do this for inclusion in sub-regional sections of the Plan.
0-0-0	120.224	Amend	Accept in part	This has been done as appropriate. Not necessary in all contexts.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
0-0-0	122.5	Amend	Accept	Other contaminants are dealt with in the Plan, including in Schedules 5 and 8.
0-0-0	125.8, 127.19	Amend	Accept in part	Consequential amendments have been made where appropriate.
0-0-0	131.2	Amend	Accept in part.	Some subjective terms are recommended to be deleted. Other terms are either defined or have their normal dictionary meaning.
0-0-0	131.4	amend	reject	The Plan is clear that it has its normal meaning in relation to rivers.
0-0-0	133.1; 135.1; 136.1; 151.1; 172.1; 177.1; 184.1; 327.1	Amend	Accept in part	Supports another submission.
0-0-0	141.1	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending amendments that generally give effect to these requests.
0-0-0	143.27	Amend	Accept in part	Supports another submission.
0-0-0	146.1	Amend	Accept	Stock water use is afforded priority.
0-0-0	147.1, 152.1	Amend	Accept in part	Supports another submission.
0-0-0		Amend	Accept in part	Supports another submission.
0-0-0	154.6	Amend	Reject	We have amended Rule 5.118 (recommended 5.140) to refer to military training activities, Other than that we are satisfied that there would be no unreasonable constraints.
0-0-0	148.20; 157.9, 157.12, 157.14, 157.16, 157.18, 157.21, 157.23, 157.26, 157.30, 157.33, 157.35, 157.38, 157.40, 157.43, 161.7, 164.3, 160.7, 162.6, 186.3, 196.37 197.2	Amend	Accept in part	Consequential amendments have been made where appropriate.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
0-0-0	157.29	Amend	Accept in part	We recommend an amendment to this Policy.
0-0-0	166.1	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A report, noting that new Schedule 8 contains groundwater <i>E. coli</i> limits. See also the separate narrative.
0-0-0	167.95, 167.96	Amend	Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A report.
0-0-0	167.97	Amend	Accept	We recommend that the definition of “hazardous substances” refers to Schedule 4.
0-0-0	167.98, 179.3	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A report.
0-0-0	167.99	Amend	Accept	All references to “LH2” are amended as sought.
0-0-0	169.1	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending use of standard abbreviations for these and other terms.
0-0-0	179.4	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A report Volume 3.
0-0-0	183.1	Amend	Accept in part	A general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested.
0-0-0	183.4	Amend	Accept in part	A general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested.
0-0-0	183.5	Amend	Accept in part	A general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested.
0-0-0	186.1	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A report. See also the separate narrative.
0-0-0	190.1	Amend	Reject	The use of “livestock” is appropriate in some circumstances.
0-0-0	190.2	Amend	Reject	Changes to actual wording or numbers in a rule can only be done via RMA Schedule 1 processes.
0-0-0	190.3	Amend	Accept in part	Supports another submission.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
0-0-0	193.1	Amend	Accept in part	Supports another submission.
0-0-0	197.3	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A report. See also the separate narrative.
0-0-0	197.4, 197.6 197.8, 197.10, 197.13, 197.15, 197.18, 197.20, 197.22, 197.26	Amend	Accept in part	Consequential amendments have been made where appropriate.
0-0-0	198.1	Amend	Reject	A general request, does not give precise details of amendments sought.
0-0-0	198.50; 202.1; 202.2; 202.3; 202.4; 203.1, 204. 207.3	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A report. See also the separate narrative.
0-0-0	203.3, 204.3	Amend	Reject	A general request, does not give precise details of amendments sought.
0-0-0	204.14; 204.18; 204.28; 221.10	Amend	Reject	A general request, does not give precise details of amendments sought.
0-0-0	226.26	Amend	Accept in part	Place names are the responsibility of the NZ Geographic Board.
0-0-0	227.1	Amend	Accept in part	Supports another submission.
0-0-0	228.13	Amend	Accept in part	Amendments we are recommending will streamline the Plan and make it easier to follow and apply.
0-0-0	232.22	Amend	Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A report.
0-0-0	233.1; 237.4; 245.84, 245.88, 245.89, 282.45; 282.47, 282.48	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A report. See also the separate narrative.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
0-0-0	245.90, 282.49	Amend	Reject	Schedule 1 RMA contemplates incorporation by reference according to process.
0-0-0	245.91, 282.50 317.61	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A report. See also the separate narrative.
0-0-0	253.5	Amend	Accept in part	In general identifying these sites is the responsibility of territorial authorities.
0-0-0	253.8; 254.15	Amend	Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A report. See also the separate narrative.
0-0-0	256.53	Amend	Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A report.
0-0-0	257.1	Amend	Reject	No need to include here as the RPS translations also apply to the LWRP.
0-0-0	261.1	Amend	Accept in part	Supports another submission.
0-0-0	265.61	Amend	Reject	Relief sought unclear.
0-0-0	273.3, 297.4	Amend	Accept in part.	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A report, noting that some flow sensitive catchments have been deleted from Section 6 to 15 of the Plan.
0-0-0	297.1	Amend	Accept in part	We have recommended some amendments to the Plan.
0-0-0	306.12	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending amendments that respond to these submission points
0-0-0	308.1	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A report. See also the separate narrative
0-0-0	311.164	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A report.
0-0-0	311.165	Retain	Accept	Sub –regional sections have not been deleted.
0-0-0	315.2	Amend	Accept in part	The nutrient allocation zone status was reviewed by Dr A Meredith in response to

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
				submitter evidence. See separate narrative.
0-0-0	318.1	Amend	Reject	Unnecessary to include in the LWRP. The RPS applies to the LWRP.
0-0-0	320.228	Amend	Accept	See separate narrative regarding s32 matters.
0-0-0	320.229	Retain	Accept in part	In the preparation of, and in making decisions on submissions, particular regard has been had to the Vision and Principles of the CWMS.
0-0-0	320.230	Amend	Accept in part	See separate narrative. Schedules 5 and 8 address other contaminants.
0-0-0	320.231	Amend	Reject	The submission does not give precise details of the amendment requested.
0-0-0	322.1	Amend	Reject	Submission has not identified any decisions requested
0-0-0	325.4	Amend	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A report. We are not persuaded that they are not sensitive lakes.
0-0-0	325.7	Amend	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A report. The submission does not state precise details of the amendment requested.
0-0-0	325.8	Amend	Reject	The Council has fulfilled its s32 obligations.
0-0-0	329.26	Amend	Accept in part	Supports another submission.
0-0-0	344.18	Amend	Accept in part	Some amendments have been made that are similar to those in Part 4 the Land and Vegetation Regional Plan
0-0-0	345.2	Amend	Reject	The term “good practice” is recommended to be used throughout the Plan in this context.
0-0-0	347.6	Amend	Reject	Beyond the scope of this Schedule 1 process.
0-0-0	347.9	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A report. The

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
				submission does not identify precise details of the amendment sought.
0-0-0	347.10	Amend	Accept	The LWRP contains provisions that address these matters.
0-0-0	347.11	Amend	Accept in part	Some of the matters identified are beyond the scope of the Plan.
0-0-0	347.12; 347.13; 347.14; 347.15; 347.16; 347.17	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A report. See also separate narrative.
0-0-0	347.18; 347.20; 347.21; 347.22; 347.23	Amend	Accept in part	The submission does not give precise details of the amendments sought, but amendments we are recommending substantially address this issue.
0-0-0	347.19	Amend	Accept in part	The Rules are holistic in that they are compliant with Part 2
0-0-0	347.24	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A report. See also the separate narrative.
0-0-0	347.38	Amend	Accept in part	The submission does not give precise details of the amendments sought, but amendments we are recommending substantially address this issue.
0-0-0	347.179, 347.180	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A report.
0-0-0	347.242	Amend	Accept in part	The submission does not give precise details of the amendments sought, but amendments we are recommending substantially address this issue.
0-0-0	348.36	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A report. See also the separate narrative.
0-0-0	350.1	Amend	Reject	Timelines must be realistic
0-0-0	353.1	Amend	Reject	The Plan has already been notified. See also the separate narrative on nutrient management.
0-0-0	358.1	Amend	Accept in	We have recommended some amendments to the Plan.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
			part	
0-0-0	358.87	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A report. See also the separate narrative.
0-0-0	358.89	New subregional sections	Reject	It will be for the Council in future to decide the content and extent of plan changes it will publish for sub-regional sections.
0-0-0	358.155	New flow regimes	Reject	It will be for the Council in future to decide the content and extent of plan changes it will publish for sub-regional sections.
0-0-0	362.1	Amend	Accept in part	Supports another submission
0-0-0	364.12	Amend	Accept in part	The submission does not give precise details of the amendments sought, but amendments we are recommending substantially address these issues.
0-0-0	365.1	Amend	Accept in part	Elsewhere in this report we recommend amendments that address these submission points. See also the separate narrative on nutrient management.
0-0-0	369.1	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A report. See also the separate narrative
0-0-0	386.2	Amend	Accept in part	Supports another submission.
0-0-00	391.7	Amend	Accept in part	Supports another submission.
0-43-0 12	136.4	Amend	Reject	In this report we recommend amendments that displace this submission point.
1-0-0	175.1	Retain	Accept in part	Some amendments have been made.
1-0-0 1	228.1	Amend	Accept in part	The submission does not give precise details of the amendments sought, but

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
				amendments we are recommending substantially address this issue.
1-1-0 1	82.1; 82.2; 169.2; 239.1; 282.1	Amend	Reject	Elsewhere In this report we recommend amendments that displace this submission point.
1-1-1 1	150.1	Retain	Accept in part	Only one minor amendment to this paragraph is recommended.
1-1-1 1	169.3	Amend	Reject	In this report we recommend amendments that displace this submission point.
1-1-1 1	245.1	Amend	Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A report
1-1-1 1	257.2	Retain	Accept	Paragraph is unchanged.
1-1-1 1	265.4	Amend	Reject	The submission does not give precise details of the amendments sought, but amendments we are recommending substantially address this issue.
1-1-1 1	306.1	Amend	Reject	In this report we recommend amendments that displace this submission point.
1-1-1 1	314.1	Amend	Accept	We recommend that the term “infrastructure” is inserted in the paragraph.
1-1-1 1	315.3	Amend	Reject	In this report we recommend amendments that displace this submission point.
1-1-1 1	318.2; 319.4	Amend	Accept in part	Paragraph is unchanged.
1-1-2 2	82.3	Amend	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A report. See also narrative above.
1-1-2 6	200.11	Amend	Reject	Dealt with elsewhere in plan
1-2-0	298.1	Amend	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A report. See also separate narrative above.
1-2-0 2	131.5	Amend	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A report. See also separate narrative above.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
1-2-1 2	82.4	Amend	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A report. See also separate narrative above.
1-2-1 2	82.5	Amend	Accept in part	Amendments we are recommending substantially address this issue.
1-2-1 2	106.12	Amend	Accept	This better describes the sources of Christchurch's water supply
1-2-1 2	200.8	Amend	Accept in part	The submission does not give precise details of the amendments sought, but amendments we are recommending substantially address this issue.
1-2-1 2	257.3	Retain	Accept	We are recommending no amendment to this paragraph.
1-2-1 2	317.1	Amend	Accept.	It is appropriate to refer to this use of water.
1-2-1 2	318.3	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending some minor amendments to this Section.
1-2-1 2	318.4, 319.6	Amend	Accept in part	We recommend the amendment requested about economic activities but are not persuaded to insert the reference to groundwater for customary use.
1-2-1 2	318.5	Amend	Reject	No specific amendment requested.
1-2-1 2	319.5	Retain	Accept	Paragraph is unchanged.
1-2-1 3	19.1	Amend	Reject	We do not accept the requested amendment would be an improvement.
1-2-1 3	169.4	Amend	Reject	The sentence in question is at a high level of generality. The submission did not give precise details of the amendment requested.
1-2-1 3	200.9	Retain	Accept	We are recommending no amendment to this sentence.
1-2-1 3	257.4	Amend	Accept in part	We recommend the amendment requested about economic activities but are not persuaded to insert the reference to groundwater for customary use.
1-2-1 3	257.5, 257.6	Amend	Reject	The submission does not give precise details of the amendments sought. Proposals

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
				will be assessed against the LWRP.
1-2-2 3	320.2	Amend	Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A report.
1-2-2 3	19.2	Amend	Reject	Submission did not give precise details of amendment requested.
1-2-2 3	82.6	Amend	Reject	The amendment requested would alter thrust of sentence, and not improve it.
1-2-2 4	20.5	Amend	Reject	The amendment requested would alter thrust of sentence, and not improve it.
1-2-2 4	115.1	Amend	Accept	It is appropriate to acknowledge the environmental benefits of forestry.
1-2-2 4	187.1, 188.1, 189.1, 192.1, 249.2, 311.1	Amend	Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A report.
1-2-3 4	73.2; 320.3	Amend	Accept	Omitting reference to 'precursors' the amendment requested is useful.
1-2-3 4	19.3; 19.4	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A report.
1-2-3 4	106.13	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A report. As the Plan is not a pest management instrument, the amendment about pest species is not pertinent.
1-2-3 4	115.2	Amend	Accept	It is appropriate to acknowledge the environmental benefits of forestry.
1-2-3 4	150.2; 150.3	Retain	Accept in part	Some amendments have been made
1-2-3 4	169.5	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A report, except that we accept that it is inappropriate to state that quarry should have 'no effects' on water quality.
1-2-3 4	169.6	Amend	Accept in part	See submission point 169.5
1-2-3 4	171.1	Amend	Accept	The amendment requested is useful.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
1-2-3 4	200.10	Retain	Accept in part	Some amendments have been made
1-2-3 4	245.2; 282.2; 286.6	Amend	Accept in part	See submission point 169.5
1-2-3 4	253.1	Amend	Reject	Submission did not provide precise details of amendment requested
1-2-3 4	311.2	Amend	Accept	The amendment requested is useful.
1-2-3 4	106.14; 106.15; 314.2	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A report.
1-2-4 5	150.4	Amend	Accept in part.	It is appropriate to clarify the provision, but we find the phrase used should be "significantly adversely" (see also submission point 169.5).
1-2-4 5	169.7; 245.4; 282.4; 286.7	Amend	Accept in part	See submission points 169.5 and 150.4.
1-2-4 5	245.3; 282.3	Amend	Accept in part	It is appropriate to acknowledge the aggrading nature of Canterbury's river.
1-2-6 4	20.4; 192.2; 320.4,	Amend	Accept	We are recommending amendment accordingly.
1-2-6 6	19.5	Amend	Reject	The requested amendment would add confusion.
1-2-6 6	73.1	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the reason for treating sensitive lake zones separately is invalid.
1-2-6 6	73.3	Amend	Accept	We recommend an amendment to that effect.
1-2-6 6	82.7	Amend	Reject	The amendment requested would alter the meaning, which we consider unjustified.
1-2-6 6	82.8	Amend	Reject	The law on reverse sensitivity cannot be adequately summarised in the words suggested.
1-2-6 6	115.3	Amend	Accept	We recommend an amendment to that effect.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
1-2-6 6	131.6, 171.2,	Amend	Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A report.
1-2-6 6	169.8	Amend	Reject	The amendment requested would not be pertinent to the subject matter of this paragraph.
1-2-6 6	171.2	Amend	Accept	We are recommending an amendment to the effect requested.
1-2-6 6	187.2, 188.2	Amend	Accept	We are recommending an amendment to the effect requested.
1-2-6 6	196.1	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A report.
1-2-6 6	246.1	Amend	Accept	We are recommending an amendment to the effect requested.
1-2-6 6	250.2	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending amendments to the effect of most of those requested.
1-2-6 6	257.7	Retain	Accept in part	Some amendments have been made to the section
1-2-6 6	257.8	Amend	Reject	We are unsure what specialist infrastructure is referred to and we are not persuaded that the amendment requested is justified
1-2-6 6	265.5, 308.2, 311.3	Amend	Accept	We are recommending an amendment to the general effect of that requested.
1-2-6 6	270.2	Amend	Reject	The submission does not give precise details of the amendment requested
1-2-6 6	306.2	Amend	Reject	"Land transport networks" is uncertain of application, and we are not persuaded that the amendment requested is justified.
1-2-6 6	318.6	Amend	Reject	Considering the NPSFM, the CRPS, and CWMS, we are not persuaded that all existing activities should be so considered.
1-2-6 6	318.7	Amend	Reject	Considering the NPSFM, the CRPS, and CWMS, we are not persuaded that all primary and other industries should be so considered.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
1-3-0 6	123.6	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending some minor amendments to Section 1.3
1-3-0 6	270.1	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that any such intention of the kind referred to is a suitable subject of a provision in the Plan.
1-3-0 6	270.3	Amend	Reject	The CWMS collaborative and integrative approach is already identified in the first paragraph of Section 1.3, & in paragraph 1.3.2. Given the place of the Plan under the RMA, we are not persuaded that selecting sections of the community would be a suitable subject of provisions in the Plan.
1-3-0 11	120.1	Amend	Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A report. See also the separate narrative.
1-3-1 6	19.6	Amend	Reject	There is strong basis in law for the place of Ngāi Tahu in managing Canterbury's natural resources. See also the separate narrative above.
1-3-1 6	169.9	Amend	Reject	The submission does not give precise details of the amendment requested. Network utility operators already have special status accorded by Pt 8 RMA as requiring authorities.
1-3-1 6	214.1	Amend	Reject	Without belittling the importance of LINZ's functions, we are not persuaded identifying LINZ as a key partner would be justified.
1-3-1 6	311.4	Amend	Reject	The CWMS collaborative and integrative approach is already identified in the first paragraph of Section 1.3, and in paragraph 1.3.2.
1-3-1 6	318.8	Amend	Reject	We do not question the sentiment expressed, but the submission does not give precise details of the amendment requested..
1-3-1 6	319.7	Amend	Reject	We do not question the sentiment expressed, but the submission does not give precise details of the amendment requested..
1-3-1 6	390.1	Amend	Reject	The CWMS is already identified in the 1 st paragraph of Section 1.3, & in paragraph 1.3.2. As the Plan is made under the RMA, details of the LWV or zone committees

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
				are not relevant.
1-3-1 11	320.5	Amend	Reject	The CWMS collaborative and integrative approach is already identified in the first paragraph of Section 1.3 & in para 1.3.2. Given the place of the Plan under the RMA, we are not persuaded that selecting sections of the community would be a suitable subject of provisions in the Plan.
1-3-1 11	106.16		Reject	The CWMS collaborative and integrative approach is already identified in the first paragraph of Section 1.3 & in paragraph 1.3.2.
1-3-1 11	246.2	Amend	Reject	As the Plan is made under the RMA, we are not persuaded that selecting sections of the community would be a suitable subject of provisions in the Plan.
1-3-2 11	246.3	Amend	Reject	The submission did not state precise details of the amendment requested.
1-3-2 12	187.3, 188.3; 320.6	Amend	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A report. See also the separate narrative.
1-3-2 12	187.4, 188.4	Amend	Reject	Parallel processes are expressly mentioned in section 1.3.2.
1-3-2 12	250.3	Amend	Reject	Section 1.3.2 does not set out the CWMS verbatim; but the passage the submitter wanted deleted is critical to a description of the Strategy. Deleting it would not be justified.
1-3-2 12	253.3	Amend	Reject	The submission did not state precise details of the amendment requested.
1-3-2 12	257.10	Amend	Reject	The reference to priorities is part of a summary of the CWMS. The requested amendment would not be faithful to the content of the CWMS.
1-3-2 12	257.11, 257.12		Reject	The submission does not state precise details of the amendment sought.
1-3-2 12	270.4; 311.5	Amend	Reject	The submission does not give precise details of the amendment requested. The general topic is addressed in the narrative part of this report above.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
1-3-3 6	196.2	Amend	Accept	It is appropriate to refer to the NPSREG as sought as the Plan must give effect to NPS's.
1-3-3 13	250.4	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending amendments that appropriately and proportionately recognise the importance of renewal electricity generation and needs in managing natural and physical resources. See also separate narrative above.

Section 2

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
2-0-0	175.2	Retain	Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons in the Section 42A Report regarding the overall structure of the Plan.
2-0-0	214.4	Retain	Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons in the Section 42A Report regarding the management of land and water as a single entity.
2-0-0 1	123.7	Unclear	Reject	The submission does not give precise details of the amendments sought.
2-0-0 1	196.3	Amend	Accept	Although the objectives and policies form a comprehensive suite of outcomes for the region, the individual provisions can conflict with one another. For this reason, no single objective or policy should be read in isolation. Assessing whether an activity is appropriate requires an overall broad judgement to be made as to how it fits within the entire scheme of the LWRP and provides for the achievement of the environmental outcomes sought for the Canterbury Region.
2-0-0 1	198.2	Amend	Accept in part	Cross referencing between the main Plan and the sub-regional sections is intended. Time does not allow for cross referencing within the main Plan.
2-0-0 1	228.2	Retain	Accept	In response to submissions we are recommending amendments to provide policy improvements for stock drinking water.
2-0-0 1	250.5	Amend	Accept in part	We accept that implementing the CWMS is not an appropriate purpose of the Plan, and recommend omitting the third sentence and substituting: “They are consistent with the vision and principles of the CWMS.”
2-1-0 1	169.10	Amend	Accept in part	We recommend amending the sentence in question by omitting the word “attained” and substituting “implemented by the policies, rules and other methods.”
2-1-0 1	196.4, 250.6	Amend	Accept in part	We recommend the amendment on 169.10.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
2-1-0 1	245.5, 282.5	Amend	Accept in part	We recommend the amendment on 169.10.
2-1-0 4	169.11	Amend	Accept in part	There is existing wording in the Plan regarding the use of definitions.
2-1-0 4	169.12	Amend	Accept in part	We accept that the references to 'allocation block' in this Plan are unclear. In response to this submission point we recommend that wherever in this Plan the words "allocation block" occur, they are omitted and the words 'allocation limit' substituted; and any consequential amendments made
2-1-0 4	169.13	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the amendment requested would be useful. Although the characteristics of these rivers may vary from reach to reach, their fundamental and highly valued quality as alpine rivers applies from source to sea.
2-1-0 4	169.16	Amend	Accept	We recommend omitting the definition of 'disturbed land'.
2-1-0 5	169.14	Amend	Accept	We recommend omitting the definition of 'Community or network utility operator storm water system'.
2-1-0 6	169.15	Amend	Accept in part	We recommend omitting reference to 'maintenance', and inserting a separate definition of it.
2-1-0 7	169.17	Amend	Accept	We recommend amending the definition of 'diversion'.
2-1-0 7	169.18	Amend	Accept in part	We recommend an amendment to the definition of 'drain' to address the substance of this submission point.
2-1-0 7	169.19	Amend	Accept in part	We recommend omitting the definition 'land drainage system' because that term is not used in the Plan. We note that there is a definition of the term 'drainage system'.
2-1-0 7	169.20	Amend	Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons in the Section 42A Report and we recommend omitting the definition 'environmental management strategy for irrigation' because that term is not used in the Plan.
2-1-0 7	169.21	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons in the Section 42A Report and we

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
				recommend amending the definition of 'existing resource consent'.
2-1-0 7	169.31	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons in the Section 42A Report and recommend amending the definition of 'potentially contaminated'
2-1-0 8	169.22	Amend	Reject	We recommend omitting the definition of 'fully allocated' because it is not used in the Plan.
2-1-0 8	169.23	Amend	Accept	We recommend omitting the definition of 'harmful substance' because it is not used in the Plan.
2-1-0 8	169.24	Amend	Accept	We recommend omitting the definition of 'hazardous facility' because it is not used in the Plan.
2-1-0 8	169.25	Amend	Accept in part	We recommend omitting the definition of 'lwi Plan' because the term is not used in the Plan.
2-1-0 8	169.26	Amend	Accept	We recommend omitting the definition of 'Land Use Consent' as this term is not used in the Plan.
2-1-0 8	169.27	Amend	Accept in part	We recommend inserting a new definition of 'maintenance'.
2-1-0 11	169.28	Amend	Accept	We recommend amending the definition of 'Non-Point Discharge' accordingly.
2-1-0 11	169.29	Amend	Accept	We recommend amending the definition of 'Outstanding Fresh Water bodies' accordingly
2-1-0 12	169.30	Amend	Accept	We recommend omitting the definition of 'petroleum product' as this term is not used in the Plan.
2-1-0 12	169.32	Amend	Accept in part	We recommend omitting the definition of 'policy statement' as this term is not used in the Plan
2-1-0 12	169.33	Amend	Accept	We recommend omitting the definition of 'Proposed Policy Statement' as this term is

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
				not used in the Plan
2-1-0 12	169.34	New definition	Reject	The submission does not state precise detail of the amendment sought.
2-1-0 12	169.35	Amend	Accept in part	We recommend replacing the definition of 'reasonable mixing zone' with a new definition of 'reasonable mixing'.
2-1-0 12	169.36	Amend	Reject	We are recommending amending the definition of 'reasonable use test'.
2-1-0 12	169.45	Amend	Accept	We recommend omitting the definition of 'Waste or Other Matter'.
2-1-0 12	169.47	New definition	Reject	The submission does not state precise detail of the amendment sought.
2-1-0 13	169.37	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons in the Section 42A Report; and recommend omitting the definition of 'Renewable Energy'.
2-1-0 13	169.38	Amend	Accept in part	We recommend amending the definition of 'Settlement'.
2-1-0 13	169.39	Amend	Accept	We recommend omitting the definition of 'soil moisture deficit' because the term is not used in the Plan.
2-1-0 13	169.42	Amend	Accept	There being no definition of 'urban area' in the Plan we recommend no change.
2-1-0 14	169.40	Amend	Accept in part	We recommend amending the definition of 'reticulated stormwater system' accordingly.
2-1-0 14	169.41	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons in the Section 42A Report, and recommend omitting the definition of 'Territorial Authority' as unnecessary.
2-1-0 15	169.43	Amend	Reject	We recommend omitting the definition of 'used oil or waste oil' as the term is not used in the Plan.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
2-1-0 15	169.44	New definition	Reject	We recommend no change to the definition of 'vertebrate toxic agent' as the reference to trade name products is useful.
2-1-0 15	169.46	New definition	Reject	This submission point does not give precise details of the amendment requested, and the term 'waste collection and disposal sites' does not require definition.
2-2-0 1	72.1	Amend	Reject	This submission point does not give precise details of the amendment requested. We adopt the recommendations and reasons in the Section 42A Report.
2-2-0 1	196.5	Amend	Reject	We do not accept the reason given for omitting the last sentence of Section 2.2, as this is useful explanation.
2-2-1	345.6	New definition	Reject	This submission point does not give precise details of the amendment requested. The words 'farm and farming activities' take their ordinary meaning and do not require definition.
2-2-1 7	345.4	Amend	Accept	We are recommending amending the definition of 'efficiency' to include dynamic efficiency.
2-2-1 7	345.5	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons in the Section 42A Report, and recommend amending the definition of 'ecosystem services'.
2-2-10 8	345.3	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons in the Section 42A Report, and recommend amending the definition of 'Farm Environment Plan Auditor' in response to other submissions.
2-3-0 1	196.6	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons in the Section 42A Report, and recommend amending the third bullet point in Section 2.3.
2-3-0 1	196.7	Retain	Accept	Although we recommend minor improvements to Section 2.3 concerning rule bundling, we recommend retaining the concept in the Plan.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
2-3-0 1	245.6, 282.6	Retain	Accept	We recommend amendments to Section 2.3 to clarify its application to multiple proposals.
2-4-0	214.2	Retain	Accept	See the separate narrative above.
2-4-0 2	19.7	Amend	Reject	We are satisfied that the text of the Plan explains the interpretation of rules apparently in conflict.
2-4-0 2	232.1, 257.13	Amend	Reject	The submissions do not give precise detail of the amendments requested in these points.
2-4-0 2	351.1	Amend	Accept in part.	The intended effect of the contents of Table 1 is clarified in Section 2.5 and by Policy 4.1.
2-6-0 2	192.3; 320.7, 311.6	Amend	Accept in part	The intended effect of the contents of Table 1 is clarified in Section 2.5 and by Policy 4.1.
2-6-0 2	192.4	Amend	Reject	Valuable as it is, the zone committee approach has its place under the CWMS, but not under statutory processes of the RMA.
2-6-0 2	214.3	Retain	Accept	Although we recommend some amendments, the discussion of limits is substantially retained in Section 2.5.
2-6-0 2	364.1	Amend	Accept in part	As we recommend the Plan be amended, good practice would not have the effect warned against by this submission point; Table 1 would have general application; and zone committees would not be given inappropriate mandates.
2-7-0 2	320.8	Various	Accept in part	We recommend omitting the reference to Table 1 in Section 2.6 as recommended; and retaining reference to collaboratively informed limits to the extent lawful.
2-7-0 2	72.2		Accept in part	This submission point does not give precise details of the amendment requested; but the Plan provides for subregional sections which include allocation of available water.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
2-7-0 2	82.9	Amend	Accept in part	Although not stated in recommended Section 2.6, the Plan as we recommend it be amended would not provide for new activities in over-allocated catchments (with limited exceptions).
2-7-0 2	257.14		Accept in part	This submission point does not state precise detail of the amendment requested. The limits set in the Plan are based on information available, and sub-regional sections may be developed on further research.
2-7-0 2	279.1	Amend	Reject	Omitting the second feature of over allocation in recommended Section 2.6 would not faithfully describe the effect of the provisions we recommend.
2-7-0 2	311.7	Retain	Accept	We recommend omitting from the second sentence of recommended Section 2.6 the reference to Table 1.
2-7-0 2	318.9, 319.8		Accept in part	This submission point does not state precise detail of the amendment requested. The limits set in the Plan are based on information available, and sub-regional sections may be developed on further research.
2-7-0 2	326.12	Amend	Accept	We recommend omitting 'essential community water supplies' from recommended Section 2.6 and replacing it with 'community drinking water supplies'.
2-7-0 2	351.2	Amend	Accept in part	Incorporating amendments we recommend, the Plan would expect prompt progress with subregional sections on over-allocation; and has default provisions for the interim.
2-7-0 2	364.2		Accept in part	This submission point does not state precise detail of the amendment requested. Incorporating amendments we recommend, the Plan would apply strategic policies generally and expect prompt progress with sub-regional sections on over-allocation; and has default provisions for the interim.
2-8-0 3	123.8		Reject	No decision sought.
2-8-0 3	315.1	Amend	Accept in part	Although we do not recommend inserting the sentence requested about decisions on outcomes, sub-regional sections will comply with the RMA, and we recommend

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
				inserting additional text based on that requested. The Preferred Approach is retained.
2-8-0 3	351.3	Amend	Accept in part	Incorporating amendments we recommend, the Plan would expect prompt progress with sub-regional sections on over-allocation; and has default provisions for the interim.
2-8-0 3	364.3		Accept in part	The submission does not state precise detail of amendments requested. Incorporating amendments we recommend the Plan would have effects along the lines requested.
2-9-0 3	94.2	Amend	Reject	It is not necessary to make the extensive cross reference to NRRP rules requested.
2-9-0 3	131.7	Retain	Accept in part	Contents of the pHWRRP would not prevail over the objectives or strategic policies.
2-9-0 3	167.1	Amend	Accept in part	We recommend adding the following to section 2.8: <u>Regional Coastal Environment Plan</u> <u>The Regional Coastal Environment Plan has objectives, policies and rules to manage the coastal environment, which includes the coastal marine area. It includes objectives, policies and rules on protection and enhancement of the coast; water quality; controls on activities and structures; and coastal hazards.</u>
2-9-0 3	221.105, 256.52	Amend	Accept in part	We recommend the amendments requested, with a minor correction, adding “on the same subject matter”.
2-9-0 3	221.107	Amend	Accept	We recommend amendments regarding discharges in section on Waitaki Catchment Water Allocation Regional Plan.
2-9-0 3	245.7	Amend	Accept in part	Amendments we recommend conform with the legal position.
2-9-0 3	257.15	Amend	Accept	We recommend an amendment that clarifies this point.
2-9-0 3	348.1	Amend	Accept in part	The pHWRRP is no longer silent; and the pLWRRP does contain default provisions.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
2-10-0 4	212.1	New definition	Accept	We recommend inserting the requested definition of 'animal effluent' accordingly.
2-10-0 4	216.1	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending inserting a definition of 'property'.
2-10-0 4	287.6, 133.7, 136.7, 137.7, 172.7, 184.7, 327.7	New definition	Reject	Consequent on recommended amendments to the rules in question, a definition of 'farm' would serve no purpose and its common understanding suffices.
2-10-0 4	291.6	New definition	Reject	We recommend not inserting a definition of 'significant and enduring reduction' as the term is no longer used in the Plan.
2-10-0 4	320.202	New definition	Reject	We are not persuaded of a need to alter the meaning of the term 'hill and high country'.
2-10-0 4	320.203	New definition	Reject	We are not persuaded of a need to define 'upland stream' as it is not used in the Plan.
2-10-0 4	31.19	New definition	Accept in part	We are recommending amendment to rules to address this point. We are not persuaded of a need to define hobby farms or life style blocks.
2-10-0 4	87.2		Accept in part	We are recommending amendments to rules addressing. nutrient loads and animal effluent.
2-10-0 4	93.4	New definition	Accept in part	We are recommending amendment to rules to address this point. We are not persuaded of a need to define the management units and sub units referred to in Tables 1a and 1c..
2-10-0 4	95.1, 101.1, 109.1, 118.1, 143.1, 163.1, 170.1, 246.4, 274.1, 357.1	New definition	Accept	See reasons on submission point 212.1.
2-10-0 4	95.12, 109.12, 118.12, 163.12, 170.12, 246.20	Amend	Accept	We recommend amending the definition of 'stock holding area'.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
2-10-0 4	99.78	New definition	Accept	We recommend inserting a new definition of 'Aquifer 1' as in the marked up version Appendix 2.
2-10-0 4	106.19	New definition	Accept	We recommend amendments for a definition of 'intensively farmed stock'.
2-10-0 4	106.27	New definition	Reject	The submission does not give precise details of the amendment requested.
2-10-0 4	120.5	New definition	Accept	We recommend amending the Plan by providing that the term 'Indigenous Biodiversity Offset' in this Plan has the same meaning as in the CRPS 2013.
2-10-0 4	120.6	New definition	Reject	See Reason on submission 345.6
2-10-0 4	120.7	New definition	Reject	We recommend not including definitions of 'fresh' and 'flood', as these words take their ordinary meaning, according to context.
2-10-0 4	120.8	New definition	Reject	We recommend not including a definition of 'identified significant indigenous biodiversity site' as it is no longer used in the Plan.
2-10-0 4	120.12	New definition	Reject	For the purpose of this Plan, the term over-allocation is described in recommended Section 2.6.
2-10-0 4	120.13	New definition	Reject	We recommend not including a definition of 'potable' as this word takes its ordinary meaning according to context.
2-10-0 4	120.14	New definition	Reject	The surface water allocation zones can extend over more than one catchment.
2-10-0 4	120.15	New definition	Reject	For the purpose of this Plan, the term 'surface water' has the meaning ascribed in the existing definition.
2-10-0 4	125.1, 161.1	New	Accept	We recommend amending the definition of 'community water supply' broadly in

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
		definition		accord with this submission point.
2-10-0 4	127.2	Amend	Reject	We consider it unnecessary to insert a definition of 'telecommunication and radio-communication facilities' as in this Plan those words take their ordinary meaning in context.
2-10-0 4	128.7	Amend	Reject	Consequent on recommended amendments to the rules in question, a definition of 'domestic wastewater' would serve no purpose.
2-10-0 4	131.11; 149.16; 162.3	New definition	Reject	See Reason on submission 345.6
2-10-0 4	142.1	New definition	Reject	Consequent on recommended amendments to the rule in question, a definition of 'Site to Site Consent Transfers' would serve no purpose
2-10-0 4	149.17	New definition	Reject	As we do not accept submissions in respect of 'rural research activities' there is no purpose in inserting a definition of those words.
2-10-0 4	167.3	New definition	Accept	We recommend inserting a definition of 'cleanfill' accordingly.
2-10-0 4	167.63	Amend	Accept	We recommend omitting references to land zoned LH1 and replacing them with references to land shown as high soil erosion risk on the Planning Maps, or land that qualifies as hill and high country.
2-10-0 4	169.129	New definition	Reject	This submission point does not give precise details of the amendment to the LWRP requested.
2-10-0 4	171.4	New definition	Accept	We are recommending a definition of 'property'
2-10-0 4	171.10, 253.6, 293.2	New definition	Reject	We do not recommend inserting a definition of 'sensitive sites' as the term is not used in the Plan.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
2-10-0 4	179.29; 180.28	Retain	Accept in part	We recommend retaining many definitions, amending some, and omitting those that no longer serve any purpose.
2-10-0 4	197.31	New definition	Accept	We recommend inserting a new definition of the term 'principal water supplier' accordingly.
2-10-0 4	197.106	New definition	Accept	As the term 'regionally significant infrastructure' is defined in the CRPS, that definition applies to this Plan.
2-10-0 4	198.8	New definition	Reject	See Reason on submission 345.6
2-10-0 4	198.43, 266.9	New definition	Reject	As we recommend amendments to Schedule 7, this amendment is no longer pertinent.
2-10-0 4	221.73, 256.32	New definition	Reject	As we recommend amendments to the definition of 'damming', the requested amendment is no longer pertinent.
2-10-0 4	245.83, 282.44	New definition	Accept	Refer to submission point 167.3.
2-10-0 4	245.87, 282.46	New definition	Reject	As we are recommending amendment to the relevant rules, the proposed new definition of 'erosion prone land' is no longer pertinent.
2-10-0 4	253.17	New definition	Reject	The submission does not give precise details of the amendment requested. We do not accept that paper roads are a transport network; and are not persuaded that the definition requested is needed.
2-10-0 4	253.21	New definition	Reject	Including septic tank effluent would alter the meaning, and we are not persuaded that it would be pertinent.
2-10-0 4	257.16	New	Reject	A definition of 'animal waste' is not required for words that are intended to be used in their ordinary meaning.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
2-10-0 4	257.72	New definition	Accept in part	In response to another submission we are recommending a slightly different definition of 'property'.
2-10-0 4	265.10	Amend	Reject	The submission does not give precise details of the amendment requested. A definition is not required for words that are intended to be used in their ordinary meaning.
2-10-0 4	265.11	New definition	Reject	See Reason on submission 345.6.
2-10-0 4	265.42	New definition	Reject	As we are recommending a new rule which does not use the term 'management zone' definition of it is no longer pertinent.
2-10-0 4	270.19	New definition	Reject	As we are recommending new rules which does not use this term, definition of 'industry articulated good management practice' is no longer pertinent.
2-10-0 4	270.66	New definition	Reject	As we are recommending new rules which do not use this term, definition of 'active bed' is no longer pertinent.
2-10-0 4	270.70	New definition	Accept in part	We are recommending amendments concerning auditing which address the requested status for Fonterra Supply Programme.
2-10-0 4	273.13, 297.15	New definition	Reject	A definition is not required for words that are intended to be used in their ordinary meaning.
2-10-0 4	273.16	New definition	Reject	As we are recommending new provisions that do not use the term, the requested definition of 'Erosion and Sediment Control Plan' is no longer pertinent.
2-10-0 4	273.17	New definition	Reject	As we are recommending new provisions that do not use the term, the requested definition of 'Harvest Plan' is no longer pertinent.
2-10-0 4	288.7	New definition	Reject	As we are recommending new provisions that do not differentiate between temporary and permanent transfers, the requested definitions of those terms are no longer pertinent.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
2-10-0 4	306.5	New definition	Accept in part	We are recommending insertion of a definition of maintenance, though not in the wording requested by this submitter.
2-10-0 4	310.26	New definition	Reject	Re 'farming' see reasons above on submission point 149.16. Re rural research see reasons above on submission point 149.17.
2-10-0 4	311.157	New definition	Reject	See reasons on submission point 320.202 regarding ' <u>Hill or high country environment</u> '.
2-10-0 4	311.158	New definition	Reject	See reasons on submission point 320.203 regarding ' <u>Upland stream</u> '.
2-10-0 4	314.3	Amend	Accept	We recommend omission of the definitions of 'quarrying' and 'disturbed land'.
2-10-0 4	317.2	New definition	Reject	See reasons on submission point 149.16 regarding definition of 'farming' and 'farming activities'.
2-10-0 4	317.3	New definition	Reject	A definition is not required for 'livestock processing', being words that are not used in the Plan.
2-10-0 4	318.13, 318.75, 319.11	New definition	Accept in part	We recommend inserting a definition of 'property' that we consider preferable to this request.
2-10-0 4	318.383, 19.32, 347.143	New definition	Accept	The term 'solid animal waste' is defined in Section 2.
2-10-0 4	326.1	Amend	Reject	Although some ephemeral drains may have water in them for limited or short durations, others may for all the time, so we are not persuaded that the amendment requested would be helpful.
2-10-0 4	326.10	Amend	Reject	What is good practice will depend on the context, and we are not persuaded that the proposed definition would help.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
2-10-0 4	326.11	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that replacing the word 'farming' with the words 'production land' would assist understanding of the application of the Plan's provisions.
2-10-0 4	326.38	New definition	Reject	The submission does not give precise details of the amendment requested.
2-10-0 4	327.13	New definition	Reject	As we recommend amendments to Schedule 7, defining 'appropriate professional qualification' is no longer pertinent.
2-10-0 4	344.11	New definition	Reject	See reasons on submission point 320.202 regarding ' <u>Hill or high country environment</u> '.
2-10-0 4	344.12	New definition	Reject	See reasons on submission point 320.203 regarding ' <u>Upland stream</u> '.
2-10-0 4	347.26	Amend	Reject	The submission point does not give precise details of the amendment(s) requested.
2-10-0 4	347.31; 364.5	New definition	Reject	As the term 'Natural Character' is intended to have the meaning used in section 6(a) RMA, we are not persuaded of a need to define this phrase
2-10-0 4	347.51, 347.52	New definition	Reject	As the phrase is intended to have its ordinary meaning, we are not persuaded of a need to define the phrase 'regional network'.
2-10-0 4	347.139	New definition	Reject	There is a definition of 'wastewater' in recommended Section 2.9. As the word 'sewage' is intended to be given its ordinary meaning, there is no need to define it,
2-10-0 4	347.141	New definition	Accept	There is a definition of 'solid animal waste' in recommended Section 2.9. We are recommending a definition of 'animal effluent' see submission point 212.1.
2-10-0 4	347.147	New definition	Reject	See reason on submission point 149.16 regarding definition of 'farming' or 'farming activity'.
2-10-0 4	351.10	New definition	Reject	As used in the objectives in question, the meaning of the word 'sustainable' may not be identical with 'sustainable management' as described in s 5(2) RMA.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
2-10-0 4	358.22	New definition	Reject	The submission point does not give precise details of the amendment requested.
2-10-0 4	358.190	New definition	Reject	The meaning of the phrase 'bulk storage' can be gained from the various contexts in which it is used in Schedule 3.
2-10-0 5	86.1, 146.3, 160.1, 230.1, 268.1	New definition	Accept	We recommend insertion of a definition of 'community water supply' accordingly.
2-10-0 5	182.1	Amend	Reject	Recommended Section 2.9 contains definitions of 'field capacity' and 'profile available water' Units for describing irrigation application are indicated in the relevant rules. 'Wilting point' is a technical term the meaning of which is known in the industry and is not controversial. The submission point does not give precise details of the definition requested.
2-10-0 5	239.18	New definition	Reject	A definition is not required for words that are intended to be used in their ordinary meaning eg 'catchments'..
2-10-0 5	257.17; 326.2	Amend	Reject	Due to amendments we recommend, the term 'changed' is no longer used in this context, and the definition is omitted.
2-10-0 6	127.11	New definition	Reject	Having reviewed the contexts in which the term 'domestic wastewater' is used in the Plan, we find it is used in its ordinary meaning, and a definition is not needed.
2-10-0 6	128.1	Amend	Reject	As the term 'contaminated land' is defined, it may be qualified by using the word 'potentially' in its ordinary meaning. In response to other submissions we are recommending omission of 'potentially' from Rule 4.26 (formerly 4.23).
2-10-0 6	146.73	New definition	Reject	A definition is not required for words that are intended to be used in their ordinary meaning eg 'culvert'.
2-10-0 6	326.3	Amend	Reject	In response to another submission we recommend omitting the definition of

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
				'disturbed land', so amendment of that definition is no longer pertinent.
2-10-0 7	114.5	New definition	Reject	See reasons for submission 345.6 regarding definition of 'Farming Activity'.
2-10-0 7	128.9, 148.9, 165.10	New definition	Reject	Consequent on recommended amendments to the rules in question, a definition of 'domestic wastewater' would serve no purpose.
2-10-0 7	148.2, 165.2	New definition	Reject	A definition is not required for words that are intended to be used in their ordinary meaning.
2-10-0 7	297.18	New definition	Reject	As we are recommending new provisions that do not use the term 'Erosion and Sediment Control Plan', the requested amendment is no longer pertinent.
2-10-0 7	326.4	Amend	Accept	We accept that harvesting of horticultural crops is not intended to be treated as earthworks.
2-10-0 7	326.5	New definition	Accept	We are recommending amending the definition of 'efficiency' accordingly.
2-10-0 8	128.2		Accept	We are recommending amendment to the definition of 'hazardous substance' accordingly.
2-10-0 8	239.19; 266.6	New definition	Reject	See reasons for submission 345.6 regarding definition of 'Farm' or 'A farm activity'.
2-10-0 8	326.6		Accept	We recommend omission of that definition of 'hazardous facility' accordingly.
2-10-0 9	297.19	New definition	Reject	As we are recommending new provisions that do not use this term, the requested definition of 'harvest plan' is no longer pertinent.
2-10-0 10	239.48	New definition	Reject	As we are recommending a new rule which does not use the term 'Management zone', definition of it is no longer pertinent.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
2-10-0 10	257.18	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the Act authorises exempting from environmental constraints certain consent holders “due to their support of the community”.
2-10-0 10	326.7	New definition	Reject	We are not persuaded that the requested replacement definition of ‘irrigation application efficiency’ would be more useful than the notified definition.
2-10-0 11	127.1, 148.1, 165.1	Amend	Reject	The meaning of ‘network utilities’ can be inferred from the meaning given to ‘network utility operator’ in s 166 RMA.
2-10-0 11	128.3	Amend	Accept in part	We recommend omitting from the definition of ‘on-site waste water treatment system’ the words “or a holding tank”. Consequent on recommended amendments to the rules in question, a definition of ‘domestic wastewater’ would serve no purpose.
2-10-0 11	160.30	Amend	Reject	In response to another submission we recommend omitting the definition of ‘outstanding natural features and landscapes’, so the request to amend it is no longer pertinent.
2-10-0 11	257.19	Amend	Reject	Although not every water body within land administered for conservation purposes is necessarily in its natural state, the term ‘natural state water bodies’ is used in the Plan to apply to water bodies within such land. Therefore we are not persuaded that the requested amendment to the definition of ‘natural state water bodies’ would assist understanding of the intended meaning of the term.
2-10-0 11	257.20	Amend	Accept in part	In response to other submissions we recommend amendments to the definition of ‘nutrient discharge’.
2-10-0 11	257.21	Amend	Accept in part	In response to other submissions we recommend amendments to the definition of ‘onsite waste water treatment system’.
2-10-0 11	257.22	Amend	Reject	The submission does not give precise details of the amendment requested. Amendments we are recommending will result in limits being set following local collaboration and testing by the RMA Schedule 1 process.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
2-10-0 11	257.24	Amend	Accept in part	In response to submission point 216.1 we recommend adding a definition of 'property'.
2-10-0 11	326.8	Amend	Accept in part	Consequent on recommended insertion of a new definition of 'nutrient loss calculation' the requested amendment to the definition of 'nutrient discharge' would serve no purpose.
2-10-0 12	257.23	Amend	Reject	As the term 'primary support industry' is not used in the Plan as recommended, inserting a definition of it would not be useful.
2-10-0 13	73.11	New definition	Reject	The submission does not give precise details of the amendment requested. As the term 'sensitive sites' is not used in the Plan as recommended, inserting a definition of it would not be useful.
2-10-0 13	257.26	Amend	Reject	We consider the words proposed to be omitted usefully define the application of the definition of 'reliability of supply'.
2-10-0 13	257.27	Amend	Reject	In response to other submissions we recommend amending this definition of 'riparian margin'. The new definition proposed would alter the intent and application of provisions of the Plan.
2-10-0 14	146.7	New definition	Reject	The requested amendment of the definition of 'stock water' would unjustifiably alter the intended meaning and application of the term.
2-10-0 14	187.84, 188.84	New definition	Reject	The submission does not give precise details of the amendment requested.
2-10-0 14	257.28	New definition	Reject	The submission does not give precise details of the amendment requested. On the definition of 'property', see submission point 216.1. On the definition of 'site' we are recommending an amendment.
2-10-0 14	257.29	New definition	Reject	The submission does not give precise details of the amendment requested. We are not persuaded of the alleged inconsistency in Schedule 9.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
2-10-0 14	326.9	Amend	Reject	The requested amendment would unjustifiably alter the intended meaning and application of the term, as ephemeral water bodies fall with the definition of 'river' in the RMA, s2(1).
2-10-0 15	73.14	New definition	Accept in part	In response to other submissions we recommend amending this definition of 'wetland'.
2-10-0 15	189.8, 192.12	New definition	Reject	As we are recommending new provisions that do not differentiate between temporary and permanent transfers, the requested amendments are no longer pertinent.
2-10-0 16	106.21	Amend	Accept	We recommend omitting the entry of 'MALF' in the list of abbreviations.
2-10-3 4	120.3	Amend	Accept	We recommend amending the definition of 'agrichemical' accordingly.
2-10-4 4	245.8	Amend	Reject	This submission does not state precise details of the amendment requested. See also submission point 169.4 above
2-10-4 4	250.7	Amend	Reject	See also submission point 169.4 above
2-10-9 4	120.4	Amend	Reject	The Archaeological Association website is generally accessible and gives certainty.
2-10-9 4	226.1	Amend	Reject	The principal definition of 'archaeological site' gives certainty. The proposed amendment is descriptive, not definitive, and raises uncertainty.
2-10-11 4	19.8	Amend	Accept in part	We recommend amending the definition of 'artificial water course' to refer to farm drainage channel.
2-10-11 4	114.1	Amend	Reject	Although Rule 5.66 as recommended makes a condition of permitted activity that fertiliser is not discharged into an artificial watercourse that is more than 2 m in width, that does not justify the amendment sought to the definition of 'artificial watercourse'.
2-10-11 4	114.2	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the amendment requested of the definition of 'artificial

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
				watercourse' would assist understanding of the term.
2-10-14 5	273.12	New definition	Accept in part	We recommend amending the definition of 'bed'
2-10-14 5	297.14	New definition	Reject	This submission does not state precise detail of the amendment requested.
2-10-18 5	246.5	Amend	Accept	We recommend amending the definition of 'bio-solids' accordingly.
2-10-19 5	263.21	Retain	Accept	We are not recommending omitting or amending the definition of 'bore'.
2-10-21 4	14.1, 15.1, 19.9; 20.1; 20.2; 20.3; 24.1, 30.32; 36.1, 37.1, 38.1; 39.38; 42.1, 44.36, 44.37, 44.39, 44.40; 56.2, 66.1, 68.12, 69.2; 73.25, 74.11, 83.1; 88.1, 89.23; 90.1; 95.2, 101.2; 103.1, 109.2, 110.2; 118.2, 124.1, 126.7, 133.2, 136.2, 137.2, 138.1; 139.2; 143.2, 156.4; 162.1; 163.2, 167.2; 170.2, 171.25; 172.2, 184.2, 186.14; 187.28; 187.95, 188.28; 188.95; 189.2; 192.5; 197.107; 198.3; 203.13, 204.13; 206.13; 209.1; 212.2; 215.6, 221.35; 223.1; 225.5, 239.14; 242.14; 246.6; 249.3; 252.11; 254.14, 255.1, 262.1; 264.2; 265.6; 266.1; 267.1; 270.5; 270.74; 274.2; 275.12; 277.2; 279.2; 288.1; 289.1; 290.23, 295.1, 298.2; 298.7;	Amend	Accept in part	We recommend omitting the entire definition of 'changed'.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
	307.1; 308.3; 310.1; 311.8; 311.25; 311.108; 315.4; 317.4; 318.10; 319.9; 320.9; 320.29; 325.6; 327.2; 329.7; 351.4; 357.2; 358.117; 362.2; 370.1; 372.9; 373.3; 374.1, 376.1; 380.1, 382.1			
2-10-21 5	217.2	Amend	Accept in part	In response to other submissions we recommend amending the rules in question.
2-10-21 5	131.8	Amend	Accept	We recommend omitting the entire definition of 'changed'. On the definition of 'property' see submission point 216.1 above.
2-10-24 5	32.1; 99.67; 154.2; 154.3; 157.1, 94.13	Amend	Accept in part	In response to another submission we recommend omitting the definition of 'community or network utility operator stormwater system'.
2-10-26 5	125.2	Amend	Accept in part	In response to another submission we recommend an amendment to the definition of 'group drinking water supply' that substantially meets this request.
2-10-26 5	154.4	Amend	Reject	In response to another submission we recommend inserting a new definition of 'community water supply' which materially meets one of the submitter's requests. We doubt promoting NZDF's business as a supplier of systems is appropriate in the Plan.
2-10-26 5	161.2	Amend	Accept in part	In response to another submission we recommend an amendment to the definition of 'group drinking water supply' that substantially meets this request.
2-10-33 6	127.3	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the definition of 'contaminated land' needs deletion; nor that Policy 4.16 (now 4.19) needs amending as requested; but we recommend amending the definition of 'potentially contaminated'; and amending Policy 4.23 (now 4.26) by omitting 'potentially'.
2-10-33 6	148.3, 165.3; 310.2; 359.1	Amend	Reject	See reasons on submission point 127.3 above concerning definition of 'contaminated land'.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
2-10-35 6	197.87; 250.8	Amend	Accept in part	In response to another submission, we recommend amending the definition of 'dam' in ways that meet the substance of some of this submission point.
2-10-43 6	150.5; 167.4; 245.9, 263.5; 273.14; 282.7; 297.16; 306.3;	Retain	Reject	In response to another submission we recommend omitting the definition of 'disturbed land'.
2-10-44 7	245.10	Amend	Accept in part	In response to this submission we recommend amending the definition of 'diversion' in ways that substantially address amendment requested in this submission point.
2-10-46 7	19.10	Amend	Reject	The submission point effectively requests using 'ditch' instead of 'channel'. We are not persuaded that amendment would improve understanding of the term.
2-10-46 7	114.3	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the amendment requested would improve understanding of the meaning of the word 'drain'.
2-10-47 7	114.4	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the amendment requested would improve understanding of the meaning of the term 'drainage system'.
2-10-50 7	94.3	Amend	Accept	In response to this and other submissions we recommend amendments to the definition of 'earthworks'.
2-10-50 7	245.11, 282.8; 286.2, 314.4	Amend	Reject	Mining and quarrying generally involve earthworks of a scale that does not merit exemption from control of earthworks.
2-10-50 7	263.4	Retain	Accept in part	In response to other submissions we recommend amendments to the definition of 'earthworks'.
2-10-51 7	347.27; 347.29	Amend	Accept in part	We recommend omission of the definition of 'ecological health'; and (in response to another submission) a minor amendment to the definition of 'ecosystem'.
2-10-52 7	106.17	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the requested amendment of the definition 'ecosystem' would improve understanding of ecosystems.
2-10-52 7	347.28	Amend	Reject	Referring to life-supporting capacity where appropriate does not justify removing a

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
				definition of ecosystem.
2-10-53 7	106.93	Amend	Accept	We recommend amending the definition of 'ecosystem services' accordingly.
2-10-53 7	273.15; 297.17	Amend	Reject	The submission point does not give precise details of the amendment requested to the definition of 'ecosystem services'.
2-10-54 7	189.3; 192.6; 288.2; 311.9; 320.10	Amend	Accept	We recommend amending the definition of 'efficiency' accordingly
2-10-56 6	19.11; 131.9, 187.96, 188.96; 189.4; 192.7; 239.15; 265.7, 270.6; 288.3;	Amend	Accept	We recommend omitting the definition of 'environmental management strategy for irrigation'.
2-10-59 8	19.12	Amend	Accept in part	We are unaware that any other university offers a course for Farm Environment Plan Auditors, but recommended option 3 would allow for other suitable qualifications.
2-10-59 8	19.13; 239.16; 244.13; 265.8	Amend	Accept	We recommend amending the definition of 'Farm Environment Plan Auditor' accordingly.
2-10-59 8	187.93, 188.93	Amend	Reject	The submission point does not state in precise detail the amendment requested
2-10-63 8	167.5; 245.12, 245.13; 245.14	Amend	Accept	We recommend omitting the definition of 'flood control structure' and inserting a definition of 'defence against water accordingly.
2-10-66 8	94.4	Amend	Accept	We recommend amending the definition of 'Flow sensitive catchment' accordingly.
2-10-71 5	32.2	Retain	Accept in part	We retain the definition of 'group drinking water supply' and recommend amending it.
2-10-71 8	86.2, 146.4; 157.2; 160.2, 167.6; 230.2; 268.2	Amend	Accept in part	We recommend omitting the words "more than 25 but" from the definition of 'group drinking water supply' and substituting "one household". We are not persuaded that this definition should be limited to apply only to supplies registered with the Ministry

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
				of Health.
2-10-71 8	94.12	Amend	Accept in part	We recommend amending the definition of 'group drinking water supply'.
2-10-71 8	154.5	Amend	Accept in part	In response to another submission we recommend inserting a new definition of 'community water supply' which materially meets one of the submitter's requests. We doubt promoting NZDF's business as a supplier of systems is appropriate in the Plan.
2-10-74 9	127.4; 148.4; 149.1, 165.4; 310.3	Amend	Accept in part	We recommend amending the definition of 'hazardous substance' to refer to Schedule 4 Part A.
2-10-75 8	167.7; 187.94, 188.94; 359.2	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that amending the definition of 'hazardous activity or industry' as requested would assist clear understanding of the meaning intended by this definition.
2-10-77 9	270.69	Amend		We are not persuaded that milk should be excluded from the application of control of hazardous substances.
2-10-79 9	106.18; 167.8; 217.3; 273.18; 297.20; 311.156; 320.201; 344.10	Amend	Accept	We are not persuaded that any change to the definition of 'hill and high country' is justified.
2-10-80 9	222.30, 228.42; 246.7	Amend	Accept	We recommend that the definition of 'indoor intensive farming' is omitted.
2-10-83 9	146.5; 154.1; 189.5; 192.8; 197.105; 199.1; 288.4; 306.4; 311.10; 318.11; 319.10; 320.11	Amend	Accept in part	We recommend that the definition of 'infrastructure' be omitted as redundant.
2-10-84 9	19.14	Amend	Reject	Amendments we are recommending to Schedule 12 will be more effective than deleting the definition of 'interference effects'.
2-10-85 9	187.97, 188.97, 189.6; 192.9;	Amend	Reject	The amendment requested to the definition of 'irrigation' would exclude a major

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
	288.5			purpose of irrigation.
2-10-86 10	187.102, 188.102	Amend	Reject	The submission point does not state precise details of the amendment sought; and the definition of 'irrigation application efficiency' does not preclude use of the measures referred to.
2-10-89 10	358.92	Amend	Accept	We recommend omitting the definition of 'kaitiakitanga'.
2-10-91 10	358.91	Amend	Accept	We recommend omitting the definition of 'ki uta ki tai'.
2-10-97 10	167.9	Amend	Accept	We recommend amending the definition of 'landfill' accordingly.
2-10-98 10	89.26	Amend	Reject	The submission point does not give precise details of the amendment sought.
2-10-98 10	167.10	Amend	Accept	We recommend amending the definition of 'limit' substantially in accord with the amendments requested.
2-10-105 10	358.90	Amend	Accept	We recommend omitting the definition of 'mauri'.
2-10-106 10	106.20	Amend	Accept	We recommend omitting 'MALF' from the list of abbreviations.
2-10-110 11	9.4	Amend	Accept in part	In response to another submission we recommend amending the definition of 'municipal solid waste' substantially in accord with the amendments requested.
2-10-110 11	167.11	Amend	Accept	We recommend amending the definition of 'solid waste' substantially as requested.
2-10-110 11	167.12	Amend	Accept in part	In response to another submission we recommend inserting a new definition of 'seasonal high water table' substantially in accord with this request.
2-10-116 11	120.9	Retain	Accept	We do not recommend omitting or amending the definition of 'natural state'.
2-10-117 11	73.4	Amend	Reject	We do not accept the submitter's understanding that areas not administered by DoC are included in the definition of 'natural state water bodies'.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
2-10-117 11	120.10	Retain	Accept in part	In response to another submission we are recommending a minor amendment to the definition of 'natural state water bodies'.
2-10-117 11	318.12	Amend	Reject	The submission does not state precise details of the amendment requested.
2-10-118 11	94.8	Amend	Accept	We recommend that the definition of 'natural wetland' be omitted.
2-10-118 11	250.9	Amend	Reject	In response to another submission we recommend that the definition of 'natural wetland' be omitted; and we recommend a new definition of 'wetland'.
2-10-119 11	358.93	Amend	Accept	We recommend that the definition of 'Ngai Tahu' be omitted.
2-10-121 11	273.19, 297.21	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the requested amendment to the definition of 'non-point discharge' would improve understanding of the concept.
2-10-122 11	212.3	Amend	Accept in part	We recommend amendment to the definition of 'nutrient discharge' that would meet the substance of the submission point.
2-10-122 11	19.15; 95.3, 101.3, 109.3, 118.3, 131.10; 143.3, 163.3, 170.3, 187.101, 188.101; 239.17; 246.8, 265.9; 274.3, 357.3	Amend	Accept in part	We recommend amendment to the definition of 'nutrient discharge' that (together with new rules) would meet the substance of the submission point.
2-10-126 11	32.3; 127.5; 148.5, 162.2, 165.6; 301.1; 310.20	Amend	Accept in part	We recommend amendment to the definition of 'on-site waste water treatment system' that would meet the substance of the submission point.
2-10-126 11	199.2	Amend	Reject	The amendment requested would unjustifiably alter the intended application of the definition of 'on-site waste water treatment system'.
2-10-128 11	19.16	Amend	Accept in part	We recommend amending the term 'outdoor intensive farming' itself; omitting item 4; and amending item 1
2-10-128 11	91.2; 93.3; 120.232; 213.1;	Amend	Accept in part	In response to another submitter, we recommend amending the term 'outdoor

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
	222.31, 228.43; 364.4			intensive farming' itself; omitting item 4; and amending items 1 and 2.
2-10-128 11	270.7	Amend	Reject	We recommend that the term defined is amended to 'Intensively farmed stock'. It would be irrational to include arable and horticultural uses in a definition of that term.
2-10-129 11	120.11; 250.10	Amend	Accept	We recommend amending the definition of 'outstanding fresh water bodies' accordingly.
2-10-130 10	86.27; 94.7; 125.36, 146.6, 157.42; 161.29; 230.30; 250.11	Amend or Retain	Accept in part	We recommend omitting the definition of 'outstanding natural features and landscapes'.
2-10-131 11	89.27		Reject	The submission does not give precise details of the amendment sought. We recommend omitting the definition of 'over-allocated'.
2-10-131 11	187.99, 188.99	Amend	Reject	The submission does not give precise details of the amendment sought. We recommend omitting the definition of 'over-allocated'
2-10-138 12	273.20; 297.22	Amend	Accept in part	We recommend amending the definition of 'plantation forest'.
2-10-141 12	99.40	Amend	Reject	In response to another submission we recommend amending the definition of 'potentially contaminated'.
2-10-141 12	187.100, 188.100	Amend	Accept in part	The submission does not give precise details of the amendment sought. In response to another submission we recommend amending the definition of 'potentially contaminated'..
2-10-143 12	189.7, 192.10	Amend	Reject	The request is consequential on the submitters' requested amendments to Schedule 10, which we do not recommend.
2-10-147 12	150.6; 286.3	Amend	Reject	In response to another submission we recommend omitting the definition of 'quarrying', which can take its ordinary meaning.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
2-10-148 12	273.21; 257.25; 297.23	Amend	Accept	We recommend amending the definition of 'reasonable mixing', referring to Schedule 5.
2-10-149 12	188.103, 187.103	Amend	Accept in part	The submission does not give precise details of the amendment sought. In response to another submission we recommend amending the definition of 'reasonable use'.
2-10-151 12	199.3	Retain	Accept	We recommend no amendment of the definition of recovery activities, save for a minor correction.
2-10-152 12	94.6	Amend	Accept	We recommend omitting the definition of the term 'refuse collection' which can take its ordinary meaning.
2-10-159 13	19.17	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the amendment requested would improve understanding of 'reliability of supply'.
2-10-159 13	318.14	Amend	Reject	Although reliability of supply is important to other than irrigators, this definition of 'reliability of supply' is used in respect of irrigators.
2-10-166 13	19.18	Amend	Accept in part	We are not satisfied that point 2 should be omitted from the term 'riparian margin', but in response to another submission we recommend that points 1 and 2 be amended.
2-10-166 13	150.7	Amend	Accept in part	We are not recommending that the definition of 'riparian margin' be omitted, but in response to other submissions we recommend amendments to it.
2-10-167 13	39.16, 44.12	Amend	Reject	We do not accept the submitters' view that the definition of 'river' is uncertain.
2-10-171 13	161.30	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that omitting the definition of 'significant indigenous biodiversity' would assist understanding of the use of those words in the Plan.
2-10-171 13	250.12	Amend	Accept in part	We recommend minor corrections in the definition of 'significant indigenous biodiversity'.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
2-10-172 14	320.111, 311.95	Amend	Reject	We recommend a minor amendment of the definition of 'site', but do not recommend the more radical amendment requested in these submissions.
2-10-172 14	73.5; 89.28; 171.3; 171.24; 187.98, 188.98	Amend	Accept	We recommend a minor amendment of the definition of 'site' so it can be used in practice.
2-10-176 14	320.114, 311.98	Amend	Reject	The requested addition does not form part of a <i>definition</i> .
2-10-176 14	246.9	Retain	Accept	We are not recommending omitting or amending the definition of 'solid animal waste'
2-10-178 4	143.12; 212.12	Amend	Accept	We are recommending amendments to the definition of 'stock holding area'.
2-10-178 14	320.115	Amend	Reject	We are recommending amendments to the definition of 'stock holding area', but not incorporating the amendments requested by this submission which, in our view, would not improve understanding of the term.
2-10-178 14	39.15, 44.17; 56.1, 68.13, 69.14, 74.18, 81.18, 90.21, 103.17, 124.18, 215.1, 255.12, 274.12; 290.5, 316.2, 316.3; 370.8, 372.10, 373.9, 374.26, 380.61, 381.8, 382.22, 387.16	amend	Accept in part	We are recommending amendments to the definition of 'stock holding area' which would satisfy the substance of this submission point
2-10-178 14	73.6	Amend	Reject	We are recommending amendments to the definition of 'stock holding area', but not incorporating the amendments requested by this submission which, in our view, would not improve understanding of the term.
2-10-178 14	95.4	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the requested amendment would improve understanding

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
				of the term 'stock holding area'.
2-10-178 14	101.4, 109.4, 118.4, 143.4, 163.4, 170.4, 212.4; 246.10, 274.4, 357.4	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that excluding outdoor piggeries from the definition of 'stock holding area' would improve understanding of the term.
2-10-178 14	101.13	Amend	Reject	The submission does not give precise details of the amendment requested.
2-10-178 14	171.5; 311.99; 318.40, 319.33; 329.4; 358.35	Amend	Reject	In response to other submissions we recommend amendments to the definition of 'stock holding area'. We are not persuaded that the amendments requested in this submission would improve understanding of the term.
2-10-184 14	94.9	Amend	Reject	We consider the second sentence of the definition of 'surface water' gives useful guidance, and should not be omitted.
2-10-189 14	146.8	Amend	Reject	The amendment requested to the definition of 'telemetered' would change the intention that provision of the information in question is to be provided as a matter of routine, not just on request.
2-10-191 14	146.9	Amend	Accept	We recommend the amendment requested to the definition of 'trench' accordingly.
2-10-197 15	94.10; 347.30	Amend	Accept	We recommend amending the definition of 'vegetation clearance' generally in accord with the amendments requested.
2-10-199 15	99.33, 245.15	Amend	Accept	We recommend omitting the definition of 'waste or other matter'.
2-10-200 15	99.34	Retain	Accept	We do not recommend omitting the definition of 'wastewater'.
2-10-202 15	192.11	Amend	Reject	We consider that the amendment requested to the definition of 'water body' is unnecessary,
2-10-202 15	234.3; 288.6	Amend	Reject	The submission does not give precise details of the amendment requested. We do not consider amending the definition of 'water body' is necessary.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
2-10-206 15	146.10	Amend	Reject	The words the submitter asks to be deleted define the strategies to which the definition of 'water supply strategy' is to apply.
2-10-207 15	19.19; 187.104, 188.104	Amend	Reject	The requested amendment to the definition of 'water users group' would extend the purpose of the group beyond that intended.
2-10-208 15	245.16	Amend	Reject	The definition explains what is meant by the term 'weir' when used in this Plan.
2-10-209 15	16.27; 39.17, 44.13; 311.153	Amend	Reject	In response to other submissions we recommend amendments to the definition of 'wetland' which adequately identify what is excluded.
2-10-209 15	171.16	Amend	Reject	The submission does not give precise details of the amendment to the Plan requested.
2-10-210 15	94.11; 221.74, 256.33	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that omitting the definition of 'wetland boundary' would improve understanding of how the term 'wetland' is used.

I

Section 3 Objectives

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
3-0-0	72.3; 82.28; 87.1; 147.2; 291.1; 291.2; 187.5; 188.5; 189.11; 192.15; 213.2; 257.30; 270.8; 315.5 320.12; 326.16 358.101 386.1	Add a new objective	Accept in part	In reviewing the whole suite of objectives in the light of these submissions, we find that the contribution of agricultural and horticultural production and processing of food and fibre to the economic, social and cultural welfare of people and communities of the region is appropriately recognised in recommended Objectives 3.5, 3.10, 3.11 and 3.13.
3-0-0 1	82.10	Amend	Accept in part	While the sentence sought to be omitted has been retained for clarity, we recommend it be qualified as applying in general; and an additional clause has been added that in any particular case, some objectives may be more relevant than others.
3-0-0 1	82.29	Add a new objective	Reject	Reverse sensitivity is addressed in the CRPS in relation to air discharges, but it is not an issue in terms of the land and water management matters the LWRP deals with. Such matters are best addressed at District Plan level.
3-0-0 1	99.2	Amend	Accept in part	Modifications have been made to the objectives, including 3.16 (recommended 3.3).
3-0-0 1	120.16	Amend	Accept in part	Because the plan has to be read as a whole, cross-referencing between all objectives, policies and rules would be unwieldy. Cross-referencing between sub-regional sections and relevant objectives and policies is being recommended.
3-0-0 1	120.37	Add a new objective	Accept in part	A new objective is unnecessary as the combined effect of recommended Objectives 3.17 to 3.19 address wetland protection.
3-0-0 1	123.9, 150.8, 175.3	Retain objectives	Accept in part	In response to other submissions modifications have been made to the objectives.

3-0-0 1	187.12, 188.12	New objective	Reject	We adopt recommendations and reasons in the s42A Report. See also the separate narrative. Particular regard has been had to the vision and principles of the CWMS during the plan development process and in the consideration of submissions; and collectively the objectives are consistent with the CWMS targets.
3-0-0 1	187.13	Amend	Reject	An objective of “protecting” freshwater resources is important in giving effect to the NPSFM and the CRPS (see recommended Objective 3.24).
3-0-0 1	196.15	Add a new objective	Accept in part	We adopt recommendations and reasons in the Section 42A Report. See also the separate narrative and recommended Objective 3.3.
3-0-0 1	197.12	Add a new objective	Accept in part	The requested wording may be appropriate to a policy, but is not an objective. Other provisions of the Plan adequately provide for the substance of what is sought, for achieving the objectives of the plan. See for example, recommended Objective 3.3, Policy 4.51 and Rule 5.158.
3-0-0 1	199.4	Add a new objective	Reject	Most earthquake recovery activities are addressed under the Christchurch Earthquake Recovery Act 2011. They are generally beyond the scope of the LWRP and therefore the Plan does not need to have specific objectives for these. Recovery activities may be affected by LWRP provisions, depending on the activity being undertaken.
3-0-0 1	200.12	Add a new objective	Accept in part	Rather than in an objective, timeframes have, where appropriate, been identified in the policies. For example, see recommended Policy 4.1
3-0-0 1	226.23	Add a new objective	Reject	This is not a matter for regional plans at the objective level. Rather, it is best addressed at the time people are applying for consents. Notes have been included within the rules to identify the risks to historic heritage for example, in relation to earthworks.
3-0-0 1	228.3	Retain	Accept in part	The recommendations we are making would result in the Plan continuing to afford priority to stock water use. We are also recommending amendments to provisions relating to water allocation, fertiliser use, and solid animal waste disposal that would facilitate the Plan’s objectives being achieved.

3-0-0 1	232.3		Add a new objective	Accept in part	A new objective is not needed as collectively, the objectives will result in rivers retaining recreation and aesthetic values while providing for current and future social, economic and cultural well-being.
3-0-0 1	239.31		Make Policy 4.1 an objective	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons in the Section 42A Report. See also the separate narrative. The qualifying words “where appropriate” are not necessary as what is appropriate will be determined on the merits of the circumstances when the objectives are applied collectively.
3-0-0 1	244.1		Amend	Reject	A general request which does not give precise details of amendment asked for.
3-0-0 1	245.26		Add a new objective	Reject	A separate objective is not considered necessary as gravel resources are adequately addressed through recommended Objectives 3.5 and 3.20, and Policies 4.93 to 4.95. for gravel extraction
3-0-0 1	245.30		Amend	Reject	We do not accept that the policy reads as an objective. We consider it describes a way of achieving certain of the objectives.
3-0-0 1	253.7		Add a new objective	Reject	The CWMS is being implemented via extensive community engagement processes. Any amendments to this Plan that may arise from those processes would involve consultation and other statutory processes in accordance with Schedule 1 of the RMA. However such process matters are not broad goals suitable for statement as objectives of the Plan..
3-0-0 1	265.1		Amend	Accept in part	Because the plan has to be read as a whole, cross-referencing between all objectives, policies and rules would be unwieldy. Cross referencing has been added between sub-regional sections and relevant objectives and policies. Some subjective terms are recommended to be deleted. Other terms are either defined or have their ordinary meaning. We do not accept the requested omission of the objectives referred to would be an improvement.
3-0-0 1	265.23		Make Policy 4.1 an objective	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons in the Section 42A Report. See also the separate narrative. The qualifying word “where appropriate” is not necessary as what is appropriate will be determined on the merits of the circumstances when the objectives are applied collectively.

3-0-0 1	282.16		Add a new objective	Reject	A separate objective is not considered necessary as gravel resources are adequately addressed through recommended Objectives 3.5 and 3.20, and Policies 4.93 to 4.95. for gravel extraction
3-0-0 1	286.4		Amend	Reject	A general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested. We have, in response to other more focused submissions, revised the wording of the objectives.
3-0-0 1	315.9		Make Policy 4.1 an objective	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons in the Section 42A Report. See also the separate narrative
3-0-0 1	317.16		Amend	Reject	Submission point is unclear
3-0-0 1	347.1		Amend	Accept	We consider that the plan as recommended for amendment provides an adequate suite of provisions so that the life supporting capacity of water, soil and ecosystems are safeguarded
3-0-0 1	347.7		Amend	Accept	We consider that the amendments we are recommending would result in the Plan appropriately and proportionately recognising the values and importance of the salmonid fishery.
3-0-0 1	347.41		Add a new objective	Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Section 42A Report. See also the separate narrative. We consider that the plan as amended by our recommendations addresses the points raised.
3-0-0 1	347.42		Add a new objective	Accept in part	We consider that the suite of amended objectives we are recommending appropriately give effect to the RPS requirements in relation to regional plans, particularly recommended Objectives 3.14, 3.16, 3.17, and 3.18.
3-0-0 1	347.48		Add a new objective	Accept in part	We consider that the suite of objectives, policies and rules, including our amendments, appropriately achieve what the submitter seeks. Much of what is sought is not appropriate to include at the level of objectives in this Plan. We adopt the recommendations and reasons in the Section 42A Report. See also the separate narrative.

3-0-0 1	347.49		Add a new objective	Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons in the Section 42A Report. See also the separate narrative. We note that recommended Objective 3.9 achieves what the submitter seeks.
3-0-0 1	348.5		Add a new objective	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons in the Section 42A Report. We do not think a separate objective is necessary. However, we have recommended amendments to Rules 5.123 and 5.126 to address potential impacts of water use on dryland habitat.
3-0-0 1	348.6		<u>New</u>	Accept in part	We consider that the suite of amended objectives we are recommending for natural character values appropriately recognise the RPS requirements in relation to regional plans, particularly recommended Objective 3.19.
3-0-0 1	350.2		New	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Section 42A Report. See also the separate narrative.
3-0-0 1	358.94		Add a new objective	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Section 42A Report. See also the separate narrative. We note that recommended amendments, particularly recommended Objectives 3.1, 3.2, and 3.16, 3.17 and 3.18 address the submitter's request.
3-0-0 1	358.95		Add a new objective	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Section 42A Report. See also the separate narrative. We consider that collectively our recommended amendments to the objectives address the submitter's request.
3-0-0 1	358.96		Add a new objective	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Section 42A Report. See also the separate narrative. We consider that recommended Objectives 3.1 and 3.2 appropriately address the matters raised in the submission.
3-0-0 1	358.97		Add a new objective	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Section 42A Report. See also the separate narrative. We consider that recommended Objectives 3.16, 3.17, 3.18 and 3.19 appropriately address the matters raised in the submission.

3-0-0 1	358.98	Add a new objective	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Section 42A Report. See also the separate narrative. We consider that the amended new Objectives 3.14 and 3.19 appropriately address the matters raised in the submission.
3-0-0 1	358.99	Add a new objective	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Section 42A Report. See also the separate narrative. We consider that the amended appropriately address the matters raised in the submission, particularly recommended Objective 3.13
3-0-0 1	358.100	Add a new objective	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Section 42A Report. See also the separate narrative. We consider that the amended objectives appropriately address the matters raised in the submission, particularly recommended Objective 3.13
3-0-0 1	358.102	Add a new objective	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Section 42A Report. See also the separate narrative. We consider that the amended objectives appropriately address the matters raised in the submission.
3-0-0 1	358.103	Add a new objective	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Section 42A Report. See also the separate narrative. We consider that the amended objectives appropriately address the matters raised in the submission, particularly recommended Objectives 3.4, and 3.7 to 3.9.

Objective 3.1 (recommended to be renumbered as Objective 3.6)

3-1-0 1	32.4, 67.2; 200.13, 209.2, 246.17, 250.13, 282.9, 286.9, 317.5, 347.32; 358.191; 364.6;	Amend	Where the submission requested the objective be deleted we reject it; where the submission requested the objective be retained, we accept it.	In reviewing the whole suite of objectives we are satisfied that the content of former Objective 3.1 has an appropriate place in this suite having regard to Part 2 of the RMA, and the superior instruments. We have retained it as recommended Objective 3.6.
---------	---	-------	---	---

3-1-0 1	120.17	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Section 42A Report. See also the separate narrative. In our recommendations life supporting capacity is included as recommended Objective 3.8.
3-1-0 1	265.12	Amend	Accept in part	The intrinsic values of land, to the extent that the RPS requires in a regional plan, are recognised in recommended Objectives 3.14 to 3.20.

Objective 3.2

3-2-0 1	32.5; 200.14, 209.3, 245.18, 250.14, 282.10, 286.8, 347.33, 358.192; 364.7	Various	Where the submission requested the objective be deleted we reject it; where the submission requested the objective be retained, we accept it.	In reviewing the whole suite of objectives we are satisfied that the content of former Objective 3.2 has an appropriate place in this suite having regard to Part2 of the RMA, and the superior instruments. We have retained it as recommended Objective 3.2
3-2-0 1	120.18 120.19	Amend	Accept	We recommend that the subject matter of Objectives 3.2 and 3.4 be combined in recommended Objective 3.2
3-2-0 1	317.6	Amend	Accept	Having reviewed the whole suite of objectives we are recommending amendments that address the substance of this submission.

Objective 3.3 (recommended to be renumbered as Objective 3.1)

3-3-0 1	82.11	Amend	Accept in part	Having reviewed the whole suite of objectives we are recommending amendments that address the substance of this submission.
---------	-------	-------	----------------	---

3-3-0 1	187.6, 188.6, 196.8, 197.5, 221.11, 239.20, 250.15, 256.26	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Section 42A Report. See also the separate narrative. Rather than “provide”, we recommend using the word “enable” to improve the clarity of the outcome to be achieved. Other amendments are also recommended to improve the objective, which is recommended Objective 3.1.
3-3-0 1	200.15, 245.21, 282.13, 317.7, 347.34, 358.193; 364.8	Various	Where the submission requested the objective be deleted we reject it; where the submission requested the objective be retained, we accept it.	In reviewing the whole suite of objectives we are satisfied that the content of former Objective 3.3 has an appropriate place in this suite having regard to Part 2 of the RMA, and the superior instruments. We have retained it as recommended Objective 3.1

Objective 3.4 (recommended to be renumbered as Objective 3.2)

3-4-0 1	200.16, 245.19, 250.16; 282.11, 286.10, 317.8; 347.35, 358.194; 364.9	Various	Where the submission requested the objective be deleted we reject it; where the submission requested the objective be retained, we accept it.	In reviewing the whole suite of objectives we are satisfied that the content of former Objective 3.4 has an appropriate place in this suite having regard to Part 2 of the RMA, and the superior instruments. We have retained it as recommended Objective 3.2
---------	---	---------	---	--

Objective 3.5 (recommended to be renumbered as Objective 3.14)

3-5-0 1	82.12	Amend	Reject	In reviewing the whole suite of objectives we are satisfied that the content of former Objective 3.5 has an appropriate place in this suite having regard to Part 2 of the
---------	-------	-------	--------	--

				RMA, and the superior instruments. We recommend retaining it (with amendment) as Objective 3.14.
3-5-0 1	120.22 131.12 187.7, 188.7	Retain	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Section 42A Report. See also the separate narrative. The addition of a completely new list of water bodies to this Plan would require a separate RMA Schedule 1 process. However, where appropriate, we have recommended amendments to the lists of high naturalness water bodies that already occur in the sub regional sections.
3-5-0 1	146.11	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Section 42A Report. It may not be possible to restore (to their original state) degraded hapua and therefore the word "improve" has been used rather than "enhance".
3-5-0 1	169.48; 358.195	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Section 42A Report. We recommend that "existing state" be replaced with "healthy state" in recognition that some modification may be possible without compromising health. Further, the definition of 'outstanding fresh water body' is recommended to be amended so that outstanding fresh water bodies are only those listed in Sections 6-15 of this Plan
3-5-0 1	200.17, 239.21; 315.6,	Retain	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Section 42A Report. We consider that recommended amendments improve the effectiveness of recommended Objective 3.14.
3-5-0 1	250.17	Amend	Accept in part	Having considered the amendment requested in the context of the whole suite of objectives we are recommending amending the language in a way that more closely reflects the superior instruments. We also recommend that the definition of 'outstanding fresh water bodies' so that they are only those listed in Sections 6-15 of this Plan.
3-5-0 1	265.13	Amend	Accept in part	We recommend amendment to the objective (recommended 3.1) that addresses the substance of this submission.
3-5-0 1	265.14	Retain	Accept in part	The addition of a completely new list of water bodies to this Plan would require a separate RMA Schedule 1 process, which may be appropriate in the development of sub-regional sections of the Plan.
3-5-0 1		Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending retaining the substance of former Objective 3.5 which is incorporated in recommended Objective 3.14.

3-5-0 1	347.37; 351.5	Amend	Reject	Having reviewed former Objective 3.5 in the context of the whole suite of objectives we are recommending amendments to the text that address the substance of this submission: see recommended Objective 3.4.
3-5-0 1	364.10	Amend	Reject	We consider that our recommended amendments improve the effectiveness of the objective, and note that this objective is specific to outstanding water bodies and hapua, not all water bodies.

Objective 3.6 (recommended to be renumbered as Objectives 3.18)

3-6-0 1	82.13	Amend	Reject	The qualifying words “where practical and appropriate” are not necessary as what is practical and appropriate will be determined on the merits of the circumstances when the objectives are applied collectively.
3-6-0 1	120.20 200.18, 250.18	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending that the objective be retained but with minor modifications to improve clarity.
3-6-0 1	131.13 221.12, 239.23, 256.27 265.15 347.39 358.173, 358.196; 364.11	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending that the objective be retained but with minor modifications to improve clarity, including the replacement of “enhanced” with “maintained”. The identification of wetlands for inclusion in a regional plan, to give effect to the RPS, is a process that will need a separate RMA Schedule 1 process.
3-6-0 1	168.1	Delete	Accept in part	We consider that the whole suite of objectives, policies and rules, including recommended amendments, would better achieve the wetland outcomes while providing for certain essential activities that may affect wetlands. The topic of offsetting and compensation is addressed elsewhere in this report.
3-6-0 1	348.4	Amend	Reject	Recommended Objective 3.17 includes protection of wetlands accordingly.

Objective 3.7 (recommended to be renumbered as Objectives 3.1)

3-7-0 1	82.14; 120.21; 131.14; 146.12 169.49; 196.9; 200.19; 239.24 250.19;	Various	Where the submission requested the	In response to other submissions we are recommending that objectives concerning “mauri” are omitted. Therefore requests in these submissions are no longer
---------	---	---------	------------------------------------	--

	265.16 315.7; 347.36; 358.174; 358.197; 364.13		objective be deleted we reject it; where the submission requested the objective be retained, we accept it.	pertinent.
--	---	--	---	------------

Objective 3.8

3-8-0 1	82.15	Amend	Reject	The qualifying words “where practical and appropriate” are not necessary as what is practical and appropriate will be determined on the merits of the circumstances when the objectives are applied collectively.
3-8-0 1	82.23; 120.23; 168.2 358.198	Amend	Reject	Having reviewed the whole suite of objectives in the light of the submissions, we are recommending that former Objective 3.8 be amended and incorporated in recommended Objective 3.16.
3-8-0 1	131.15, 239.25, 265.17	Amend	Accept in part	Amendments we are recommending to former Objective 3.8 (now recommended Objective 3.16) address the substance of this submission point.
3-8-0 1	200.20, 250.20; 347.40; 351.6	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending that the objective be retained but with modifications to improve clarity. The effect will be to encourage good resource management practice.
3-8-0 1	358.175	Amend	Accept in part	We consider that the amendments we are recommending improve the clarity and appropriateness of former Objectives 3.6 to 3.8 (recommended Objective 3.16). Amendments we are recommending reflect the more specific attributes identified in the submissions for life supporting capacity.
3-8-0 1	364.14	Amend	Accept in part	The subject of this submission point is addressed in recommended Objective 3.16.

Objective 3.9 (recommended to be renumbered as Objective 3.19)

3-9-0 1	209.6; 82.16; 82.24 358.199	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the substance of Objective 3.9 should be omitted, but we are recommending amendments to the text. Although the characteristics of these rivers may vary from reach to reach, their fundamental and highly valued quality as alpine rivers applies from source to sea.
3-9-0 1	106.22	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending amendments to former Objective 3.9 which becomes recommended Objective 3.19. We have considered the amendments sought by the submitter and are satisfied that the substance of them is met by the recommended objective.
3-9-0 1	120.24, 200.21	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending amendments to former Objective 3.9 (which is to become recommended Objective 3.19). The recommended amendments retain the substance of the former objective.
3-9-0 1	131.16 239.26, 265.18	Amend	Accept in part	We consider that the amendments we are recommending improve the clarity and appropriateness of recommended Objective 3.19 and addresses the substance of this submission point.
3-9-0 1	169.50	Amend	Accept in part	We are not persuaded that it meets the purpose of an objective to address details of specific water conservation orders. Amendments to this objective we are recommending would remove the specific reference to alpine rivers and broaden the objective to cover all fresh water bodies.
3-9-0 1	82.14; 196.10; 221.13; 245.22 250.21 256.28; 347.43 364.15	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the amendments requested to the objective (now recommended Objective 3.19) would retain the intended clarity of focus.

Objective 3.10 (recommended to be renumbered as Objectives 3.17)

3-10-0 1	82.17	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the amendments requested to the objective (now recommended Objective 3.19) would retain the intended clarity of focus. The qualifying words "where practical and appropriate" are not necessary as what is practical and appropriate will be determined on the merits of the circumstances
----------	-------	-------	--------	---

				when the objectives are applied collectively.
3-10-0 1	82.25 358.200	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the substance of Objective 3.9 should be omitted, but we are recommending amendments to the text.
3-10-0 1	120.25	Amend	Reject	In the context of an objective we are not satisfied that an expectation of enhancing natural processes of rivers is appropriate. We consider that the amendments we are recommending improve the clarity and appropriateness of recommended Objectives 3.16 and 3.17.
3-10-0 1	131.17, 196.11 ; 221.14, 239.27 245.23, 256.29 265.19; 282.15	Amend	Reject	In response to other submissions we are recommending amendments to former Objective 3.10. We are not persuaded that omitting the standard of 'protected' would appropriately respond to the superior instruments.
3-10-0 1	200.22	Retain	Accept in part	In response to other submissions we are recommending amendments to former objective 3.10. We are satisfied that applying the amended objective would encourage good resource management practice..
3-10-0 1	250.22; 313.1	Amend	Accept in part	We consider that the amendments we are recommending improve the clarity and appropriateness of former Objective 3.10, and recommended Objective 3.16 addresses the substance of this submission point.
3-10-0 1	347.44; 348.2	Amend	Accept in part	We are not persuaded that the amendments requested to the objective (now recommended Objective 3.19) would retain the intended clarity of focus.

Objective 3.11 (recommended to be renumbered as Objective 3.10)

3-11-0 1	32.6, 154.7, 222.1, 228.5, 314.6; 326.13, 351.7;	Retain	Accept in part	In response to another submission we are recommending amending the wording of former Objective 3.11 without losing sight of the goal.
3-11-0 1	106.23	Amend	Accept in part	We are not persuaded that the amendment to former Objective 3.11 requested by this submission would retain the intended clarity of focus. All objectives are to be read together and ecosystem health is provided for in other objectives.

3-11-0 1	120.26 200.23 347.45	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending an amendment to recommended Objective 3.10 that would connect abstraction to the allocation limits set in this Plan.
3-11-0 1	169.52	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending that “maximum” become “maximised” to indicate the intent of the recommended Objective 3.10.
3-11-0 1	189.9, 192.13 196.12 197.7 245.24 250.23 257.31 311.11, 313.2, 317.9	Amend	Accept in part	We are not persuaded that the requested amendments to former Objective 3.11 would retain the clarity intended by the recommended Objective 3.10.
3-11-0 1	221.1, 256.1	Amend	Accept in part	In response to this and other submissions we are recommending amendment to former Objective 3.11 (recommended Objective 3.3) which addresses the substance of this submission point.
3-11-0 1	358.201	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the substance of former Objective 3.11 should be omitted, but we are recommending amendments to the text of recommended Objective 3.10.

Objective 3.12 (recommended to be renumbered as Objective 3.13)

3-12-0 1	19.20 32.7, 93.6; 200.24, 209.7 257.32, 317.10, 318.15, 319.12;	Amend	Accept in part	In response to these and other submissions we are recommending amendments to former Objective 3.12. We are satisfied that as it would be amended the objective would appropriately reflect the superior instruments.
3-12-0 1	82.26	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the substance of former Objective 3.12 should be omitted, but we are recommending amendments to the text (recommended Objective 3.13).
3-12-0 1	120.27 347.46	Amend	Accept in part	We have reviewed the whole suite of objectives and recommend amendments in response to other submissions. The intent of these submission points is addressed in various of these amendments. Aspects of this submission are dealt with elsewhere in our recommendations.
3-12-0 1	187.8, 188.8	Amend	Reject	Having considered this submission point we are not satisfied that the requested amendment would appropriately reflect the superior instruments. See separate narrative above.

3-12-0 1	351.8		Retain	Reject	We have considered this submission point but have concluded that the meaning intended of the word "sustainable" is to be derived from Part 2 of the RMA.
3-12-0 1	358.202		Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the substance of former Objective 3.12 should be omitted, but we are recommending amendments to the text of recommended Objective 3.13.

Objective 3.13 (recommended to be renumbered as Objective 3.15)

3-13-0 1	32.8, 200.25		Retain	Accept	We are recommending no amendment to former Objective 3.13.
3-13-0 1	82.19		Amend	Accept in part	The qualifying words "where practical and appropriate" are not necessary as what is practical and appropriate will be determined on the merits of the circumstances when the objectives are applied collectively.
3-13-0 1	86.10, 94.23, 106.24 125.12, 146.13 157.13, 160.14, 161.11 , 230.10, 268.11 347.50 348.3 364.16		Amend	Reject	We have considered the requested amendments to former Objective 3.13, but have concluded that they would unjustifiably alter the intended focus.
3-13-0 1	120.28 232.2		Amend	Reject	We have considered the requested amendment to former Objective 3.13, but have concluded that it would unjustifiably alter the intended focus.
3-13-0 1	245.25		Amend	Accept in part	We are not persuaded that the substance of former Objective 3.13 should be omitted. We consider that the words 'valued by the community' are intended to be given their ordinary meaning, according to context, and the definition of them is not required.
3-13-0 1	358.203		Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the substance of former Objective 3.13 should be omitted.

Objective 3.14 (recommended to be renumbered as Objective 3.8A)

3-14-0 1	19.21	Amend	Reject	Community expectations are that they have access to high quality drinking water, not adequate quality drinking water. We therefore do not recommend the use of “adequate”.
3-14-0 1	32.9, 200.26	Retain	Accept	We recommend no amendment to former Objective 3.14 (recommended Objective 3.8A).
3-14-0 1	86.3, 94.14, 125.3, 157.3, 160.3, 161.3, 230.3, 268.3	Amend	Reject	Community drinking water supplies are any size greater than group drinking water supplies.
3-14-0 1	106.25	Amend	Reject	The term “fresh water” covers water from both groundwater and surface water bodies, and the suggested amendment would not improve the objective, renumbered as 3.8A.
3-14-0 1	120.29	Amend	Reject	Sources of drinking water will not necessarily be directly potable, however, they do need to be capable of treatment to be made potable. Therefore the term “high quality” better describes the water quality requirement of the source water. We consider that the suite of policies and rules does achieve an integrated approach to water supply. We are not persuaded that the proposed amendment would assist understanding of the intended meaning.
3-14-0 1	146.14	Amend	Reject	Omitting ‘reasonably’ would render the objective impracticable. The qualification ‘reasonably’ is also consistent with wording in the RPS Objective 7.2.1(3). Omitting ‘drinking’ would detract from the intended emphasis on the quality of community drinking water.
3-14-0 1	187.9, 188.9, 315.8	Amend	Reject	Inserting ‘industry’ would detract from the intended emphasis on the quality of community drinking water. Therefore we do not recommend the addition of “and industry” to this objective, renumbered as 3.8A.
3-14-0 1	222.7, 228.14	Amend	Reject	Inserting ‘stock, group’ would detract from the intended emphasis on the quality of community drinking water.
3-14-0 1	257.33	Amend	Reject	Inserting reference to ‘economic activities’, or to ‘primary support industries’ would detract from the intended emphasis on the quality of community drinking water.

3-14-0 1	314.5	Amend	Reject	We consider the aim of having high quality water for community drinking water supplies is tolerably clear from the wording of this objective.
3-14-0 1	317.11	Amend	Reject	This is an objective, not a policy. The requested rewording would not improve understanding of the objective.
3-14-0 1	351.11	Amend	Reject	The suggested amendment would not be appropriate as some sources of water for community drinking supply may need to be treated.
3-14-0 1	358.204	Reject	Reject	We consider that deletion would not be appropriate given the importance of drinking water supplies, and the direction provided by the RPS Objective 7.2.1
3-14-0 1	347.47	Amend	Reject	Efficiency of use is addressed in new Objective 3.9

Objective 3.15 (recommended to be renumbered as Objective 3.4)

3-15-0 2	209.8	Amend	Accept in part	The word "wise" is a subjective term that is not defined in the RMA. We recommend that it be deleted from the objective. We are not persuaded that omitting the term 'multiple use' from former Objective 3.15 would assist understanding of the intended meaning. It can take its ordinary meaning and does not require definition.
3-15-0 2	120.30	Amend	Reject	Having considered the submission we are satisfied that former Objective 3.15 appropriately reflects what is intended for objectives for regional plans under the RMA; and would be generally consistent with the Vision and Principles of the CWMS.
3-15-0 2	187.10, 188.10	Amend	Reject	Objectives for a regional plan under the RMA are forward looking. Attention to existing entitlements is appropriately left to the RMA to be implemented by policies and rules.
3-15-0 2	196.13	Amend	Accept in part	We have considered the requested amendments to former Objective 3.15, but have concluded that the addition of "that" and "the" as requested would not improve the clarity of the objective (now 3.4)
3-15-0 2	197.9, 200.27 347.53	Amend	Accept	We recommend no amendment (save one minor change) to former Objective 3.15

				(recommended Objective 3.4).
3-15-0 2	221.2	Amend	Accept in part	The qualifying words "where practicable" is not necessary as what is practicable will be determined on the merits of the circumstances when the objectives are applied collectively.
3-15-0 2	250.24	Amend	Accept in part	As the requested amendment would alter the intended scope of former Objective 3.15, we recommend that the submission point be rejected. The word "wise" is a subjective term that is not defined in the RMA. We recommend that it be omitted from the objective.
3-15-0 2	257.34	Amend	Reject	A general request which does not give precise details of the amendment requested.
3-15-0 2	317.12	Amend	Reject	The wording change sought, essentially the relocation of text, does not improve the clarity of the objective (recommended 3.4)
3-15-0 2	351.9	Amend	Accept in part	We have considered this submission point but have concluded that the meaning intended of the word "sustainable" is to be derived from Part 2 of the RMA.
3-15-0 2	358.205	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the substance of former Objective 3.15 should be omitted.

Objective 3.16 (recommended to be renumbered as Objective 3.3)

3-16-0 2	209.9	Amend	Reject	The requested amendment to former Objective 3.16 would unjustifiably extend the application of the objective.
3-16-0 2	32.10, 154.8, 197.11, 200.28, 250.25, 306.6	Retain	Accept in part.	We are not recommending omitting the substance of former Objective 3.16; but in response to other submissions we are recommending amendments to improve its clarity.
3-16-0 2	99.1	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending that "enabled " is added to the objective (now 3.3) but in a different location. The addition of "enabled" better reflects the desire to help facilitate the effective operation of such important infrastructure.

3-16-0 2	120.31	Amend	Reject	The Plan has particular regard to the Vision and Principles of the CWMS. However, that does not extend the environmental outcomes of that strategy. The word 'resilient' as used in former Objective 3.16 is intended to be given its ordinary meaning; and does not require definition. The requested amendment on the scope of former Objective 3.16 would unjustifiably extend the application of the objective
3-16-0 2	221.3, 256.2 263.15	Amend	Accept in part	We recommend inserting the word 'enabled' in former Objective 3.16 as requested. The other amendments to former Objective 3.16 requested by these submissions would alter the focus of the objective without clarifying its meaning.
3-16-0 2	244.2	Amend	Reject	Because the objectives need to be read together, the matter of environmental outcomes is covered by other objectives.
3-16-0 2	347.54	Amend	Reject	Because the objectives need to be read together, the matter of avoiding adverse environmental effects is covered by other objectives.
3-16-0 2	358.206	Reject		We are not persuaded that the substance of former Objective 3.16 should be omitted.

Objective 3.17 (recommended to be renumbered as Objective 3.23)

3-17-0 2	82.18; 200.29; 239.28; 265.20; 358.104; 364.17	Various	Where the submission requested the objective be deleted we reject it; where the submission requested the objective be retained, we accept it.	In response to one of these submissions we are recommending rewording former Objective 3.17 so that it would read 'Soils are healthy and productive, and human-induced erosion and contamination are minimised.' - see recommended Objective 3.23. Consequently submission points relating to the wording of former Objective 3.17 that is not repeated in recommended Objective 3.23 do not need to be addressed.
----------	--	---------	---	--

Objective 3.18 (recommended to be renumbered as Objective 3.21)

3-18-0 2	82.20; 120.32; 169.53; 198.9; 200.30; 221.23; 263.35; 313.3; 358.105;	Various	Where the submission requested the objective be deleted we reject it; where the submission requested the objective be retained, we accept it.	The submissions on former Objective 3.18 either seek that it be retained or seek various amendments to its text. Having considered all of them we are recommending that the substance of the objective be retained in amended wording in recommended Objective 3.21. We are satisfied that this objective would give effect to the superior instruments and encourage good resource management practice.
3-18-0 2	347.55	Amend	Reject	The requested new objective is not appropriate within the LWRP as it is too broad and does not focus on the matters this Plan is dealing with. The RPS already includes similar policies, for example, Policy 11.3.7.

Objective 3.19 (recommended to be renumbered as Objective 3.22)

3-19-0 2	32.11, 200.31, 263.36; 347.56; 358.106	Various	Where the submission requested the objective be deleted we reject it; where the submission requested the objective be retained, we accept it.	We are recommending that the former Objective 3.19 (now 3.22) be retained but with re-ordering and minor modifications to improve clarity.
----------	--	---------	---	--

Objective 3.20 (recommended to be renumbered as Objective 3.20)

3-20-0 2	120.33; 200.32; 209.10; 232.4; 245.27; 263.37; 282.17; 286.11; 313.4;	Various	Where the submission requested the objective be	On the submissions that seek deletion of Objective 3.20, we are not persuaded that the substance of former Objective 3.20 should be omitted. On the submissions that seek amendments to the wording of the objective, we are
----------	---	---------	---	---

	347.57; 358.107;		deleted we reject it; where the submission requested the objective be retained, we accept it.	recommending amendments that improve the scope and clarity of the objective.
--	------------------	--	---	--

Objective 3.21 (recommended to be renumbered as Objective 3.5)

3-21-0 2	19.22, 32.12, 82.21; 93.5, 120.34; 131.18; 154.9.; 187.11; 188.11; 200.33; 221.15; 239.29; 245.20; 250.26; 256.30; 265.21; 282.12; 286.12; 317.13; 347.58; 358.207; 364.18;	Various	Where the submission requested the objective be deleted we reject it; where the submission requested the objective be retained, we accept it.	Having considered these submissions seeking various amendments to former Objective 3.21, we have concluded that the former reference to the consistency with CWMS targets is not appropriate in this objective and recommend that this reference be omitted accordingly.

Objective 3.22 (recommended to be renumbered as Objective 3.12)

3-22-0 2	19.23, 20.6; 32.13; 82.27, 209.4.; 120.35; 131.19; 192.14; 189.10; 200.34; 239.30; 250.27; 257.35; 265.22; 313.6; 317.14; 326.14; 347.59; 358.208; 364.19	Various	Where the submission requested the objective be deleted we reject it; where the submission requested the objective be retained, we accept	One of these submissions seeks that former Objective 3.22 is entirely deleted. We are satisfied that the substance of the objective should be retained and we recommend accordingly. The other submissions seek various amendments to the wording of the objective. Having considered them all, we are recommending altering the wording in minor respects : see recommended Objective 3.12.
----------	---	---------	---	--

		it.	
--	--	-----	--

Objective 3.23 (recommended to be renumbered as Objective 3.24)

3-23-0 2	19.24; 32.14; 82.22; 106.26; 120.36; 169.54; 188.13; 196.14; 200.25; 209.5, 244.3; 244.4; 245.28; 250.28; 257.36; 263.16; 282.18, 286.13; 317.15; 318.16; 319.13; 326.15; 347.60; 350.3; 351.12; 351.13; 358.209;	Various	Where the submission requested the objective be deleted we reject it; where the submission requested the objective be retained, we accept it.	One of these submissions seeks that former Objective 3.23 is entirely deleted. We are satisfied that the substance of the objective should be retained and we recommend accordingly. The other submissions seek various amendments to the wording of the objective. Having considered them all, we are recommending altering the wording in minor respects: see recommended Objective 3.24. To the extent that submitters ask for clarification of the terms 'good practice' and 'water quality', we are satisfied that those words are intended to be given their ordinary meaning.
----------	---	---------	---	---

Section 4 - Policies

General explanation

There is broad scope for choices in the subject-matter and the wording of policies for implementing the objectives of the LWRP. A number of submitters have offered constructive suggestions, not all consistent with each other.

Having settled on our recommendations for amending the objectives, we have reviewed all the submissions for new policies, and for amendments to the notified policies. Considered alone, most of them would be valid and useful.

In recommending a coherent body of policies to implement the objectives as we are recommending they be amended, we recommend many amendments to the notified policies, adopting all or parts of the amendments requested by some submitters. Where we have not accepted all or part of a submitter's request, that does not indicate an opinion that the request is invalid or otherwise unworthy. Rather, we consider another way of implementing the objectives, or another way of expressing it, is preferable in the context.

Requests for New Policies & General Comments on Policies

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
4-0-0 1	2.1	Amend	Reject	Although we support the intention of minimising contamination of fresh water, we prefer other ways of achieving it.
4-0-0 1	169.55	Amend	Accept in part	Other submissions have made a similar request. We recommend that a new definition of 'maintenance' is included in Section 2 of the Plan.
4-0-0 1	287.8	Amend	Reject	Submission does not give precise details of amendment requested to the Plan.
4-0-0 1	320.1	Amend	Reject	Sections 102 & 103 RMA contain prescriptions for combined resource consent hearings.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
4-0-0 1	35.1	Amend	Reject	Although many of the contents of the South Island Eel Industry Plan are consistent with policies of the LWRP, the Eel Industry Plan stands alone for its specific purpose.
4-0-0 1	56.6, 56.7, 65.2, 65.3, 66.3, 66.4, 68.8, 68.9, 69.21, 69.22, 74.21, 74.22, 75.2, 75.3, 88.3, 88.4, 90.3, 90.4, 103.19, 103.20, 126.2, 126.3, 145.2, 145.3, 153.2, 153.3, 215.8, 215.9, 218.2, 218.3, 225.2, 225.3, 255.5, 255.6, 290.18, 290.19, 295.5, 295.6, 305.2, 305.3, 354.2, 354.3, 368.2, 368.3, 370.3, 370.4, 372.4, 372.5, 373.5, 373.6, 374.9, 374.10, 380.32, 380.45, 380.46, 381.2, 381.3, 382.5, 382.6, 387.12, 387.13	New	Accept in part	See section in narrative part of this report on the Waipara Catchment.
4-0-0 1	73.16	New	Accept in part	The appropriate use of OVERSEER for the purpose of the LWRP is indicated by recommended Rules 5.41 to 5.58 and by clause 1 of recommended Part D of Schedule 7.
4-0-0 1	81.1, 89.8, 150.9, 347.61	Retain	Accept in part	Except to the extent that, in response to other submissions, we are recommending omission or amendments to the notified policies, they are retained as notified.
4-0-0 1	82.33	New	Reject	Amended as we recommend, the LWRP would contain indications of potential reverse sensitivity effects in specific circumstances. Those provisions would not restrict consent authorities from considering the possibility of such effects in other relevant circumstances.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
4-0-0 1	82.34, 228.4, 259.5, 347.73	New	Accept in part	Submission points 82.34, 228.4 and 259.5 ask respectively for policies about people and communities to be able to use water to provide for social, economic and cultural well-being; for stock drinking water; and for non-consumptive takes. Submission point 347.73 asks for a policy that water is used efficiently, including requiring water audits and budgets, upgrading infrastructure, monitoring, & enabling transfer of water permits. The amended body of policies we are recommending would include policies for management of water within limits to meet needs of people and communities for water, including policy in respect of stock drinking water; for abstraction of water for various uses and activities; for efficient use of water; and for transfer of water permits. To the extent that these policies meet the submission points, these submissions are accepted.
4-0-0 1	87.3	Amend	Accept in part	Supports other submissions, see recommendations on them
4-0-0 1	89.7	Amend	Reject	We are recommending Table 1c is omitted. On nutrient management policies, see section on that topic in narrative part of this report.
4-0-0 1	93.9	Amend	reject	Unlike the CWMS, the LWRP is intended to be an instrument under the RMA. As recommended to be amended, the LWRP would be consistent with the vision and principles of the CWMS. Targets under the CWMS may result in provisions of sub-regional sections 6 to 15.
4-0-0 1	93.19	Amend	Accept in part	For public health, we agree with the intent of encouraging central wastewater disposal systems. In response to this submission we are recommending a new policy about disposal of wastewater.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
4-0-0 1	86.16, 86.17, 94.30, 125.18, 125.19, 146.15, 146.16, 157.24, 157.25, 160.19, 160.20, 161.17, 161.18, 230.15, 230.16, 268.16, 268.17	New	Accept in part	We are recommending amendments and a new policy that substantially address these submission points.
4-0-0 1	99.3	New	Reject	Keeping and reviewing a register of guidelines and codes of best practice etc is an executive function of the CEO, and not an appropriate subject for a regional plan policy.
4-0-0 1	120.130	New	Accept in part	Planting of pest species is the subject of recommended Policy 4.87.
4-0-0 1	131.3	Amend	Accept	We are recommending insertion of reference to Schedule 8
4-0-0 1	156.8	New	Reject	The submission does not contain precise details of the market regime requested.
4-0-0 1	187.34, 188.34	Amend	Accept	In considering the nutrient management regime we are recommending, we have eliminated inconsistencies.
4-0-0 1	189.14, 192.19, 288.57	New	Accept in part	The extent to which past investment is to be recognised is the subject of s 104(2A) RMA.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
4-0-0 1	196.25	New	Accept in part	Management of water to meet needs of hydro generation is a subject of recommended Policies 4.5, 4.51. On development that limits ability of hydro infrastructure, see above, on submission 82.33 re reverse sensitivity. On existing hydro infrastructure, see Policy 4.51. On new hydro infrastructure, see recommended Policies 4.46 & 4.48, and Rules 5.155-5.158. More generally, recommended Policies 4.6, 4.43, 4.47, 4.73, 4.74, 4.81, 4.82 and 4.93 enable infrastructure. Recommended Policies 4.17, 4.21, 4.43, 4.48 and 4.91 protect infrastructure from the effects of other activities. These provisions would appropriately apply to electricity generation infrastructure including wind power facilities. Given the broad range of general policies applying to all infrastructure, we are not persuaded that additional policies specific to electricity generation are required.
4-0-0 1	200.36	Amend	Accept in part	As the submission point implies, like nitrogen, phosphorus is also a nutrient; so the policies on nutrients apply in respect of it.
4-0-0 1	200.60	New	Accept in part	We recommend amending Policy 4.24 by inserting, after the words 'contamination of the word 'soil'. The suite of policies we are recommending provide for the avoidance of soil contamination as appropriate. For soils that are contaminated by past activities we are recommending additional Rules (5.187 and 5.188) regarding passive discharges from contaminated land, including discharge standards.
4-0-0 1	200.110	New	Accept in part	We are recommending amending recommended Policy 4.70 to make clear in that policy that contamination of fresh water from exploration or extraction of hydrocarbon is to be avoided.
4-0-0 1	200.111	Amend	Reject	The PCE report is not in evidence before us. We presume it is to be implemented by national instrument.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
4-0-0 1	221.4, 221.7, 256.3	New		Management of water for existing hydro is a subject of recommended strategic Policy 4.5 and recommended Policy 4.51. On development that limits ability of hydro infrastructure see above, on submission 82.33, re reverse sensitivity effects.
4-0-0 1	226.24	New	Reject	Amendments we are recommending to Section 1.3.1 of the LWRP state that: the Department of Conservation is the leading central government agency responsible for the conservation of New Zealand's natural and historic heritage. That function is shared with the region's territorial authorities and the district plans are the appropriate instruments for protecting historic heritage, not the LWRP. The methods to Policy 13.3.1 of the CRPS seek that TA's will work with agencies such as the NZHPT to address historic heritage protection.
4-0-0 1	245.29, 282.19	Amend	Reject	Submission point does not give precise details of amendments requested.
4-0-0 1	250.92	New	Accept in part	We are recommending new policies on nutrient management —see recommended Policies 4.34 to 4.41.
4-0-0 1	256.10	New	Accept in part	See reasons on submission 196.25
4-0-0 1	265.2	Amend	Reject	See General note above. Identifying which rules implement which policies is impracticable. The strategic policies address resource management issues of the region. We recommend amendment to Schedule 8. This submission point does not give precise details of the amendments requested. We recommend alterations to Policy 4.1.
4-0-0 1	286.5	Amend	Accept	This submission point does not give precise details of the amendments requested. We are recommending amendments to the wording of the objectives & policies.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
4-0-0 1	317.23	New	Accept in part	We are recommending new policies (4.34 to 4.41) on loss of nutrients, and retaining provision for sub-regional plan changes.
4-0-0 1	319.2	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending new policies (4.34 to 4.41) on loss of nutrients, and retaining provision for sub-regional plan changes.
4-0-0 1	326.39	New	accept	We are recommending amending the definition of 'efficiency' accordingly.
4-0-0 1	329.1	New	Reject	This submission point does not give precise details of the policy requested. A policy is not required as ss 330 to 330B RMA address emergencies.
4-0-0 1	347.64	New	reject	In response to this and other submissions, we are recommending alterations to the policies that would address the subject of some of this submission point. The methods of implementing the CWMS are not appropriate content for a regional plan under the RMA.
4-0-0 1	347.65 347.66	New	Accept in part	Various recommended rules would link with relevant content of sub-regional sections of the LWRP in respect of water conservation orders.
4-0-0 1	358.108, 358.109, 358.110, 358.111, 358.112, 358.119	New	Reject	See General note above. We are recommending policies and rules which, in due time with developed sub-regional sections of the LWRP, would address the subjects of these submission points.
4-0-0 1	358.113	Nnew	Reject	Identifying cultural landscapes is a function of territorial authorities.
4-0-0 1	358.119	New		In response to this and other submissions we are recommending new Policies 4.34 to 4.41 that would replace notified Policies 4.28 to 4.38.
4-0-0 1	358.145, 358.149, 358.153, 358.163,	New	Reject	In response to this and other submissions we are recommending amendments and new policies that address, to the extent we consider appropriate, the subject of the requested new policies.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
4-0-0 1	348.13, 358.167	New	Reject	The new policy is not needed. Damming rivers in general is the subject of recommended Rule 5.155 and in particular in sub-regional sections 6 to 15.
4-0-0 1	358.183	Amend	Accept in part	We recommend amending Policy 4.93 as notified (recommended Policy 4.97) by substituting the following policy which would largely implement the submitter's first requested new policy. However, we are not persuaded that the Plan would be improved by omitting Policies 4.92 and 4.94 as notified or their replacement with the submitter's second requested new policy. Recommended replacement Policy 4.97: "Remediation works which are necessary to enable people and communities to recover from natural hazard events— (a) <u>occur in a timely way</u>; (b) <u>the works are managed to minimise their duration and scale</u>; (c) the works do not cause or exacerbate potential natural hazards elsewhere; and (d) adverse effects on the environment resulting from the works are avoided, remedied or mitigated."
4-0-0 1	358.184	New	Accept in part	We are not persuaded that the specific amendment requested would be appropriate; but we recommend inserting a policy (recommended Policy 4.71A): "Proposals to transfer water from one catchment to another are the subject of timely consultation with Ngāi Tahu on the whakapapa of the catchments, and on the effects on natural character, water quality and ecology of the catchments."
4-0-0 8	19.33, 30.1, 95.8, 109.8, 118.8, 143.8, 163.8, 170.8, 174.3, 212.8, 246.16, 249.7,	Amend	Accept in part	See relevant section in narrative part of this report. The sub-regional process will allow for review of planning maps.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
	257.45, 274.8, 308.5, 357.8			
4-0-0 8	320.27	Amend	Accept in part	See relevant section of narrative part of this report.
4-0-0 8	16.41	Amend	Reject	See section of narrative part of this report on the Waipara Catchment.
4-0-0 8	21.2	Retain	?Accept	We are not recommending any amendments to the map of Nutrient Allocation Zones which includes Lake Middleton as a Sensitive Lake Catchment.
4-0-0 8	21.3	Retain	Accept	We are not recommending any amendments to the map of Nutrient Allocation Zones which includes Wairepo Arm of Lake Ruataniwha and the Kelland Ponds as Sensitive Lake Catchments.
4-0-0 8	21.4	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the whole of Lake Ruataniwha should be included as a Sensitive Lake Catchment in the map of Nutrient Allocation Zones.
4-0-0 8	21.5	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the whole of Lake Ohau should be included as a Sensitive Lake Catchment in the map of Nutrient Allocation Zones.
4-0-0 8	21.6	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that all lakes in the Mackenzie high country should be included as a Sensitive Lake Catchment in the map of Nutrient Allocation Zones.
4-0-0 8	31.2	Amend	Accept in part	We are not recommending any amendments to the map of Nutrient Allocation Zones which includes Spider Lakes as a Sensitive Lake Catchment.
4-0-0 8	49.1, 172.9, 235.2, 236.3	Amend	Reject	These submission points do not give precise details of any amendments to the LWRP requested.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
4-0-0 8	242.15	Amend	Reject	On nutrient allocation zoning, see the narrative part of this report.
4-0-0 8	265.3	Amend	Accept in part	As more information becomes available, nutrient allocation zones can be reviewed in development of sub-regional sections 6 to 15 of the LWRP.
4-0-0 8	358.118	Amend	Accept in part	As more information becomes available, nutrient allocation zones can be reviewed in development of sub-regional sections 6 to 15 of the LWRP.
4-0-0 9	256.13, 256.14	Amend	Reject	Headings are more effective if succinct
4-0-0 14	51.1	Amend	Reject	This submission point does not give precise details of the amendment requested
4-0-0 15	167.21	New	Accept in part	In response to another submission we are recommending another amendment to recommended Policy 4.93 that would partly address the substance of this submission point.
4-0-008	391.4	Amend	Reject	The subject of this submission point is appropriately dealt with in recommended Rules 5.43 to 5.48.

Strategic Policies 4.1 – 4.8

4-1-0 1	347.62	Amend	Accept in part	In response to this and other submissions we are recommending amendments to the Strategic Policies that appropriately achieve the Plan's objectives.
4-1-0 1	364.20	Amend	Accept in part	In response to this and other submissions we are recommending a new Schedule 8 that sets water quality limits that apply regionally and immediately. We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
				Section 42A Report on the content of recommended Schedule 8.
4-1-0 1	32.15, 99.30, 99.6, 200.37, 209.16, 221.55	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending that Policy 4.1 be retained but with minor modifications to improve clarity.
4-1-0 1	197.14, 358.210	Delete	Reject	We are not persuaded that omitting Policy 4.1 would assist with achieving the Plan's objectives.
4-1-0 1	82.30, 98.2, 187.14, 188.14, 270.9	Amend	Accept in part	In response to these and other submissions we are recommending amendments to Policy 4.1 that appropriately achieve the Plan's objectives.
4-1-0 1	250.29, 279.3, 313.7, 347.63, 351.14, 364.20	Amend	Accept in part	In response to these and other submissions we are recommending that Policy 4.1 is amended to require the freshwater outcomes in Sections 6 to 15 to be achieved within specified timeframes. We are not persuaded that the Section 6 to 15 outcomes should only ever be the same as, or be better than, those listed in Table 1.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
4-1-0 1	12.12, 19.27, 19.28, 19.29, 59.2, 73.7, 73.8, 86.15, 89.30, 89.31, 93.10, 93.11, 93.12, 93.13, 93.14, 93.15, 94.29, 95.5, 95.6, 99.31, 99.32, 101.5, 101.6, 106.29, 109.5, 109.6, 118.5, 118.6, 120.38, 125.16, 125.17, 143.5, 143.6, 146.19, 146.2, 157.22, 160.18, 161.15, 161.16, 163.5, 163.6, 170.5, 170.6, 171.6, 171.7, 174.1, 192.16, 196.31, 197.16, 197.17, 209.11, 209.12, 212.5, 212.6, 221.18, 221.19, 221.20, 230.14, 246.11, 246.13, 246.14, 249.4, 249.5, 250.64, 250.65, 256.6, 256.7, 256.8, 256.9, 257.37, 262.2, 268.15, 270.11, 270.12, 270.13, 270.9, 274.5, 274.6, 279.3, 288.10, 311.12, 311.16, 320.13, 320.17, 320.18, 320.19, 326.17, 347.2, 347.8, 348.10, 348.8, 348.9, 350.6, 350.7, 350.8, 357.5, 357.6, 364.20, 364.20	Various	Accept in part	We are not persuaded that omitting Table 1a and Table 1b would assist with achieving the Plan's objectives. We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Section 42A Report for converting the qualitative "All River Management Units" portion of Table 1a into recommended Policy 4.3 and for converting Table 1c into recommended Policy 4.4. See also the separate narrative for: ▪ Why we are recommending only minor amendments to the remaining portions of Tables 1a and 1b; ▪ Why we are not recommending that the Table 1 outcomes be recast as limits; ▪ Why we are recommending the retention of aspirational objectives.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
4-1-0 1	31.3	Amend	Accept in part	We are not persuaded that phosphorus and other contaminants (including sediment and faecal bacteria) should be dealt with in Section 4 of the Plan. Instead we are recommending that they be dealt with in the farming activity rules, Farm Environment Plans (Schedule 7), and Schedule 5.
4-1-0 1	244.5	Amend	Accept in part	We are not persuaded that Section 11 should be amended now, noting that the CRC intends to shortly notify a replacement Selwyn - Waihora sub-regional section.
4-1-0 1	221.17, 221.22, 256.4, 256.5, 270.13, 298.3	Amend	Accept	See the separate narrative for why we are recommending that Table 1 be amended to include appropriate statistical information.
4-1-0 1	347.4	Amend	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Section 42A Report for why we are not recommending substantive amendments to Schedule 17. See the separate narrative for why we are recommending minor amendments to Schedule 17 for the Upper Hurunui and Landslip Stream.
4-1-0 1	106.30, 106.31, 257.40.	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the inclusion of a map associated with Table 1, or a footnote to the planning maps, is required.
4-1-0 1	221.21	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that re-categorisation of Lakes Benmore, Aviemore, Waitaki, Ruataniwha or the Wairepo Arm would assist with achieving the objectives of the Plan.
4-1-0 1	95.7, 101.7, 109.7, 118.7, 143.7, 163.7, 170.7, 212.7, 246.15, 249.6, 274.7, 357.7, 391.1	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Section 42A Report for converting Table 1c into recommended Policy 4.4. See the separate narrative for the categorisation of the nutrient allocation zones.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
4-2-0 1	209.13, 358.12	Delete	Reject	We are not persuaded that omitting Policy 4.2 would assist with achieving the Plan's objectives.
4-2-0 1	32.16, 87.15, 93.7, 99.7, 99.8, 106.28, 120.39, 120.40, 188.15, 200.38, 221.56, 350.41	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending that Policy 4.2 be retained but with modifications to improve clarity.
4-2-0 1	171.8, 311.13, 320.14	Amend	Accept	In response to these and other submissions we are recommending omitting the reference to Policy 4.1. See also the separate narrative for why we are recommending the retention of aspirational objectives.
4-2-0 1	239.32, 244.7, 251.1, 257.38, 265.24, 313.8, 347.67	Amend	Accept in part	In response to these and other submissions we are recommending amendments to Policy 4.2 that appropriately achieve the Plan's objectives.
4-3-0 1	82.31, 196.16, 221.16, 239.33, 250.30, 256.31, 265.25, 306.7, 313.9, 347.68	Amend	Accept in part	In response to these and other submissions, we are recommending the omission of Policy 4.3 as notified and its replacement with recommended Policies 4.3 and 4.4 which respectively state the desired outcomes for surface water and groundwater. We are also recommending that Policy 4.5 (Policy 4.4 as notified) refer to setting limits to support customary uses.
4-3-0 1	213.4, 245.31, 282.20, 317.17, 358.212	Delete	Accept	In response to these and other submissions, we are recommending the omission of Policy 4.3 as notified and its replacement with recommended Policies 4.3 and 4.4 which respectively state the desired outcomes for surface water and groundwater.
4-4-0 1	32.17, 93.8, 99.9, 198.10, 200.39, 222.2, 228.6, 263.38	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending that Policy 4.4 as notified (recommended Policy 4.5) be retained but with modifications to improve clarity.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
4-4-0 1	59.1, 86.4, 94.15, 125.4, 157.4, 160.4, 161.4, 182.2, 230.4, 244.6, 246.12, 268.4, 311.14, 320.15	Amend	Accept in part	See the separate narrative on how the Plan has regard to the vision and principles of the CWMS. We are satisfied that recommended Policy 4.5 appropriately reflects the CWMS priorities. We are also recommending that Policy 4.5 (Policy 4.4 as notified) refers to group and community drinking-water supplies.
4-4-0 1	82.32, 120.42, 146.17, 154.20, 169.56, 187.16, 188.16, 189.12, 192.17, 196.17, 197.19, 221.24, 250.31, 326.18, 347.69, 348.7, 351.15	Amend	Accept in part	In response to these and other submissions we are recommending that Policy 4.5 (Policy 4.4 as notified) refers to setting limits to safeguard the life-supporting capacity of ecosystems. We are not persuaded that the policy direction needs to be qualified. See the separate narrative on why we recommend that this policy (and others) refers to hydro-electricity generation but not to food and fibre production. We are not persuaded that the reference to recreational activities should be omitted and replaced with a reference to tourism activities. Nor are we persuaded that the policy should refer to frost protection. Those latter uses are covered by the general reference to 'economic activities'.
4-4-0 1	317.18, 358.213	Delete	Reject	We are not persuaded that omitting Policy 4.4 (as notified) would assist with achieving the Plan's objectives.
4-5-0 1	19.25	Delete	Reject	We are not persuaded that omitting Policy 4.5 (as notified) would assist with achieving the Plan's objectives.
4-5-0 1	99.10, 263.39	Retain	Accept	We are recommending that Policy 4.5 (as notified) be retained but with a minor modification to improve clarity.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
4-5-0 1	120.41, 232.5	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that omitting the reference to “the operation and maintenance of infrastructure” would assist with achieving the Plan’s objectives.
4-5-0 1	200.40	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the term “operation and maintenance of infrastructure” requires clarification as those words take their common meaning.
4-5-0 1	347.70, 358.114	Amend	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Section 42A Report for why the Plan should not include a new Schedule XX. We are not persuaded that Policy 4.5 (as notified) should refer to “research facilities”.
4-6-0 1	196.18	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending that Policy 4.6 (as notified) be retained, but that it is amended to improve clarity regarding the treatment of renewal consents.
4-6-0 1	19.26, 209.14, 245.32, 313.10, 358.214	Delete	Reject	We are not persuaded that omitting Policy 4.6 (as notified) would assist with achieving the Plan’s objectives.
4-6-0 1	120.43, 146.18, 187.17, 188.17, 189.13, 192.18, 200.41, 213.3, 250.32, 270.10, 288.8, 291.3, 298.4, 311.15, 315.10, 317.19, 320.16, 326.19, 347.7, 350.5, 351.16; 390.14,	Amend	Accept in part	In response to these and other submissions we are recommending that Policy 4.6 (as notified) is amended to improve clarity regarding the treatment of replacement consents.
4-7-0 1	115.4, 120.44, 200.42, 250.33, 364.21	Retain	Reject	In response to other submissions we are recommending that Policy 4.7 as notified be omitted from the Plan.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
4-7-0 1	142.2, 187.18, 188.18, 257.39, 315.11, 347.72, 351.17, 364.21	Amend	Reject	In response to other submissions we are recommending that Policy 4.7 as notified be omitted from the Plan.
4-7-0 1	209.15, 358.215	Delete	Accept	In response to these submissions we are recommending that Policy 4.7 as notified be omitted from the Plan.
4-8-0 1	120.45, 288.9, 288.9, 192.20	Amend	Accept in part	In response to these and other submissions we are recommending that the reference to “priority outcomes expressed in the relevant ZIP” is omitted from Policy 4.8. See the separate narrative for reasons why collaborative community process would not and could not replace the robustness and legitimacy of following the provisions of the RMA.
4-8-0 1	131.20, 198.11	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending that Policy 4.8 be retained, but in response to other submissions we are recommending that the reference to “priority outcomes expressed in the relevant ZIP” is omitted and Sections 6 to 15 are referred to instead.
4-8-0 1	187.19, 188.19	Amend	Accept in part	In response to these and other submissions we are recommending that the reference to “priority outcomes expressed in the relevant ZIP” be omitted and Sections 6 to 15 are referred to instead. We are not persuaded that Policy 4.8 should refer to the costs of development.
4-8-0 1	196.19, 200.43, 250.34, 358.15	Amend	Accept	In response to these submissions we are recommending that Policy 4.8 be qualified to “new” irrigation or hydro-electricity generation, and that the reference to “priority outcomes expressed in the relevant ZIP” is omitted and Sections 6 to 15 are referred to instead.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
4-8-0 1	221.5	Delete	Reject	We are not persuaded that the omitting Policy 4.8 would assist with achieving the Plan's objectives.
4-8-0 1	326.20	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the omitting reference to "schemes" would improve the clarity of Policy 4.8.

Policies 4.9 – 4.11 Discharge of Contaminants to Land or to Water

4-9-0 5	32.18, 60.1, 120.46, 155.1, 200.44, 201.1, 210.1, 219.1, 221.57, 313.11	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending that Policy 4.9 as notified (recommended Policy 4.12) be retained but with minor amendments to improve clarity.
4-9-0 5	72.4, 99.35, 167.13, 187.20, 188.20, 347.74	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that excluding 'stockyards' and 'milk condensate' from Policy 4.9 as notified would be of benefit in achieving the purpose of the Plan. We consider that 'hazardous substances' are fully and appropriately dealt with in new Policies 4.24 to 4.30. Recommended Policy 4.12 deals with 'direct discharges' and we are not persuaded that adding the term 'indirect' discharges would assist in achieving the purpose of the Policy. We are also recommending new Rules 5.187 and 5.188 which would deal with passive (or indirect) discharges from contaminated land.
4-9-0 5	82.35	Amend	Reject	The requested amendment would alter the intended scope of recommended Policy 4.12. .
4-9-0 5	94.25, 106.32/106.33	Amend	Accept in part	In response to this and other submissions we are recommending additional wording to Policy 4.9 as notified (recommended Policy 4.12) that adopts the amendment requested to recognise circumstances when "extreme weather conditions and system failures" occur.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
4-10-0 5	32.19, 120.47, 200.45, 259.2	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending that Policy 4.10 (as notified) be retained but with a minor modification to improve clarity.
4-10-0 5	82.36, 99.36, 187.21, 188.21, 250.35	Amend	Accept in part	We are not persuaded that the qualification of recommended Policy 4.13 by use of the terms 'where possible' and 'direct' would assist in achieving the objectives of the Plan and the superior instruments. We recommend amending the Policy as requested in part by excluding those passive discharges to which recommended Policy 4.26 applies. The Policy deals with the effects of activities, we do not agree that making exceptions for some activities such as hydro-electricity generation and irrigation schemes would assist in achieving the objectives of the Plan and the superior instruments.
4-10-0 5	291.4, 270.14, 270.20 , 326.21	Amend	Accept	We are recommending that new Policy 4.13(c) is amended as requested by replacing the word 'reduce' with the word 'minimise'.
4-10-0 5	245.33, 317.20	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that removal of the hierarchy in the Policy would be an improvement.
4-10-0 5	146.21, 239.34, 265.26, 250.35, 313.12,	Amend	Accept	We are recommending amendments requested to new Policy 4.13(e) that clarify the application of Schedule 5 to discharges to surface water.
4-10-0 5	347.75	Amend	Accept in part	We are not persuaded that paraphrasing the standards under s70 of the RMA in the policy would improve application of the Plan. We are recommending amendments to Policy 4.10 as notified (recommended Policy 4.13) that address the remainder of this submission.
4-10-0 5	350.9	Amend	Accept in part	Recommended Policy 4.13 is focused on effects and addresses direct and indirect discharge of contaminants.
4-10-0 5	358.146	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending amendment to new Policy 4.13 in response to other submissions that address the substance of this submission.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
4-11-0 5	210.2	Amend	Accept in part	In response to other submissions we are recommending amendments to Policy 4.11 as notified (recommended Policy 4.14) that will improve its clarity.
4-11-0 5	320.20	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that amending Policy 4.11 (as notified) to refer to 'significant adverse effects' would be an improvement to the policy intent of the LWRP.
4-11-0 5	9.1, 32.20, 93.16, 120.48, 200.46, 257.41	Retain	Accept in part	In response to other submissions we are recommending amendments to Policy 4.11 as notified that improve clarity of intent.
4-11-0 5	82.37, 99.37	Amend	Accept in part	We are not persuaded that Policy 4.11 as notified would be improved by insertion of the term 'where possible'. We recommend excluding application of this policy to passive discharges (which would be covered by recommended Policy 4.26).
4-11-0 5	154.14, 313.13	Amend	Reject	We consider that the amendments we are recommending to new Policy 4.14 improve clarity and address the substance of the submission point.
4-11-0 5	59.3, 59.4, 59.5, 154.14, 192.21, 239.35, 239.35, 265.27, 265.27, 270.21, 279.4, 311.17, 313.13, 317.21, 326.22, 348.11	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Section 42A Report to amend clause (c) of recommended Policy 4.14 to improve clarity of intent. In response to other submissions we recommend excluding the application of this policy to passive discharges (which are covered by recommended Policy 4.26).
4-11-0 5	167.14	Amend	Accept in part	In response to other submissions we are recommending amendments to Policy 4.11 (now recommended Policy 4.14) that improves clarity of focus.
4-11-0 5	169.57, 288.11	Amend	Accept in part	We recommend that clause (c)(v) of Policy 4.11 (as notified) is omitted as it is superseded by recommended clause (c)(ia).
4-11-0 5	347.76	Delete	Reject	We are not persuaded that the new policy sought would be an improvement

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
				or assist in achieving the objectives of the Plan. Farming and nutrient management is addressed in the separate narrative part of this report.
4-11-0 5	350.10	Amend	Accept in part	The amendment requested to refer to indirect contaminant build up from “superphosphate fertilisers” seeks a level of specificity that will not improve the general focus of recommended Policy 4.14. The matter of nutrient management is the subject of a separate narrative in this report.
4-11-0 5	358.147	Amend	Accept in part	In response to other submissions, recommended Policy 4.14 has been amended to improve the clarity of focus.

Policies 4.12 – 4.14 Stormwater and Community Wastewater Systems

4-12-0 4	59.13, 86.22, 94.35, 106.39, 125.24, 146.22, 157.34, 160.24, 161.23, 199.5, 230.25, 268.22	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Section 42A Report (Volume 4) to amend recommended Policy 4.15 to recognise “stormwater management plans”. We are not persuaded that the amendments requested to clause (c) would improve the clarity and intent. We recommend deleting the term ‘reticulation’ where it is repeated in clause (c) of Policy 4.12 (as notified).
4-12-0 5	89.44	Amend	Reject	The submission does not give precise details of the amendments sought .
4-12-0 5	93.17, 99.11, 120.49, 120.50, 263.40	Retain	Accept in part	In response to other submissions we are recommending amendments to improve clarity of recommended Policy 4.15.
4-12-0 5	187.22, 188.22 200.47, 315.12, 358.148	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the amendments sought would retain the intended clarity of focus of recommended Policy 4.15.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
4-12-0 5	313.14	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that Policy 4.15 should be limited so as not to require avoidance of adverse effects associated with discharges covered by the policy.
4-12-0 5	347.77	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the amendments requested by the submitter to insert the term 'treatment' into the clauses 'reticulated water <u>treatment</u> system' and 'wastewater reticulation <u>treatment</u> system' in recommended Policy 4.15 would serve any practical purpose. We adopt the Section 42A Report comment that reticulated systems are not necessarily treatment systems. In response to other submissions we recommend deleting the term 'reticulation' where it is repeated in clause (d) of recommended Policy 4.15.
4-13-0 5	86.18, 94.32, 125.20, 146.23, 157.27, 160.21, 161.19, 230.17, 268.18, 343.1	Amend	Accept in part	In response to these and other submissions we are recommending an additional clause (e) in recommended Policy 4.16 which would provide that for existing local authority networks, there should be a commitment to progressively improve the quality of the discharge to meet condition (c) as soon as practicable but no later than 2025.
4-13-0 5	89.45	Amend	Reject	The submission does not give precise details of the amendments sought.
4-13-0 5	99.12, 120.51, 200.48	Retain	Accept in part	In response to other submissions we are recommending minor amendments to improve clarity of focus of new Policy 4.16.
4-13-0 5	106.40	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendation and reasons set out in the Section 42A Report to not apply a timeframe for the development of 'stormwater management plans'. We note that 'stormwater management plans' are a requirement under recommended Rule 5.93.
4-13-0 5	106.41	Amend	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons in the Section 42A Report

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
4-13-0 5	106.42	Amend	Accept	We adopt the recommendation and reasons in the Section 42A Report to include reference to Schedule 5 in clause (c) of recommended Policy 4.16. We further recommend that new Schedule 8 should be added to clause (c). Receiving water quality standards and limits are relevant when considering stormwater discharges.
4-13-0 5	169.58, 347.78	Amend	Accept in part	In response to these and other submissions we recommend amending Policy 4.16 to clarify that recommended Policy 4.16 applies to 'any reticulated stormwater system'. We also recommend amending clause (c) by omitting the words after the phrase "may enter water meets" and substituting the following: "or will meet, the water quality outcomes and standards and limits for that waterbody set out in Table 1, Schedules 5 and 8 and¹ Sections 6 to 15, (whichever applies); and" We are not persuaded that the term "urban area" requires definition as those words take their ordinary meaning. We are not persuaded that demonstration of compliance with relevant water quality standards should only be "as far as practicable". We are not persuaded that the reference to "Table 1" needs to be expanded to improve the clarity of the policy.
4-14-0 6	89.46	Amend	Reject	The submission does not give precise details of the amendments sought.
4-14-0 6	99.13, 120.52, 200.49, 263.41, 313.15	Retain	Accept in part	In response to another submission we are recommending a minor amendment to recommended Policy 4.17.
4-14-0 6	169.80, 347.79	Amend	Accept in part	We recommend amending Policy 4.14 (as notified (recommended Policy 4.17) to include reference to 'risks to human health and safety'. Otherwise we are not persuaded that the amendments sought would improve the

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
				clarity of the policy.
4-14-0 6	347.79	Amend	reject	We are not persuaded that managing the adverse effects of inundation and erosion should be limited to effects on waterbodies.

Policies 4.15 – 4.16 Earthworks, Land Excavation and Deposition of Material into Land over Aquifers

4-15-0 6	99.14, 120.53, 131.21, 150.10, 200.50, 210.3, 245.34, 263.42	Retain	Accept	We recommend that Policy 4.15 (as notified) be retained.
4-15-0 6	250.36, 313.16	Amend	Reject	Paraphrasing the terminology from the Act would not improve the clarity of recommended Policy 4.18.
4-15-0 6	314.8	Amend	Reject	Replacing the word 'minimise' 'remedied or mitigated' would not be appropriate as the focus of the policy is on the "best practicable option", which as defined in Section 2 of the Act means preventing or minimising the adverse effects.
4-15-0 6	347.80	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that a reference to Section 70 of the Act is necessary as the earthwork rules that give effect to this policy already address Section 70 matters adequately. The other additional matters sought are too detailed for inclusion in a policy and they are already adequately addressed in the earthwork rules.
4-16-0 6	9.2, 210.4, 120.54, 150.11, 200.51	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending minor amendments to recommended Policy 4.19.
4-16-0 6	59.14	Amend	Accept	We recommend that new Policy 4.19(c) is amended accordingly.
4-16-0 6	99.38	Amend	Reject	The amendments requested to delete 'contaminated sites' would alter the

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
				intended scope of recommended Policy 4.19 We are not persuaded that the amendment sought to clause (c) would assist with achieving the objectives of the Plan or give effect to the superior instruments.
4-16-0 6	127.6, 128.27, 148.6, 165.7, 310.21, 359.3	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that referring to 'potentially contaminated land' in recommended Policy 4.19 would improve the clarity of the policy or assist with achieving the objectives of the Plan.
4-16-0 6	169.59, 169.82, 245.35, 282.21	Amend	Accept in part	In response to submission point 59.14 we are recommending an amendment that addresses the substance of this submission point.
4-16-0 6	313.17, 314.9	Amend	Accept in part	The focus of recommended Policy 4.19 is on the discharge itself (as opposed to its effects); so it is appropriate that such discharges are "avoided or minimised", and not "mitigated". It is appropriate that site monitoring occur "where appropriate" and we recommend an amendment accordingly.

Policies 4.17 – 4.19 Soil Stability

4-17-0 6	120.55, 210.5	Retain	Accept	We are not recommending any amendments to Policy 4.17 as notified (recommended Policy 4.20).
4-17-0 6	169.60	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that replacing "possible" with "practicable" would better achieve the objectives of the Plan.
4-17-0 6	200.52	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that referring to varying extents of soil erosion risk is an efficient and effective approach at the regional level.
4-17-0 6	245.37, 282.22	Amend	Reject	The re-establishment of vegetation is an important means of erosion control

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
				and we are not persuaded that omitting reference to it would assist with achieving the objectives of the Plan.
4-18-0 6	120.56, 210.6, 200.53	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending the retention of Policy 4.19 as notified (recommended Policy 4.22), but in response to other submissions we are recommending amendments to it.
4-18-0 6	106.43	Amend	Reject	Vegetation burning can result in the potential adverse effects described in parts (b) and (c) of recommended Policy 4.21. We are not persuaded that omitting those provisions from the policy would assist with achieving the objectives of the Plan.
4-18-0 6	169.61	Amend	Reject	Qualifying recommended Policy 4.21 to refer to apply only “... as far as practicable ...” would not improve the clarity of the Policy or assist with achieving the objectives of the Plan.
4-18-0 6	263.33	Amend	Accept	We are recommending that the Policy be amended accordingly.
4-19-0 6	99.15, 120.57, 200.54, 210.7,	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending the retention of Policy 4.19 as notified (recommended Policy 4.22), but in response to other submissions we are recommending amendments to it.
4-19-0 6	192.22, 197.21, 238.1, 245.36, 273.1, 282.23, 288.12, 297.2, 300.1, 314.10, 326.23,	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending that Policy 4.19 (as notified) is amended in a way that addresses the substance of these submissions, except for expanding the policy to apply to “mining” as that is encapsulated in the more general term “earthworks”.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
---------------------------	-----------------------------	-------------------	----------------	---------

Policy 4.20 Protect Sources of Human Drinking Water

4-20-0 6	32.21, 43.1, 99.16, 120.58, 123.10, 200.55, 210.8, 222.4, 228.7.	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending the retention of Policy 4.20 as notified (recommended Policy 4.23), but in response to other submissions we are recommending amendments to it.
4-20-0 6	86.11, 94.26, 125.13, 146.24, 157.15, 160.15, 161.12, 230.11, 268.12.	Amend	Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons in the Section 42A Report.
4-20-0 6	93.18	Amend	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons in the Section 42A Report.
4-20-0 6	250.37	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that omitting the reference to the CWMS from recommended Policy 4.23 would assist with achieving the objectives of the Plan. See also the separate narrative above on the Plan having regard to the vision and principles of the CWMS.
4-20-0 6	257.42, 317.22	Amend	Reject	The amendments requested would unjustifiably weaken the policy and reduce its effectiveness for implementing recommended Objective 3.8A and recommended Policy 4.23; and for giving effect to the superior instruments.

Policies 4.21 – 4.25 Hazardous Substances & Hazardous Activities

4-21-0 6	210.9	Delete	Reject	We are not persuaded that omitting Policy 4.21 as notified would assist with achieving the objectives of the Plan.
----------	-------	--------	--------	--

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
4-21-0 6	43.2, 99.17, 120.59, 214.5, 222.5, 228.8, 263.43.	Retain	Accept	We are recommending the retention of Policy 4.21 (recommended Policy 4.24) as notified.
4-21-0 6	200.56	Amend	Reject	The requested amendment would unjustifiably weaken the policy and reduce its effectiveness for implementing recommended Objectives 3.6 to 3.8A, 3.14 to 3.19, and for giving effect to the superior instruments.
4-22-0 7	43.3, 99.18, 120.60, 210.10, 250.38, 263.44, 265.28	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending the retention of Policy 4.22 as notified (recommended Policy 4.25), but in response to other submissions we are recommending amendments to it.
4-22-0 7	169.62	Amend	Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons in the Section 42A Report.
4-22-0 7	200.57	Amend	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons in the Section 42A Report.
4-22-0 7	326.24	Amend	Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons in the Section 42A Report.
4-23-0 7	210.11, 222.6, 228.9	Retain	Accept	We are recommending the retention of Policy 4.23 as notified (recommended Policy 4.25), but in response to other submissions we are recommending amendments to it.
4-23-0 7	120.61	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the amendment sought would improve the efficiency and effectiveness of recommended Policy 4.25 as it already refers to "surface water".
4-23-0 7	127.7, 128.5, 148.7, 165.8, 310.22, 359.4	Amend	Accept in part	We are not persuaded that referring to "potentially contaminated land" improves the efficiency and effectiveness of recommended Policy 4.25 or assists with achieving the objectives of the Plan. We agree the use of the

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
				term “are avoided” would be preferable to the term “no adverse effects” and we recommend an amendment accordingly.
4-23-0 7	167.15	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that it is practicable to avoid adverse effects from contaminated land on groundwater.
4-23-0 7	169.63, 200.58, 306.8	Amend	Accept in part	In response to other submissions we are recommending amendments that satisfy the substance of these submissions.
4-23-0 7	187.23, 188.23, 263.1	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the amendment sought would improve the clarity of the existing provision.
4-24-0 7	9.3, 99.19, 210.12	Retain	Accept	We are not recommending any amendments to Policy 4.24 as notified (recommended Policy 4.27).
4-24-0 7	169.64	Amend	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons in the Section 42A Report.
4-24-0 7	200.59	New policy	Reject	We are not persuaded that the new policy sought would improve the clarity of the existing provisions (such as recommended Policies 4.24 to 4.30).
4-25-0 7	120.62, 200.61, 210.13	Retain	Accept	We are recommending minor amendments to Policy 4.25 as notified (recommended Policy 4.30).
4-25-0 7	59.15	Amend	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons in the Section 42A Report.

Policies 4.26 Livestock Exclusion from Water Bodies

4-26-0 7	12.8, 31.1, 98.1, 120.63, 209.17, 210.14, 200.62,	Retain	Accept	We are recommending the retention of Policy 4.26 as notified (recommended Policy 4.31), but in response to other submissions we are
----------	---	--------	--------	---

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
	221.58			recommending amendments to it.
4-26-0 7	217.4, 123.11	Unclear	Reject	No specific amendments were sought.
4-26-0 7	19.30, 59.16, 72.5, 91.3, 133.8, 136.8, 137.8, 172.8, 184.8, 192.23, 198.12, 213.5, 214.6, 266.7, 287.7, 288.13, 318.17, 319.14, 327.8, 347.81.	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the amendments sought to recommended Policy 4.31 would improve the efficiency or effectiveness of the policy or assist with achieving the objectives of the Plan. See also the separate narrative on stock exclusion.
4-26-0 7	73.10, 73.9, 91.1, 106.44, 146.25, 167.16, 171.9, 270.22, 293.2, 311.18, 320.21, 321.1, 350.11, 351.18.	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending amendments that address the substance of these submissions, including the insertion of a new recommended Policy 4.32. See also the separate narrative on stock exclusion.
4-26-0 7	73.12, 171.11, 175.4, 293.2, 311.18, 320.21, 321.1	Delete	Reject	We are not persuaded that omitting Policy 4.26 as notified (recommended Policy 4.31) would assist in achieving the objectives of the Plan; but we are recommending amendments that clarify the scope of the policy..

Policy 4.27 Discharges of Animal Effluent

4-27-0 7	209.18, 120.64, 192.24, 200.177, 210.15, 311.19, 320.22	Retain	Accept	We are not recommending any amendments to Policy 4.27 as notified (recommended Policy 4.33).
----------	---	--------	--------	--

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
4-27-0 7	39.18, 44.18, 72.6, 257.43, 298.5	Amend	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons in the Section 42A Report. Delaying application of this policy for a further report would fail to give timely effect to the superior instruments.

Policies 4.28 – 4.38 Nutrient Discharges

General

The submission points listed in Column 2 all relate to Nutrient Discharges in the Plan (Policies 4.28 to 4.38 as notified). The policies that are the subject of those submission points are all recommended to be replaced with new policies (the recommended section called “Nutrient Management “containing recommended Policies 4.34 to 4.41). These recommended replacement policies would implement the objectives in different ways. Nevertheless, for the assistance of the submitters, we provide decisions and reasons on the submission points (which we have grouped as appropriate.

4-28-0 6	187.24, 188.24, 315.13, 326.25	Amend	Accept in part	In response to these and other submission points, we are recommending that Policy 4.28 as notified is amended in ways that substantially address the submissions. The policy is recommended to be renumbered as Policy 4.34.
4-28-0 7	43.4, 120.65, 175.5, 200.63, 209.19, 244.8, 364.22, 350.12	Retain	Accept in part	We recommend retaining the policy with some amendments. Renumbered 4.34.
4-28-0 7	210.16	Amend	Reject	Cumulative effects are addressed elsewhere in our review of the policies, but not in this policy.
4-28-0 7	285.4	Amend	Reject	See section of narrative above on nutrient management regimes.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
4-28-0 7	19.31	Postpone	Reject	The required giving effect to the NPSFM calls for action now. If further research is available in time, it can be considered in preparing sub-regional sections of the LWRP.
4-28-0 7	39.19, 44.41	Postpone	Reject	The required giving effect to the NPSFM calls for action now. If further research is available in time, it can be considered in preparing sub-regional sections of the LWRP.
4-28-0 7	98.20	Amend	Reject	If new scientifically based catchment outcomes become available, they could be considered in developing sub-regional sections of the LWRP.
4-28-0 7	146.26	Unclear	Reject	This submission point does not give precise details of an amendment requested to the LWRP.
4-28-0 7	156.1	Unclear	Reject	This submission point does not give precise details of an amendment requested to the LWRP, which does allow for locally derived limits in sub-regional sections 6-15.
4-28-0 7	158.5	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending amendments to policies on nutrient loss from farming; use of farm environment plans; and allowing ample time for implementation,
4-28-0 7	131.22, 189.15, 192.25, 239.36, 265.29, 270.23, 288.14, 311.20, 311.20, 320.23,	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending amendments to policies on nutrient loss from farming; including use of farm environment plans
4-28-0 7	317.24	Amend	Reject	We are recommending amendments to policies on nutrient loss from farming; including use of farm environment plans

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
4-28-0 7	347.82, 347.83, 347.84, 347.85, 347.86, 347.87, 347.88,	New	Reject	See general note above on requests for new policies; and section in the narrative part of this report on nutrient management. In response to this and many submissions on nutrient management policy, we are recommending revised policies.
4-28-0 7	351.19	Amend	Reject	See general note above on requests for new policies; and section in the narrative part of this report on nutrient management. In response to this and many submissions on nutrient management policy, we are recommending revised policies.
4-28-0 7	358.120	Delete	Reject	Although in response to other submissions we are recommending amendments to it, we recommend retaining the substance of the policy.
4-29-0 7	209.20	Amend	Accept in part	In response to other submissions we are recommending that this policy be omitted.
4-29-0 7	120.66, 123.12, 210.17, 214.8, 364.23	Retain	Reject	In response to other submissions we are recommending that this policy be omitted.
4-29-0 7	59.17	Amend	Reject	This submission point does not give precise details of the amendment requested. In response to other submissions we are recommending that this policy be omitted.
4-29-0 7	131.23, 239.37, 265.65, 311.21, 320.24	Amend	Reject	In response to other submissions we are recommending that this policy be omitted.
4-29-0 7	146.27	Amend	Reject	In response to other submissions we are recommending that this policy be omitted.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
4-29-0 7	175.6	Retain	Reject	This submission point does not give precise details of the amendment requested. In response to other submissions we are recommending that this policy be omitted.
4-29-0 7	187.25, 188.25, 270.24,200.64,250.39, 288.15,326.26,347.89	Amend	Reject	In response to other submissions we are recommending that this policy be omitted.
4-29-0 7	244.9,358.121	Oppose	accept	In response to other submissions we are recommending that this policy be omitted.

Policies 4.30 – 4.33 Nutrient Discharges – Region-wide Policies

4-30-0 7	325.3;358.122	Delete	Accept in part	In response to other submissions we are recommending that this policy be omitted.
4-30-0 7	89.22, 131.24, 189.16, 192.26, 210.18, 214.9, 239.38, 265.30, 311.22, 320.25, 364.24	Retain	Reject	In response to other submissions we are recommending that this policy be omitted.
4-30-0 7	93.21,120.67;123.13, 175.7;187.26,188.26;198.13 200.65;;221.36;221.37315.14 ; 317.25;326.27;351.20	Amend	Reject	In response to other submissions we are recommending that this policy be omitted.
4-30-0 7	133.9, 136.9, 137.9, 145.28,156.2;184.9, 198.14,233.2, 266.8,327.9	Unclear	Reject	These submission points do not state precise details of amendment requested to the LWRP.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
4-31-0 7	19.32, 174.2, 197.23, 209.21, 250.41, 279.5, 317.27, 358.123,	Delete	Accept	In response to these and other submissions we are recommending omitting this policy and inserting other policies.
4-31-0 7	120.68, 210.19, 214.10, 364.25	Retain	Reject	In response to other submissions we are recommending omitting this policy, and inserting others.
4-31-0 7	93.22;106.45; 131.25; 187.27; 188.27; 189.17; 192.27;197.24;200.65;221.38 239.2;239.39; 251.5; 265.31;270.15; 320.26, 298.6, 308.4, 311.23, 318.18; 319.15,326.28; 257.4;347.90	Amend	Reject	In response to other submissions we are recommending omitting this policy, and inserting others
4-31-0 7	59.18;133.10, 136.10, 137.10, 146.29;172.10, 184.10, 198.15, 233.3, 251.2; 284.2; 288.16; 327.10	Unclear	Reject	These submission points do not state precise details of amendment requested to LWRP.
4-31-0 7	197.25	Combine Policies 4.31 & 4.34	Reject	In response to other submissions, we are recommending omitting Policies 4.31 & 4.34.
4-31-0 7	267.4, 391.2	Red zoning	Reject	See narrative above on nutrient allocation zones.
4-32-0 9	19.34,121.37 146.30, 175.8, 209.22, 317.28, 358.124	Delete	Reject	In response to other submissions we are recommending omitting this policy, and inserting others.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
4-32-0 9	120.69, 200.67 210.20, 214.11, 364.26	Retain	Reject	In response to other submissions we are recommending omitting this policy, and inserting others.
4-32-0 9	123.14; 187.29, 188.29; 189.18, 308.6; 198.19; 221.39, 239.40; 251.6; 265.32, 270.16; 279.6; 311.24; 320.28257.46, 308.6, 311.24, 318.19, 319.16, 320.28, 326.29; 350.13, 351.22	Amend	Reject	In response to other submissions we are recommending omitting this policy, and inserting others.
4-32-0 9	59.19; 72.7, 133.11, 136.11, 137.11, 172.11, 182.3 184.11, 192.28198.16, 233.4, 251.3; 288.17; 327.11	Unclear	Reject	These submission points do not state precise details of amendment requested to LWRP.
4-33-0 9	120.70, 210.21, 214.12, 364.27	Retain	Reject	In response to other submissions we are recommending omitting this policy, and inserting others.
4-33-0 9	19.35, 131.28, 358.125	Delete	Accept	In response to other submissions we are recommending omitting this policy, and inserting others.
4-33-0 9	31.4, 221.40, 350.14; 133.12, 136.12, 106.46; 137.12, 146.31; 172.12, 184.13, 198.17, 233.5, 327.12; 187.30, 188.30; 350.14, 288.18	Amend	Reject	These submission points do not state precise details of amendment requested to LWRP.
4-33-0 9	106.46; 187.30, 188.30; 221.40, 327.12; 200.68,	Amend	Reject	In response to other submissions we are recommending omitting this policy,

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
	351.23; 326.30, 347.91			and inserting others.
4-33-0 9	239.3			This submission point does not state precise details of amendment requested to LWRP. In response to other submissions we are recommending omitting this policy, and inserting others.

Policies 4.34 – 4.36 Nutrient Zones

4-34-0 9	19.36, 131.29, 197.27, 197.29 209.23, 317.29, 358.126,	Delete	Accept	We are recommending omitting this policy and inserting others.
4-34-0 9	73.13, 106.46; 167.17, 171.12, 187.30, 188.30; 197.1; 197.28; 200.69; 216.2; 221.40; 239.41, 250.42; 251.7; 65.33, 270.17; 284.1, 308.7, 308.7; 311.26; 315.15, 320.30; 320.30, 326.31, 327.12, 347.92, 351.24; 364.28,	Amend	Reject	We are recommending omitting this policy and inserting others. See general note above.
4-34-0 9	31.4; 31.5, 101.9, 131.26; 133.12, 136.12; 137.12, 146.31; 172.12, 184.13; 221.41; 239.13; 239.4, 251.4; 262.3, 279.7; 288.19, 350.14, 353.2; 391.3;	Amend	Reject	These submission points do not state precise details of amendments requested to LWRP. In response to other submissions we are recommending omitting this policy, and inserting others.
4-34-0 9	59.20, 59.21; 192.29	Unclear	Reject	These submission points do not state precise details of amendment

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
				requested to LWRP.
4-34-0 9	101.9, 131.26, 262.3, 279.7, 391.3	Delete	Accept in part	To the extent that this submission point opposes the policy in full, we accept it in part as we are recommending that the policy be omitted.
4-34-0 9	112.1, 120.71, 214.13	Retain	Reject	In response to other submissions we are recommending that this policy be omitted.
4-34-0 9	279.8	Replace	Accept	As requested we are recommending replacement of this policy with one that is feasible.
4-35-0 9	112.2, 120.72, 131.30, 214.14 250.43, 311.27, 320.31,	Retain	Reject	In response to other submissions we are recommending omitting this policy and inserting others.
4-35-0 9	19.37, 239.42, 265.34, 358.127	Delete	Accept	We are recommending omitting this policy and inserting others.
4-35-0 9	31.6, 59.22; 146.33; 221.42, 350.15	Amend	Reject	These submission points do not state precise details of amendments requested to LWRP.
4-35-0 9	101.14	Postpone	Reject	Postponement as requested would fail to give timely effect to superior instruments.
4-35-0 9	167.18, 197.30, 200.70, 256.12, 315.16, 317.26, 326.32; 347.93, 351.25, 364.29	Amend	Reject	In response to other submissions, we are recommending omitting this policy and inserting others.
4-36-0 9	19.38, 197.32, 200.71, 210.22, 279.9, 358.128	Delete	Reject	In response to other submissions, we are recommending amending this policy (renumbered 4.39).

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
4-36-0 9	101.15	Postpone pending review	Reject	We are recommending amendments to this policy, but postponement as requested would fail to give timely effect to superior instruments.
4-36-0 9	120.73	Retain	Accept in part	In response to other submissions we are recommending amendments to the policy.
4-36-0 9	189.19, 192.30, 221.43; 291.5, 298.8, 311.28, 320.32, 326.33, 347.94, 351.26, 364.30	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending amendments to this policy, but not those requested by these submission points.
4-36-0 9	288.20	unclear	reject	This submission point does not state precise details of amendments requested to LWRP.

Policies 4.37 – 4.38 Nutrient Discharges – Sub Regional Chapters

4-37-0 9	19.39, 209.24, 210.23, 221.59	Delete	Reject	In response to other submissions, we are recommending amending this policy, now recommended Policy 4.35.
4-37-0 9	120.74, 200.72, 210.24, 358.129	Retain	Accept in part	In response to other submissions we are recommending amending this submission (now recommended Policy 4.35).
4-37-0 9	131.31, 156.6; 187.32, 188.32, 197.34, 239.43, 257.47; 265.35; 270.26, 311.29, 318.20, 319.17, 320.33; 326.34, 347.96,	Amend	Accept in part	In response to submissions we are recommending amending this policy, though not precisely as requested by these submission points.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
	350.16, 351.27			
4-38-0 9	19.40, 123.26, 175.9, 209.25, 221.60, 358.130	Delete	Accept	We are recommending omitting this policy.
4-38-0 9	11.30; 131.32, 187.33, 188.33, 197.35, 239.44; 251.8, 265.36; 315.17, 317.30; 320.34, 347.97, 351.28	Amend	Reject	We are recommending omitting this policy.
4-38-0 9	44.19	Amend		We are recommending omitting this policy, and inserting others that, together with recommended rules, address the first part of this submission point. We do not recommend postponing the policy, as requested, as this would fail to give effect to superior instruments.
4-38-0 9	120.75, 200.73	Retain	Reject	We are recommending omitting this policy.
4-38-0 9	131.32; 156.7; 257.48; 284.3; 288.22	Unclear	Reject	These submission points do not give precise details of the amendment to the LWRP requested.

Policies 4.39 – 4.45 Damming and Diversion of Water Bodies

4-39-0 9	99.20, 120.76, 200.74, 311.31, 320.35	Retain	Accept	We are not recommending any amendments to Policy 4.39 as notified (recommended Policy 4.42).
4-39-0 9	43.5	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the amendment sought is necessary. Other provisions in the LWRP apply to “isolated wetlands”.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
4-39-0 9	106.48, 197.33, 347.98, 348.12	Amend	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons in the Section 42A Report.
4-39-0 9	245.38	Delete	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons in the Section 42A Report.
4-40-0 9	99.21, 120.77, 200.75, 263.45, 311.32, 320.36	Retain	Accept	We are recommending retaining Policy 4.40 as notified (recommended Policy 4.42), subject to a minor amendment.
4-40-0 9	59.23, 288.37	None	Reject	The submissions are unclear or did not seek a decision.
4-40-0 9	169.65	Amend	Accept	We are recommending a definition for “maintenance” which includes repairing and upgrading accordingly.
4-40-0 9	221.75, 256.34	Delete	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons in the Section 42A Report.
4-40-0 9	245.39	Amend	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons in the Section 42A Report.
4-41-0 10	120.78, 196.20, 197.36, 200.76, 232.6, 245.40, 250.44, 282.24, 311.33, 320.37, 347.99, 358.168	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending amendments to Policy 4.41 as notified (recommended Policy 4.44) that address the relevant substance of these submissions.
4-41-0 10	99.22	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending the retention of Policy 4.41 as notified (recommended Policy 4.44), but in response to other submissions we are recommending amendments to it.
4-41-0 10	221.46	Amend	Reject	The loss of nutrients from farming activities is covered by recommended Policies 4.34 to 4.41.
4-42-0 10	112.4, 120.79; 311.34,	Amend	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons in the Section 42A Report.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
	318.21, 319.18, 320.38, 364.31			
4-42-0 10	200.77, 347.101	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending retaining Policy 4.42 as notified (recommended Policy 4.45), subject to a minor amendment.
4-43-0 10	120.80, 200.178, 210.25	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending retaining Policy 4.43 as notified (recommended Policy 4.45), subject to amendments.
4-43-0 10	59.24, 196.21, 250.45, 347.100	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending that in response to other submissions Policy 4.43 as notified (recommended Policy 4.46) is amended by deleting the list of attributes and referring instead to adverse effects generally. This is consequential to recommended Policy 4.44 now focusing on alpine rivers and high naturalness water bodies.
4-43-0 10	358.169	Delete	Accept in part	See submission point 59.24
4-44-0 10	210.26	Delete	Reject	We are not persuaded that the omission of Policy 4.44 as notified (recommended Policy 4.47) would assist with achieving the objectives of the Plan or give effect to the superior instruments.
4-44-0 10	99.23, 150.12, 263.46, 311.35, 320.39	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending retaining Policy 4.44 as notified (recommended Policy 4.47), subject to amendments.
4-44-0 10	120.81, 146.34, 169.66, 311.35, 320.39, 347.102, 347.102	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending amendments to Policy 4.44 as notified (recommended Policy 4.47) that address the substance of these submissions.
	146.34	Amend	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons in the Section 42A Report.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
4-44-0 10	257.48	Amend	Accept	We have recommended a definition of “property”.
4-45-0 10	210.27, 311.36, 320.40	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending retaining Policy 4.45 as notified (recommended Policy 4.48).
4-45-0 10	120.82	Amend	Reject	The thrust of Policy 4.45 as notified is on dam safety matters. The fish passage matters raised in the submission point are already addressed in recommended Policies 4.44 (specifically) and 4.46 (generally).
4-45-0 10	196.22, 250.46	Amend	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons in the Section 42A Report.

Policies 4.46 – 4.63 Abstraction of Water

4-46-0 10	19.41, 210.28	Delete	Reject	We are not persuaded that the omission of Policy 4.46 as notified (recommended Policy 4.49) would assist with achieving the objectives of the Plan or give effect to the superior instruments.
4-46-0 10	32.22, 106.49, 120.83	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending retaining Policy 4.46 as notified (recommended Policy 4.49), subject to amendments.
4-46-0 10	72.15	Amend	Reject	There is no need to define the term “arable farming” as it takes its common meaning.
4-46-0 10	86.5, 94.16, 125.5, 157.5, 160.5, 161.5, 230.5, 268.5	Amend	Accept	We are recommending an amendment to Policy 4.46 as notified accordingly.
4-46-0 10	146.35, 187.35, 188.35, 189.20, 192.31, 192.31, 200.78, 222.8, 228.15,	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending that Policy 4.46 as notified is amended to refer to community water supplies generally. We are also recommending a

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
	245.41, 270.27, 282.25, 311.37, 315.18, 317.31, 320.41, 326.35,			definition of “community water supply” that includes stock water and other ancillary takes. We are recommending that such takes have a “water supply strategy in place”. However, we are not persuaded that such takes should be totally exempt from restrictions at times of low flow. That would not assist with achieving the objectives of the Plan or give effect to the superior instruments.
4-46-0 10	288.23	Amend	Reject	No decision sought.
4-46-0 10	347.103, 270.27, 347.104	New	Reject	We are not persuaded that the two new polices sought are necessary to achieve the objectives of the Plan. The matters covered by the two new policies are adequately dealt with in recommended Policies 4.50 to 4.69.
4-47-0 10	19.42, 210.29	Delete	Reject	We are not persuaded that the omission of Policy 4.47 as notified (recommended Policy 4.50) would assist with achieving the objectives of the Plan or give effect to the superior instruments.
4-47-0 10	98.21, 174.4, 187.36, 188.36, 196.23, 197.37, 216.3, 291.8, 320.42, 326.36	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending a new clause (b)(iii) in recommended Policy 4.50 which provides, as an alternative, uses of water that are demonstrably efficient. This addresses the substance of the submission points.
4-47-0 10	59.25, 82.38	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the amendments sought would improve the clarity of recommended Policy 4.50.
4-47-0 10	120.84, 228.10	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending retaining Policy 4.47 as notified (recommended Policy 4.50), subject to amendments.
4-47-0 10	86.6, 94.17, 125.6, 146.36, 157.6, 160.6, 161.6, 189.21, 192.32, 222.9, 230.6, 268.6,	Amend	Accept	We are recommending that Policy 4.47 as notified is amended to refer to community water supplies generally. We are also recommending a definition of “community water supply” that includes supply to institutional,

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
	317.32			industrial, processing, stock water, amenity irrigation, and fire-fighting activities. This addresses the substance of these submission points.
4-47-0 10	169.67	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the amendment sought would assist with achieving the objectives of the Plan or give effect to the superior instruments.
4-47-0 10	200.79, 250.47,	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending amendments to recommended Policy 4.50 which address the substance of these submission points.
4-47-0 10	259.4, 270.28, 279.10, 311.38, 315.19, 326.36,	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that limiting recommended Policy 4.50 to domestic and stock water abstractions would assist with achieving the objectives of the Plan or give effect to the superior instruments.
4-47-0 10	288.24	Amend	Reject	No decision sought.
4-48-0 10	210.30	Delete	Reject	We are not persuaded that omitting Policy 4.48 as notified (recommended Policy 4.51) would assist with achieving the objectives of the Plan or give effect to the superior instruments.
4-48-0 10	120.85, 192.33, 320.43, 311.39	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending retaining Policy 4.48 as notified (recommended Policy 4.51), subject to amendments.
4-48-0 10	169.68, 187.37, 188.37, 315.20	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that generalising Policy 4.48 as notified to apply to all infrastructure or investment is appropriate. Renewable energy such as hydro-electricity is supported by the NPSREG and both renewable electricity generation and irrigation are second order priorities in the CWMS principles. On that basis their specific mention is warranted.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
4-48-0 10	196.24	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending amendments to Policy 4.48 as notified that address the substance of this submission point.
4-48-0 10	197.38	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending adding “principal water supplier schemes” to recommended Policy 4.51. Other amendments we are recommending to the policy address the substance of the remaining matters raised in the submission point.
4-48-0 10	200.80	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending amendments to Policy 4.48 as notified that address the substance of this submission point.
4-48-0 10	221.25, 256.15, 250.48	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending amendments to Policy 4.48 as notified that address the substance of these submission points, except for requiring the adoption of the best practicable option in relation to efficiency improvements. Such an amendment would not improve the clarity of the policy.
4-48-0 10	250.48	New	Reject	Given the amendments that we are recommending to Policy 4.48 as notified, we are not persuaded that the new policies sought are necessary to achieve the objectives of the Plan or to give effect to the superior instruments.
4-48-0 10	288.25, 347.105	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending amendments to Policy 4.48 as notified that address the substance of these submission points
4-49-0 11	210.31	Delete	Reject	We are not persuaded that omitting Policy 4.49 as notified (recommended Policy 4.52) would assist with achieving the objectives of the Plan or give effect to the superior instruments.
4-49-0 11	318.22, 319.19, 320.44,	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending amendments to Policy 4.49 as notified that address

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
	347.106			the substance of these submission points.
4-49-0 11	82.39	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that amending Policy 4.49 as notified so that it weighs the benefits and disadvantages of abstraction is consistent with the effects based focus of the Act. We also adopt the reasons in the Section 42A Report relating to this submission point.
4-49-0 11	89.33, 89.34, 320.45	Amend	Accept	We are recommending the omission of clauses (c) and (d) from Policy 4.49 as notified.
4-49-0 11	120.86	Amend	Accept	We are recommending a new clause (d) that addresses seawater contamination of the aquifer.
4-49-0 11	200.81	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending retaining Policy 4.49 as notified (recommended Policy 4.52), subject to amendments.
4-49-0 11	245.42, 282.26, 286.14	Amend	Accept in part	In response to other submissions we are recommending amendments to Policy 4.49 as notified that provide greater flexibility. In particular recommended new clause (c) specifies that takes should not “result in any continuing long-term decline in mean annual groundwater levels”. We are not persuaded that singling out community supply or stock water is necessary. It is more appropriate to have a policy that equitably applies to all takes. We also adopt the reasons in the Section 42A Report relating to these submission points.
4-50-0 11	210.32	Unclear	Reject	The submission did not contain precise details of any amendments sought.
4-50-0 11	20.7, 192.34, 279.11, 311.40,	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that omitting clauses (c) and (d) from Policy 4.50 as notified would assist with achieving the objectives of the Plan or give effect to the superior instruments.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
4-50-0 11	59.26	Amend	Accept	We are recommending the correction of this typographical error.
4-50-0 11	120.87	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending retaining Policy 4.50 as notified (recommended Policy 4.53), subject to amendments.
4-50-0 11	187.38, 188.38, 192.34, 315.21	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that omitting the reference to seasonal volumes would assist with achieving the objectives of the Plan or give effect to the superior instruments. We also adopt the reasons set out in the Section 42A Report for these submission points.
4-50-0 11	197.39	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the amendments sought would improve the clarity of Policy 4.50 as notified.
4-50-0 11	200.82, 250.49	Amend	Accept	We are recommending that clauses (c) and (d) of Policy 4.50 as notified (recommended clause (d) and (e) of Policy 4.53) only apply in the absence of an environmental flow and allocation limit being set in the sub-regional sections of the LWRP. We also adopt the reasons set out in the Section 42A Report for these submission points.
4-51-0 11	120.88, 210.33, 200.83, 250.50	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending retaining Policy 4.51 as notified (recommended Policy 4.54), subject to amendments.
4-51-0 11	82.4, 216.4	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the qualifications sought would assist with achieving the objectives of the Plan or give effect to the superior instruments.
4-51-0 11	189.22, 192.35, 279.12, 311.41, 320.46	Amend	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Section 42A Report for these submission points.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
4-51-0 11	72.9	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending amendments to Policy 4.51 as notified that address the substance of this submission point.
4-51-0 11	106.50	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that omitting the ability to require the telemetry of water use records upon the review of a consent would assist with achieving the objectives of the Plan.
4-51-0 11	160.9, 146.37, 326.37, 348.14	Amend	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Section 42A Report for these submission points.
4-51-0 11	167.19	Amend	Accept	We are recommending amendments to Policy 4.51 as notified accordingly.
4-51-0 11	187.39, 188.39	Amend	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Section 42A Report for these submission points.
4-52-0 11	93.23, 120.89, 200.84, 210.34	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending retaining Policy 4.52 as notified (recommended Policy 4.55), subject to amendments.
4-52-0 11	131.33	Amend	Accept in part	See the separate narrative on the relationship between Section 4 and the sub-regional sections of the LWRP. We also adopt the reasons set out in the Section 42A Report for this submission point.
4-52-0 11	196.26, 197.40, 221.26, 250.51, 256.16, 257.50, 270.29, 311.42, 320.47	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending amendments to Policy 4.52 as notified that address the substance of these submission points.
4-52-0 11	245.43, 270.29, 282.27, 347.243	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that omitting clause (b) from Policy 4.52 as notified would assist with achieving the objectives of the Plan or give effect to the superior instruments. Nor are we persuaded that the Ngāi Tahu values can or should be described with certainty in the LWRP. Such values may be

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
				catchment or site specific. However, in response to other submissions, we are recommending that the words “adversely affect” are replaced with the words “takes into account”.
4-52-0 11	348.15	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the amendment sought would improve the clarity of Policy 4.52 as notified or assist with achieving the objectives of the Plan.
4-53-0 11	120.90, 200.85, 210.35, 288.26, 347.109	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending retaining Policy 4.53 as notified (recommended Policy 4.56), subject to amendments.
4-53-0 11	311.43, 320.48	Delete	Reject	We are not persuaded that omitting Policy 4.53 as notified would assist with achieving the objectives of the Plan or give effect to the superior instruments. We also adopt the reasons in the Section 42A Report for these submission points.
4-53-0 11	131.34	Amend	Reject	See the separate narrative on the relationship between Section 4 and the sub-regional sections of the LWRP. We also adopt the reasons set out in the Section 42A Report for this submission point.
4-53-0 11	182.4, 250.52, 270.31	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending an additional clause (b) for Policy 4.53 as notified for the situation where an environmental flow and allocation regime has been developed in anticipation of the additional surface water flows. This addresses the substance of these submission points.
4-54-0 11	120.91, 200.86, 210.36, 311.44, 320.49	Retain	Accept	We are not recommending any amendments to Policy 4.54 as notified (recommended Policy 4.57).
4-55-0 11	210.37	Delete	Reject	We are not persuaded that omitting Policy 4.55 as notified would assist with achieving the objectives of the Plan or give effect to the superior instruments.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
4-55-0 11	6.1, 150.13, 271.1, 279.13, 311.45, 320.50	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending amendments to Policy 4.55 as notified that address the substance of these submission points.
4-55-0 11	93.25, 99.24, 120.92, 200.87	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending retaining Policy 4.55 as notified (recommended Policy 4.58), subject to amendments.
4-55-0 11	288.27	Amend	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Section 42A Report for this submission point.
4-56-0 11	93.26, 99.25, 120.93, 200.88, 210.38, 311.46, 320.51	Retain	Accept	We are not recommending any amendments to Policy 4.56 as notified (recommended Policy 4.77).
4-57-0 11	120.94, 200.89, 210.39, 311.47, 320.52	Retain	Accept	We are not recommending any amendments to Policy 4.57 as notified (recommended Policy 4.78).
4-58-0 11	210.40	Unclear	Reject	The submission point is unclear.
4-58-0 11	19.43, 82.41, 120.95, 200.90, 279.14, 298.10, 315.22, 317.33, 320.53, 326.40	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending amendments to Policy 4.58 as notified that address the substance of these submission points.
4-58-0 11		Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending retaining Policy 4.58 as notified (recommended Policy 4.59), subject to amendments.
4-59-0 11	120.96, 200.91, 210.41, 311.48, 320.54	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending retaining Policy 4.59 as notified (recommended Policy 4.60), subject to amendments
4-59-0 11	298.11	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending amendments to Policy 4.59 as notified that address the substance of this submission point.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
4-60-0 12	200.92, 210.42, 311.49, 320.55, 347.110	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending retaining Policy 4.60 as notified (recommended Policy 4.61), subject to amendments
4-60-0 12	19.44	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that Policy 4.60 as notified should not apply in the Ellesmere Area. Stream depleting groundwater takes should be managed consistently under the LWRP regardless of their geographic location. We also adopt the reasons in the Section 42A Report on these submission points.
4-60-0 12	82.42	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the amendment sought would improve the certainty of Policy 4.60 as notified.
4-60-0 12	120.97	Amend	Reject	We adopt the recommendation and reasons in the Section 42A Report on this submission point.
4-60-0 12	187.41, 188.41, 315.23	Amend	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons in the Section 42A Report on these submission points.
4-60-0 12	250.53	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendation and reasons in the Section 42A Report on this submission point.
4-61-0 12	210.43	Delete	Reject	We are not persuaded that omitting Policy 4.61 as notified would assist with achieving the objectives of the Plan or give effect to the superior instruments.
4-61-0 12	19.45, 86.7, 86.8, 94.18, 94.19, 125.7, 125.9, 146.38, 157.7, 157.8, 160.11, 160.8, 161.8, 187.42, 188.42, 197.41, 230.7, 230.8, 268.7,	Amend	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons in the Section 42A Report on these submission points.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
	268.8, 311.50, 315.24, 320.56, 358.154			
4-61-0 12	43.6, 120.99, 200.93	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending retaining Policy 4.61 as notified (recommended Policy 4.62), subject to amendments
4-61-0 12	270.32	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that amending Policy 4.61(c) as notified so that it refers to alternative restriction regimes will improve the clarity and certainty of the provision. Policy 4.61(c) as notified already provides for sub-regional sections to specify restriction regimes that differ from a stepped or pro rata system.
4-61-0 12	298.12	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that Policy 4.61(b) should be expressed as an exception to Policy 4.61(c).
4-61-0 12	318.23, 319.20	Amend	Reject	The management of nutrient losses (the LWRP does not establish nutrient discharge allowances) occurs under recommended Policies 4.34 to 4.41.
4-61-0 12	347.107	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the amendment sought would improve the clarity and certainty of Policy 4.61 as notified.
4-62-0 12	210.44, 320.57	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending retaining Policy 4.62 as notified (recommended Policy 4.63), subject to amendments
4-62-0 12	19.46, 82.43, 120.98	Amend	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons in the Section 42A Report on these submission points.
4-63-0 12	210.45	Delete	Reject	We are not persuaded that omitting Policy 4.63 as notified would assist with achieving the objectives of the Plan or give effect to the superior instruments.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
4-63-0 12	120.100, 200.95, 311.52, 320.58	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending retaining Policy 4.63 as notified (recommended Policy 4.64), subject to amendments
4-63-0 12	72.10, 82.44,	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the amendments sought would improve the clarity and certainty of Policy 4.63 as notified.
4-63-0 12	82.45	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that there is a need to refer to the matters dealt with in s5 of the Act in Policy 4.63 as notified.
4-63-0 12	187.43, 188.43	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that limiting the application of seasonal volumes to groundwater abstractors would assist with achieving the objectives of the Plan or give effect to the superior instruments. We also adopt the reasons in the Section 42A Report for these submission points.
4-63-0 12	250.54, 317.34, 347.108	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that hydroelectricity abstractors should be exempted from the imposition of annual allocations. Nor are we persuaded that there should be no opportunity to impose annual allocations upon the review of consents or when consents are transferred. Making any of those amendments would not assist with achieving the objectives of the Plan or give effect to the superior instruments.

Policies 4.64 Flow Sensitive Catchments

4-64-0 12	210.46	Amend	Reject	The submitter does not provide specific detail of the amendment sought.
4-64-0 12	68.3, 69.16, 74.14, 81.12, 90.16, 103.2, 120.101, 124.14, 189.24, 192.36, 200.96, 215.2, 255.8, 290.1,	Retain	Accept	We are not proposing any amendment to recommended Policy 4.75 (Policy 4.64 as notified).

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
	311.53, 320.59, 372.6, 374.22, 380.57, 382.18, 387.17			
4-64-0 12	30.27, 30.37	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons in the Section 42A Report for these submission points.
4-64-0 12	30.38	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that making a recommendation on new Policy 4.75 (notified as 4.64) should be deferred while further investigation is undertaken
4-64-0 12	72.8	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the amendment requested would improve the focus of recommended new Policy 4.75.
4-64-0 12	175.10	Amend	Reject	No precise details of the amendment sought were provided.
4-64-0 12	347.111	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the amendment requested would improve the clarity of recommended Policy 4.75.

Policies 4.65 Site Dewatering

4-65-0 12	10.47; 20.102, 99.26, 200.97, 263.47	Retain	Accept	We are not proposing any amendment to recommended Policy 4.76 (notified as 4.65).
-----------	--------------------------------------	--------	--------	---

Policies 4.66 – 4.70 Efficient Use of Water

4-66-0 13	93.29, 20.103, 87.44, 188.44, 200.98, 10.48, 50.55, 311.54, 320.60	Retain	Accept	We are not recommending any amendment to new Policy 4.65 (notified as 4.66).
-----------	--	--------	--------	--

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
4-66-0 13	19.47	Delete	Reject	We are not persuaded that omitting Policy 4.66 as notified would assist with achieving the objectives of the Plan.
4-66-0 13	182.5	Amend	Reject	We adopt the recommendation and reasons of the s42A Report.
4-66-0 13	288.28	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the amendments requested would improve clarity of recommended Policy 4.65 (notified as 4.66).
4-67-0 12	210.49	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending amendments to recommended Policy 4.66 (notified as 4.67) that will address the substance of the submission point.
4-67-0 13	93.30, 120.104	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending retaining Policy 4.67 as notified (recommended Policy 4.66), subject to amendments made in response to other submissions.
4-67-0 13	19.48, 167.20, 187.45, 88.45, 279.15, 98.13, 308.8, 315.25, 320.61,	Amend	Accept in part	We are not persuaded that defining an irrigation season would be useful. In response to other submissions we are recommending that Schedule 10 be referred as the means for determining the annual volume.
4-67-0 13	11.55, 164.1, 189.25, 92.37, 197.42, 88.29, 308.8, 320.61	Delete	Reject	We are not persuaded that omitting recommended Policy 4.66 would assist in achieving the objectives of the Plan.
4-67-0 13	308.8, 311.55, 320.61,	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons in the Section 42A Report for these submission points.
4-67-0 13	164.1, 200.99, 347.112	Amend	Accept in part	In response to other submissions we are recommending amendments to Policy 4.67 as notified that address the substance of these submission points.
4-67-0 13	350.17	Amend	Reject	The abstraction of water from rivers and streams is addressed in other sections of the policy framework of the Plan.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
4-68-0 13	19.49, 164.2, 209.26	Delete	Reject	We are not persuaded that omitting recommended Policy 4.68 as notified would assist in achieving the objectives of the Plan
4-68-0 13	93.31, 20.106, 200.100, 210.50	Retain	Accept in part	In response to other submissions we recommend amendment to recommended Policy 4.67A.
4-68-0 13	59.27	Amend	Accept	In response to other submissions we are recommending an amendment to Policy 4.68 as notified which addresses the submission point.
4-68-0 13	187.46, 188.46, 315.26	Amend	Accept in part	In response to other submitters we are recommending an amendment to Policy 4.68 as notified which substantially addresses the submission point.
4-68-0 13	221.8	Amend	Reject	We are recommending amendments that clarify that recommended Policy 4.67A (notified as 4.68) is applied to catchments where abstraction exceeds water allocation limits.
4-69-0 13	59.28, 93.32, 120.105, 200.101, 210.51	Retain	Accept	We are not proposing any amendment to recommended Policy 4.68 (notified as 4.69).
4-69-0 13	308.9, 311.56, 320.62	Delete	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons in the Section 42A Report.
4-69-0 13	19.50	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons of the Section 42A Report for this submission point.
4-69-0 13	72.11, 182.7, 270.33	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the amendment requested improves the clarity that notified Policy 4.69 provides in setting targets that industry should be seeking to achieve.
4-69-0 13	187.47, 188.47	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the amendment requested aids the clarity of notified Policy 4.69. Such qualifications are appropriately found in Schedule 10.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
4-69-0 13	256.17	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the requested amendment assists the clarity or intent of notified Policy 4.69.
4-69-0 13	257.51	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendation and reasons of the Section 42A Report for this submission point.
4-70-0 13	320.63	Delete	Reject	The submission did not provide specific wording to address the submission point.
4-70-0 13	19.51	Amend	Accept in part	The submission did not provide specific wording to assist in addressing the issue. However in response to other submissions we are recommending amendments to improve the clarity of recommended Policy 4.69 (notified as 4.70).
4-70-0 13	93.33, 120.107, 200.102, 288.30	Retain	Accept in part	In response to other submissions we are recommending amendments to recommended Policy 4.69 (notified as 4.70).
4-70-0 13	187.48, 188.48, 92.38, 197.43, 221.76, 250.57	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending amendments to recommended Policy 4.69 (notified as Policy 4.70) that substantially address the issues raised.
4-70-0 13	250.57	New	Accept in part	In response to other submissions we are recommending amendments to recommended Policy 4.69 (notified as 4.70) that substantially address the issues raised by the submitter.
4-70-0 13	257.52	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendation and reasons of the Section 42A Report for this submission point.
4-70-0 13	311.57	Delete	Accept in part	In response to other submissions we are recommending an amendment that improves the clarity of recommended Policy 4.69.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
---------------------------	-----------------------------	-------------------	----------------	---------

Policies 4.71 – 4.73 Transfer of Water Permits

4-71-0 13	210.52, 358.158	Delete	Reject	In response to other submissions we are recommending amendment to recommended Policy 4.70 (notified as 4.71) that reorders the Policy but retains its essential elements.
4-71-0 13	120.108, 146.39, 189.26, 192.39, 200.103	Retain	Accept	In response to other submissions we are recommending minor amendments to notified Policy 4.71. The definition for the term 'over allocation' is provided for in Section 2 of the Plan.
4-71-0 13	197.44, 187.49, 188.49, 250.58	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the amendments requested by the submission points would assist with achieving the objectives of the Plan.
4-71-0 13	277.4	Amend	Reject	We adopt the recommendation and reasons set out in the Section 42A report for this submission point.
4-71-0 13	311.58, 318.24, 319.21, 320.64	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the amendment requested of qualifying the target of efficiency and effective use of water improves the clarity of recommended Policy 4.70 (notified as 4.71).
4-71-0 13	345.18	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the amendment requested is necessary as the use of water is still subject to the 'take and use' provisions and the reasonable use test of recommended Rule 5.133 (notified as Rule 5.107).
4-71-0 13	364.32	Amend	Reject	The submitter did not provide specific wording to support the requested amendment.
4-72-0 13	210.53, 279.16, 311.59, 320.65, 358.159,	Delete	Reject	We are not persuaded that the deletion of all or part of notified Policy 4.72 (recommended Policy 4.71) would assist with achieving the objectives of the Plan.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
4-72-0 13	59.30	Amend	Accept in part	We recommend an amendment to notified Policy 4.72(c) to clarify the intent of the provision.
4-72-0 13	114.8	New	Reject	The relief sought by the submission is more appropriately addressed in the sub-regional sections of the Plan as they are reviewed over time.
4-72-0 13	120.109, 146.40, 189.27, 192.40	Retain	Accept in part	In response to other submissions we are recommending a minor amendment to recommended Policy 4.71 (notified as 4.72).
4-72-0 13	197.45	Amend	Reject	We adopt the recommendation and reasons set out in the Section 42A Report.
4-72-0 13	59.29, 200.104, 270.34, 345.19	Amend	Reject	The notified Policy 4.72 applies to both over allocated catchments and those where water is available for allocation. Consent applications for water transfer are subject to assessment under recommended Policy 4.61 (notified as 4.60).
4-72-0 13	277.3	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the amendment requested is appropriate in an effects based Plan.
4-73-0 13	89.35, 210.54, 317.35, 358.160	Delete	Accept	We recommend the omission of Policy 4.73 as notified.
4-73-0 13	320.66	Amend	Reject	In response to other submissions we recommend the omission of Policy 4.73 as notified..
4-73-0 13	14.2, 15.2, 24.2, 36.2, 37.2, 42.2, 83.2, 138.2, 223.2	Amend	Reject	In response to other submissions we recommend the omission of Policy 4.73 as notified. The matters it addressed are included in recommended Policy 4.71 (notified as 4.72). As part of the combining of the two policies we are recommending amendments that improve the efficiency and effectiveness with which the provision achieves the objectives of the Plan.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
4-73-0 13	72.12	Delete	Reject	We are not persuaded that the amendment requested assists with achieving the objectives of the Plan
4-73-0 13	120.110, 146.41	Retain	Accept in part	See the reasons for submission point 14.2. The request for a definition of 'over allocation' is appropriately addressed in Section 2.6.
4-73-0 13	142.3	Opposed	Reject	The submission does not provide specific wording on the amendments requested.
4-73-0 13	19.52, 92.41, 174.5, 187.50, 188.50, 189.28, 197.46, 277.5, 279.17, 288.31, 298.14, 308.10, 315.27, 345.20, 351.29, 200.105, 270.35,	Amend	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons in the Section 42A Report for these submission points. See also the reasons for submission point 14.2. We note in respect of the amendment requested to separate the allocation policies from the transfer policies, the policies should be read together and that the reasonable use test is addressed in recommended Rule 5.133 (notified Rule 5.107).

Policies 4.74 Sharing Water in Times of Restriction

4-74-0 13	31.7, 120.111, 200.106, 210.55, 288.32, 320.67, 311.60, 326.41	Retain	Accept in part	In response to other submissions we are recommending minor amendments to recommended Policy 4.72 (notified as 4.74).
4-74-0 13	187.51, 188.51, 298.15, 315.28, 347.113	Delete	Accept in part	We are not persuaded that omitting Policy 4.74 as notified would assist with achieving the objectives of the Plan or give effect to the superior instruments.
4-74-0 13	347.114, 347.115	New	Reject	We are not persuaded that the new policies requested would assist with achieving the objectives of the Plan or give effect to the superior instruments.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
---------------------------	-----------------------------	-------------------	----------------	---------

Policies 4.75 – 4.76 Consent Duration, Lapse Periods and Giving Effect to Water Permits

4-75-0 13	19.53, 72.13, 182.8, 187.52, 188.52, 189.29, 200.107, 210.56, 257.55, 288.33, 298.16, 308.11, 311.61, 315.30, 318.25, 319.22, 320.68,	Amend	Accept in part	We recommend amending Policy 4.75 as notified to extend the presumptive lapsing period to 3 years. See narrative part of this report.
4-75-0 13	120.112	Retain	Accept in part	We recommend amendments to Policy 4.75 as notified.
4-75-0 13	164.4, 196.27, 221.9, 250.59, 256.18	Delete	Reject	We consider Policy 4.75 as notified is useful, and we recommend amendments to improve it.
4-75-0 13	250.59	Additional explanation	Reject	See section on lapsing periods in narrative part of this report. The submission does not give precise details of the addition requested.
4-75-0 13	298.16, 347.116	Amend	Accept	We recommend amending Policy 4.75 as notified accordingly.
4-76-0 13	120.113, 210.57, 221.61, 317.36, 347.244,	Retain	Accept in part	In response to other submissions, we are recommending amendments to this Policy 4.76 as notified.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
4-76-0 13	19.54, 251.9, 256.19, 270.36, 277.6, 288.34, 308.12, 311.62, 320.69, 326.42,	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending amendments to Policy 4.76 as notified that address the substance of these submission points. In particular, we are recommending that the presumptive 5-year duration be amended to 15 years. Given the nutrient allocation status of some nutrient allocation zones we consider that a presumptive duration of 35 years would be excessive. See also the separate narrative on this matter.
4-76-0 13	20.8, 189.30, 192.42, 197.48	Delete	Reject	We consider Policy 4.76 as notified is useful for implementing the objectives; and recommend amendments to improve it.
4-76-0 13	59.31, 106.51	Amend	Accept	We recommend amending Policy 4.76 as notified as requested by this submission point
4-76-0 13	131.36	Oppose	Reject	The submission point does not give precise details of what amendment is requested. Amendments to the LWRP we are recommending address the substance of the submission point. See narrative on nutrient management.
4-76-0 13	187.53, 188.53, 200.108, 315.29	Amend	Reject	Although we do not recommend the amendment requested, we are recommending amendments to Policy 4.76 as notified.
4-76-0 13	251.9	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending amendments to Policy 4.76 as notified that address in part the requests in this submission point.
4-76-0 13	257.54	Unclear	Reject	In appropriate cases, scale of investment and community benefits can be considered in setting consent terms. In that respect no amendment to Policy 4.76 as notified is needed.
4-76-0 13	239.45, 265.37, 270.36	Amend	Reject	Consent terms are set case by case. The term 'catchment' is intended to be given its ordinary meaning and does not need definition.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
4-76-0 13	318.26, 319.23	Amend	Reject	Consent terms are set case by case, on consideration of relevant matters
4-76-0 13	350.18	Amend	Reject	The amendment requested would not express the CRC's policy.

Policies 4.77 – 4.78 Hydrocarbon Exploration or Production, Including “Fracking”

4-77-0 13	350.19	Amend	Accept in part	We recommend amending Policy 4.77 as notified to clarify it.
4-77-0 14	93.27, 120.114, 210.58, 320.70	Retain	Accept in part	We recommend amending Policy 4.77 as notified to clarify it.
4-77-0 14	200.109	Amend	Reject	We recommend amending Policy 4.77 as notified to clarify it.
4-77-0 14	207.1	Amend	Reject	The submission point does not give precise details of amendments requested. We are recommending amending Policy 4.77 as notified to clarify it.
4-77-0 14	351.30, 351.31	Amend	Reject	We do not accept that fracking in general should be prohibited. See narrative above on prohibited activities. The amendments we recommend to Policy 4.77 as notified clarify what effects are to be avoided.
4-78-0 14	93.28, 120.115, 210.59, 320.71	Retain	Accept	We recommend retaining Policy 4.78 as notified.
4-78-0 14	59.32	Amend	Reject	The submission does not give precise details of the amendment requested. This is a policy, not a rule.
4-78-0 14	200.112	Amend	Reject	Policy 4.78 as notified states what is expected of bores penetrating bedrock.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
	207.2			

Policies 4.79 – 4.83 Wetlands and Riparian Margins

4-79-0 14	31.8, 120.116, 210.60, 263.48	Retain	Accept	We recommend minor amendments to Policy 4.79 as notified to clarify it.
4-79-0 14	311.63,320.72,171.13,257.53	Amend	Accept	In response to other submissions we are recommending amending the definition of 'wetland'.
4-79-0 14	106.52	Amend	Reject	We are recommending other amendments to Policy 4.79 as notified that would result in it being an appropriate implementation of the objectives.
4-79-0 14	197.49, 200.113, 221.27, 256.20, 347.117, 358.176,	Amend	Accept in part	In response to other submissions we are recommending amendments to Policy 4.79 as notified that address the substance of the submission points.
4-80-0 14	120.118, 311.64, 320.73	Amend	Reject	In response to other submissions we are recommending amending the definition of 'wetland'.
4-80-0 14	31.9, 263.49	Retain	Accept	We are recommending retaining Policy 4.80 as notified but with minor amendments to clarify its application.
4-80-0 14	120.118	New	Reject	See general note above. We consider that with the amendments we are recommending, the Plan will contain appropriate policies in respect of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of fauna (including freshwater fauna).
4-80-0 14	169.69	Amend	Reject	Policy 4.80 as notified has to be read in its context of the other policies

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
				(including those on infrastructure), which read together are appropriate for implementing the objectives.
4-80-0 14	200.114; 221.77, 256.35; 250.60; 306.9; 347.118	Amend	Reject	On requests for new policies, see general note above. We consider that with amendment we are recommending this policy would be appropriate for implementing the objectives.
4-80-0 14	348.16, 358.177	Delete	Reject	We do not accept that notified Policy 4.80 conflicts with notified Policy 4.79. We consider that in their context of other policies, these policies appropriately implement the relevant objectives.
4-81-0 14	171.14, 311.65, 320.74	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending amending the definition of the term 'wetland'.
4-81-0 14	31.10, 120.117, 200.115, 263.50	Retain	Accept	We are recommending retaining Policy 4.81 as notified (renumbered 4.83)
4-81-0 14	43.7	Amend	Reject	We do not accept that adverse effects on reliability of supply to abstractors should be omitted as a consideration.
4-82-0 14	31.11, 200.116, 210.61, 244.10, 244.14	Retain	Accept	We are not recommending omitting Policy 4.82 as notified, although we do recommend minor semantic amendments.
4-82-0 14	311.66, 320.75	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending amending the definition of the term 'wetland'.
4-82-0 14	86.20, 94.31, 125.22, 146.42, 160.23, 161.21, 230.19, 268.20	Delete	Reject	We consider Policy 4.82 as notified appropriately contributes to implementing the relevant objectives.
4-82-0 14	120.119	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the requested amendment would improve the effectiveness of Policy 4.82 as notified for giving effect to the relevant

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
				objectives.
4-82-0 14	169.70	Amend	Accept	We recommend amending Policy 4.82 as notified as requested.
4-82-0 14	347.119	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the requested amendments would improve the effectiveness of Policy 4.82 as notified for giving effect to the relevant objectives.
4-83-0 14	31.12, 120.120, 200.117, 210.62, 244.11	Retain	Accept	We recommend retaining Policy 4.83 as notified with a minor amendment
4-83-0 14	171.15, 311.67, 320.76	Amend	Accept	We are recommending amending the definition of the term 'wetland'.
4-83-0 14	12.2	Unclear	Reject	The submission does not give precise details of the amendment to the LWRP requested. We adopt the Section 42A Report on cross-referencing.
4-83-0 14	347.120	Amend	Reject	We are recommending another amendment to Policy 4.83 as notified (renumbered 4.85).

Policies 4.84 – 4.89 Activities in Beds of Lakes and Rivers

4-84-0 14	31.13, 120.121, 150.14, 200.118, 210.63, 214.15, 311.68, 320.77,	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending retaining Policy 4.84 as notified (renumbered 4.86) with a minor amendment.
4-84-0 14	146.43, 169.71, 232.7, 245.44, 282.28	Amend	Accept in part	We recommend amendments to Policy 4.84 as notified (renumbered 4.86), including two of those requested by these submission points. See general note above.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
4-84-0 14	196.28, 197.50, 232.8, 245.44, 250.61, 282.28, 347.121	Amend	Reject	In response to other submissions we are recommending amending Policy 4.84 as notified (renumbered 4.86). We are not persuaded that the amendments requested by this submission would better improve implementation of the LWRP objectives.
4-85-0 14	31.14, 120.122, 200.119, 210.64, 214.16, 311.69, 320.78	Retain	Accept	We are recommending retaining Policy 4.85 as notified (renumbered 4.87)
4-86-0 11	196.29, 200.120, 245.45, 248.1, 250.62, 256.21, 282.29, 288.35,	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the amendments requested by this submission would better improve implementation of the LWRP objectives.
4-86-0 14	31.15, 120.123, 150.15, 210.65	Retain	Accept	We are recommending retaining Policy 4.86 as notified (renumbered 4.88).
4-86-0 14	192.43, 311.73, 320.82	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the amendments requested by this submission would better improve implementation of the LWRP objectives.
4-86-0 14	59.33	Amend	Reject	The submission point does not give precise details of the amendment to the LWRP requested.
4-87-0 14	31.16, 120.124, 150.16, 200.121, 210.66, 311.70, 320.79	Retain	Accept	We are recommending retaining this policy (renumbered 4.89) with minor amendments
4-87-0 14	169.72, 196.30, 197.51, 245.46, 347.122	Amend	Reject	In response to other submissions we are recommending amendments to this policy. We are not persuaded that the amendments requested by this submission would better improve implementation of the LWRP objectives.
4-87-0 14	284.4	Amend	Reject	The submission point does not give precise details of the amendment to the

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
				LWRP requested.
4-88-0 14	31.17, 120.125, 200.122, 210.67, 250.63, 311.71, 320.80	Retain	Accept	We recommend that Policy 4.88 as notified (renumbered 4.90) is retained.
4-88-0 15	221.28	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the amendment requested would enhance the effectiveness of Policy 4.88 as notified to implement the objectives.
4-89-0 14	284.11	Amend	Reject	This submission point does not give precise details of the amendment to the LWRP requested.
4-89-0 15	31.18, 120.126, 150.17, 200.124, 210.68, 221.62, 263.51, 306.10, 311.72, 320.81	Support	Accept	We are recommending retaining Policy 4.89 as notified (renumbered 4.91).
4-89-0 15	197.52, 245.47	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the amendment requested would enhance the effectiveness of Policy 4.89 as notified to implement the objectives.

Policies 4.90 – 4.91 Gravel Extraction

4-90-0 15	120.127, 150.18, 210.69, 306.11, 311.75, 320.83	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending retaining Policy 4.90 as notified (renumbered 4.93) with minor amendments requested by other submissions.
4-90-0 15	200.123	Amend	Reject	We are recommending other amendments to Policy 4.90 as notified (renumbered 4.93). We are not persuaded that the amendments requested by this submission point would enhance the effectiveness of this policy to implement the objectives

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
4-90-0 15	245.48, 282.30, 314.11, 347.245	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending amendments to Policy 4.90 as notified (renumbered 4.93), including some of those requested by this submission point. We are not persuaded that the other amendments requested by this submission point would enhance the effectiveness of this policy to implement the objectives
4-91-0 15	150.19, 210.70, 214.17	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending retaining Policy 4.91 as notified (renumbered 4.95) with amendments requested by other submissions.
4-91-0 15	59.34, 197.53, 200.126, 245.49, 263.30, 282.31, 311.74, 320.84, 347.123, 358.164	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending amendments to Policy 4.91 as notified (renumbered 4.93), including some that address the substance of some of the amendments requested by these submission points. We are not persuaded that the other amendments requested by these submission points would enhance the effectiveness of this policy to implement the objectives

Policies 4.92 – 4.94 Natural Hazards

4-92-0 15	32.23, 99.27, 106.53, 199.6, 200.125, 263.52, 311.76, 320.85	Retain	Accept	We are recommending retaining Policy 4.92 as notified (renumbered 4.96).
4-92-0 15	358.180	Delete	Reject	We are not persuaded that Policy 4.92 as notified should be omitted from the LWRP.
4-93-0 15	32.24, 99.28, 106.54, 120.128, 199.7, 263.53, 311.77, 320.86	Retain	Accept	We are recommending retaining Policy 4.93 as notified (renumbered 4.97).

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
4-93-0 15	200.127	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the requested amendment would improve the effectiveness of Policy 4.93 as notified for implementing the objectives.
4-93-0 15	358.181	Delete	Reject	We are not persuaded that Policy 4.93 as notified should be omitted from the LWRP.
4-94-0 15	99.29, 106.55 120.129, 199.8, 200.128, 311.78, 320.87	Retain	accept	We are recommending retaining Policy 4.94 as notified (renumbered 4.98).
4-94-0 15	358.182	Delete	Reject	We are not persuaded that Policy 4.94 as notified should be omitted from the LWRP.

Section 5 - Rules

General explanation.

There is broad scope for choices in the subject-matter and the wording of rules for implementing the objectives and policies of the LWRP. A number of submitters have offered constructive suggestions, not all consistent with each other.

Having settled on our recommendations for amending the objectives and policies, we have reviewed all the submissions for new rules, and for amendments to the notified rules. Considered alone, most of them would be valid and useful.

In recommending a coherent body of rules to implement the objectives and policies as we are recommending they be amended, we recommend many amendments to the notified rules, adopting all or parts of the amendments requested by some submitters. Where we have not accepted all or part of a submitter's request, that does not indicate an opinion that the request is invalid or otherwise unworthy. Rather, we consider another way of implementing the objectives and policies, or another way of expressing a rule, having regard to its efficiency and effectiveness, is preferable in the context, taking into account benefits and costs, and risks of acting or not acting, because it would more fully assist the CRC to carry out its functions in achieving the purpose of the RMA.

New Requested Rules

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
5-0-0 2	19.55	Amend	Reject	Sections 5-15 are to apply to sub-regions, but they are part of the LWRP, and region-wide rules apply too.
5-0-0 1	93.46, 186.2, 203.2, 204.2; 204.21; 206.2, 210.71, 226.25, 252.10, 263.2, 286.1, 314.7, 314.12, 347.5	Amend	Reject	These submissions do not give precise details of amendments requested. See general explanation above.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
5-0-0 1	35.2; 120.131, 206.18; 206.22; 275.16; 314.7; 347.125; 347.126; 347.128, 347.129, 347.144	Amend	Reject	These submissions requested reclassifying certain classes of activity or amendments to the way applications for classified activities are processed: more precise criteria for exercising discretion or discretion only for activities that cannot meet conditions of permitted or controlled activities. Not all activities with only minor effects should be permitted activities; and not all direct discharges of animal effluent to water should be prohibited activities. These and other reclassification requests do not fully reflect the classification process. The LWRP classifies activities in a coherent way that enables the CRC to carry out its functions to achieve the purpose of the RMA.
5-0-0 1	26.1	Amend	Reject	Recommended Rules 5.168 -5.171, and 5.175 - 5.178 address this to an appropriate extent.
5-0-0 1	81.2	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending that Rules 5.109 to 5.111 be retained, though with some amendments (now Rules 5.72 - 5.74).
5-0-0 1	146.2	Amend	Reject	Protecting ownership rights is not an appropriate subject of a regional plan.
5-0-0 1	150.20, 347.124, 358.2	Retain	Reject	These submission points depend on outcomes of other submission points.
5-0-0 1	169.73	Delete certain rules	Reject	We are recommending amending the definition of 'potentially contaminated'. Recommended rules that refer to 'potentially contaminated land' are appropriately efficient and effective.
5-0-0 1	169.74	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending amendments to the definition of 'Community Water Supply' and to Schedule 1.
5-0-0 1	169.75	Amend	Accept in part	References in the rules to high naturalness waterbodies do, where appropriate, refer to those identified in sub-regional Sections 6-15.
5-0-0 1	169.77	Amend	Accept in part	In response to this and other submissions we recommend amending the definition of 'maintenance'.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
5-0-0 1	347.124, 358.2	Amend	Reject	These submission points depend on outcomes of other submission points
5-0-0 1	93.37	Amend	Reject	Whether a backflow prevention device is effective would depend on testing.

Rules 5.1 - 5.6 General Rules

General requests	226.2	Amend	Accept in part	We recommend that the following advice note (similar to that requested) is inserted <i>before</i> the following rules on potential land-disturbing activity (and where relevant, in place of existing advice notes to similar effect): namely recommended Rules 5.7, 5.24; 5.31; 5.103; 5.135; 5.145; 5.154; 5.167; and 5.175 : “In addition to this Plan, and any applicable district plan, any activity that may modify, damage or destroy any pre-1900 archaeological site is also subject to the archaeological authority process under the Historic Places Act 1993. An archaeological authority is required by that Act to modify, damage, or destroy any archaeological site, whether or not it is recorded in the NZAA Site Recording Scheme website.”
General requests	228.38	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that repeating the provisions of s14(3)(b) of the Act (which effectively is what this submission point seeks) would improve the Plan.
5-1-0 2	120.132, 311.79; 320.88,	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending the retention of Rule 5.1, but in response to other submissions we are recommending substantial amendments to it.
5-1-0 2	99.49, 167.22, 263.26	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending an amendment to Rule 5.1 that addresses the substance of these submission points
5-1-0 2	169.78	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the suggested amendment would improve the clarity and certainty of the Plan.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
5-1-0 2	347.130, 358.3	Delete	Reject	We are not persuaded that omitting Rule 5.1 would improve the clarity and certainty of the Plan, particularly in relation to 'recovery activities' addressed by Rule 5.5.
5-1-0 2	364.34	Amend	Reject	See the separate narrative titled "Precedence of strategic policies over subregional sections" which addresses the relationship between region-wide provisions and those contained in sub-regional chapters of the LWRP.
5-2-0 2	99.50, 120.133, 245.50, 282.32; 311.80, 320.89,	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending that Rule 5.1 is retained, but with modifications to improve its clarity, particularly in relation to 'recovery activities' covered by Rule 5.5
5-2-0 2	98.11, 98.12, 347.131, 358.4	Delete	Reject	We are not persuaded that omitting Rule 5.2 would improve the clarity and certainty of the Plan, particularly regarding the relationship between Chapter 5 and the sub-regional sections of the Plan.
5-2-0 2	159.1, 364.35	Amend	Reject	See the separate narrative titled "Precedence of strategic policies over subregional sections" which addresses the relationship between region-wide provisions and those contained in sub-regional chapters of the LWRP. We also adopt the reasons in the Section 42A Report Volume 1 on these submission points.
5-2-0 2	167.23	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending amendments to Rule 5.2 that address the substance of this submission point.
5-3-0 2	99.51, 120.134, 311.81, 320.90	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending that Rule 5.3 be retained, subject to a minor amendment.
5-3-0 2	19.57, 385.5	Delete	Reject	We are not persuaded that omitting Rule 5.3 would improve the clarity and certainty of the Plan.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
5-4-0 2	209.27	Amend	Accept in part	As noted in the section 42A Report Volume 1, the LWRP does not specify the purpose or level of contributions, as required by s108(10) RMA. Therefore, we recommend that the reference to “financial contributions” is omitted from Rule 5.4. We are not persuaded that omitting the reference to bonds would assist the CRC to carry out its functions in achieving the objectives of the Plan (for example recommended Objectives 3.8, 3.13 to 3.24)
5-4-0-2	19.58	Amend	Accept	We are recommending amendments to Rule 5.4 accordingly.
5-4-0 2	40.15	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending amendments to clarify the intent of Rule 5.4.
5-4-0 2	99.52, 120.35, 347.132, 364.38	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending that Rule 5.4 be retained, subject to amendments to improve its clarity and certainty.
5-4-0 2	325.2	Amend	Reject	Nutrient management is addressed in recommended Rules 5.41 to 5.64.
5-4-0 2	358.6	Delete	Reject	We are not persuaded that omitting Rule 5.4 would improve the clarity and certainty of the Plan.
5-5-0 2	99.53, 106.56, 120.136, 127.8, 128.6, 148.8, 165.9; 359.5	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending that Rule 5.5 be retained, subject to minor amendments.
5-5-0 2	199.9	Amend	Reject	The amendment sought is unnecessary as Rule 5.5 already categorises ‘recovery activities’ as restricted discretionary unless they are categorised as permitted activities.
5-5-0 2	347.133	Amend	Accept	We recommend that “timing” be inserted in criterion 1 of Rule 5.5.
5-5-0 2	358.7	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the inclusion of a sub-heading titled “Recovery Activities” would improve the clarity of the Plan.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
5-5-0 2	364.36	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that Rule 5.5 should apply only when a state of emergency is in force.
5-6-0 2	99.54, 120.137, 250.66	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending that Rule 5.6 is retained, subject to amendments.
5-6-0 2	154.39	Delete	Reject	Rule 5.6 is not a prohibited activity rule.
5-6-0 2	358.8	Amend	Accept in part	We are not persuaded that the reference to “recovery activities” in Rule 5.6 should be omitted. That would have the consequence of defeating the purpose of Rule 5.5. We also adopt the reasons in the Section 42A Report Volume 1 on this submission point.
5-6-0 2	364.37	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that Rule 5.6 should apply only when a state of emergency is in force.

Rules 5.21 - 5.28 Pest Control

5-21-0 6	16.2, 20.321; 214.18; 311.86, 318.27, 319.34, 320.97	Retain	Accept in part	In response to other submissions we are recommending aligning the conditions of notified Rule 5.21 (recommended Rule 5.20) to existing external approval processes particularly the HASNO Act 1996. This will remove overlapping and overly restrictive issues while having the robustness of the Environmental Protection Authority approval (and use) processes.
5-21-0 6	93.34	Amend	Accept in part	We consider that the amendments we are recommending improve the clarity and appropriateness of Rule 5.21 (recommended Rule 5.20) and will address the substance of the submission point.
5-21-0 6	293.3, 321.2	Amend	Accept	We adopt the recommendation and reasons of the Section 42A Report Volume 4 to improve the clarity and focus of Rule 5.21 (recommended Rule 5.20) on the effects of the discharge of vertebrate toxic agents.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
5-21-0 6	358.24	Amend	Accept in part	In response to other submissions we are recommending aligning the conditions of notified Rule 5.21 (recommended Rule 5.20) to existing external approval processes particularly the HASNO Act 1996. This will remove overlapping and overly restrictive issues while having the robustness of the Environmental Protection Authority approval (and use) processes. The submission does not give precise details of the amendment sought to identify 'culturally significant land'.
5-22-0 7	214.19, 311.87, 318.28, 319.28, 320.98, 320.99	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending that Rule 5.22 (recommended Rule 5.21) be retained but with minor amendments to improve clarity.
5-22-0 7	93.35	Amend	Accept in part	We consider that the amendments we are recommending will improve the clarity and appropriateness of the 'Pest Control and Agrichemicals' rules and will address the substance of the submission point.
5-22-0 7	293.4 321.3	Amend	accept	We adopt the recommendation and reasons of the Section 42A Report Volume 4 that will improve the clarity and focus of Rule 5.22 (recommended Rule 5.21) and address the effects of the discharge of vertebrate toxic agents.
5-23-0 7	93.36	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendation and reasons of the Section 42A Report Volume 4 to make amendments to improve the clarity and appropriateness of the 'Pest Control and Agrichemicals' rule set which includes deletion of notified Rule 5.23. The submission point will be addressed through the substance of the revised rule set.
5-23-0 7	120.141, 358.25, 358.187	Amend / delete	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendation and reasons of the Section 42A Report Volume 4 to amend notified Rule 5.21 (recommended Rule 5.20). The amendments we are recommending to notified Rule 5.21 (recommended Rule 5.20) absorbs notified Rule 5.23 and will address the substance of the submission points.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
5-23-0 7	214.20, 311.88, 318.29, 319.26	Retain	Reject	In response to other submissions we are recommending that notified Rule 5.23 be deleted and that the substance of that rule be absorbed in an appropriately amended rule set for 'Pest Control and Agrichemicals' that will improve the intended focus.
5-23-0 7	278.1, 326.43	Amend	Reject	We are recommending that notified Rule 5.23 be deleted.
5-23-0 7	293.6 ,321.5	Delete	Accept	We are recommending that notified Rule 5.23 be deleted.
5-24-0 7	120.142, 214.21, 311.89, 318.30, 319.27, 320.100	Retain	Reject	In response to other submissions we are recommending that Rule 5.24 as notified be deleted and the substance of this rule be incorporated in an amended rule set for 'Pest Control and Agrichemicals' to improve alignment with external approval processes under the HSNO Act and improve the intended clarity of focus.
5-24-0 7	293.7, 321.6	Delete	Accept	We adopt the recommendation and reasons in the Section 42A Report Volume 4 to delete notified Rule 5.24.
5-25-0 7	16.3, 265.38, 265.39, 311.90; 320.101	Retain	Accept in part	In response to other submissions we are recommending amendments to Rule 5.25 (recommended Rule 5.22) to improve alignment with external approval processes under the HSNO Act and improve the intended clarity of focus.
5-25-0 7	34.1, 35.3	Amend	Accept in part	Amendments that we are recommending will address the substance of the submission point by aligning notified Rule 5.25 (recommended Rule 5.22) more specifically to the approval processes of the HSNO Act 1996.
5-25-0 7	41.1, 278.4, 326.44	Amend	Accept in part	Amendments that we are recommending will address the substance of the submission point by aligning notified Rule 5.25 (recommended Rule 5.22) more specifically to existing approval and use processes of the HSNO Act 1996.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
5-25-0 7	47.1	Amend	Reject	We are recommending amendments that improve the intended clarity of focus of Rule 5.25 (recommended Rule 5.22) including alignment with existing approval and use processes of the HSNO Act 1996.
5-25-0 7	120.143	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending amendments that substantially improve the intended clarity of focus of Rule 5.25 (recommended Rule 5.22) including alignment with existing approval and use processes of the HSNO Act 1996 that will address the substance of the submission point.
5-25-0 7	214.22, 214.23	Amend	Reject	In response to other submissions we are recommending clause (5) of Rule 5.25 (recommended Rule 5.22) is deleted and the rule amended to align with the approval and use processes of the HSNO Act 1996.
5-25-0 7	358.26	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending amendments that substantially improve the intended clarity of focus of Rule 5.25 (recommended Rule 5.22) and include alignment with existing approval and use processes of the HSNO Act 1996 that will address the substance of the submission points. The submitter did not provide specific wording that would assist in identifying 'land that is culturally significant'.
5-26-0 8	214.24, 311.91, 320.102,	Retain	Accept in part	In response to other submissions we are recommending amendment to Rule 5.26 (recommended Rule 5.23).
5-26-0 8	35.4	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the amendment requested would improve the intended clarity of focus of Rule 5.26 (recommended Rule 5.23).
5-26-0 8	120.144, 358.27	Amend	Accept in part	Amendments we are recommending to Rule 5.26 (recommended Rule 5.23) and the related rule set for 'Pest Control and Agrichemicals' will address the substance of the submission point.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
5-27-0 8	311.92, 318.31, 319.28, 320.104	Amend	Reject	In response to other submissions we recommend amending the rule set for 'Pest Control and Agrichemicals' to improve alignment with external approval processes under the HSNO Act. Deletion of Rule 5.27 and a consequential amendment of Rule 5.26 (recommended Rule 5.23) is recommended.
5-27-0 8	16.4, 214.25	Retain	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons in the Section 42A Report Volume 4 to delete Rule 5.27 and make consequential amendments to reframed rules for 'Pest Control and Agrichemicals' to align with the HSNO Act approval processes.
5-27-0 8	34.2, 35.5	Delete	Accept	We are recommending that Rule 5.27 be deleted.
5-27-0 8	34.3	Amend	Reject	We are recommending that Rule 5.27 be deleted and that rules for 'Pest Control and Agrichemicals' are re-redrafted to align with the HSNO Act approval processes.
5-27-0 8	47.2	Amend	Accepted in part	We are recommending that Rule 5.27 be deleted and the intent of that rule be incorporated into amended Rule 5.26 (recommended Rule 5.23) which will address the substance of the submission point on biosecurity matters.
5-27-0 8	120.145	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending deletion of Rule 5.27 as a consequence of amendments to other rules to improve the focus of intent and alignment with the HSNO Act 1996 approval processes.
5-27-0 8	197.62	Amend	Reject	We are recommending deletion of Rule 5.27 as a consequence of amendments to other rules to improve the focus of intent and alignment with the HSNO Act 1996 approval processes.
5-27-0 8	293.5, 321.4	Amend	Accept	We are recommending deletion of the Rule 5.27.
5-27-0 8	358.28	Amend	Reject	The submission did not provide precise details of the amendments sought or how the identification of 'culturally significant' sites would be achieved.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
5-28-0 8	214.26; 311.93; 320.103	Retain	Reject	We adopt the recommendation and reasons of the Section 42A Report Volume 4 to delete Rule 5.28.
5-28-0 8	34.4	Amend	Reject	The submission point does not give precise details of the amendments sought. As a consequence of amending the 'Pest Control and Agrichemicals' rules we recommend the deletion of Rule 5.28 and that its substance is incorporated in recommended Rules 5.22 and Rule 5.23.
5-28-0 8	348.18	Amend	Reject	We adopt the recommendation and reasons of the Section 42A Report Volume 4 to delete Rule 5.28.
5-28-0 8	358.29	Amend	Reject	We adopt the recommendation and reasons of the Section 42A Report Volume 4 to delete Rule 5.28.
5-28-0 8	358.188	Delete	Accept	We adopt the recommendation and reasons of the s42a report to delete Rule 5.28.

Rules 5.39 – 5.51 Farming

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Decision	Reasons
5-39-0 11 to 5-51-0 14	171.23	Reject	We reject this submission point as we are not persuaded that animal welfare falls within the scope of CRC's functions under the Act, other than in relation to the provisions of animal drinking water which is covered in others section of the LWRP.
	39.39; 39.40; 39.47; 44.42; 44.49; 56.4; 68.15; 69.11; 74.13; 88.7; 90.23; 103.23; 124.3; 126.6; 225.7; 255.3; 290.16; 295.3; 370.7; 372.2;	Reject	We reject these submission points as we are not persuaded that the nutrient management provisions of the LWRP should be delayed pending the Hurunui / Waiau Zone Committee's consideration of the Waipara River Catchment. In that regard see the separate narrative in this report titled "Collaboration or Regulation?" we are not persuaded that the requested rule

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
	373.2 ;374.7; 380.30; 381.6; 382.3			would be more appropriate in achieving the objectives of the LWRP (particularly recommended Objectives 3.1, 3.5, 3.8, 3.8A, 3.12, 3.13, 3.15, 3.17 and 3.24) or the superior instruments including NPSFM Objective A1.
	4.2; 4.3; 4.4; 4.5; 4.6; 4.7; 4.8; 4.9; 4.10; 4.11; 4.12; 7.1; 7.2; 7.3; 7.4; 7.5; 7.6; 7.7; 7.8; 7.9; 7.10; 7.11; 7.12; 7.13; 7.14; 7.15; 7.16; 12.1; 16.7; 16.9; 16.10; 16.11; 16.12; 17.4; 17.5; 17.6; 17.7; 17.8; 17.9; 17.10; 17.11; 17.12; 17.13; 19.59; 19.60; 19.61; 19.62; 19.63; 19.64; 19.65; 19.66; 19.67; 19.68; 19.69; 19.70; 19.71; 19.72; 19.73; 19.74; 19.75; 19.76; 19.77; 19.78; 19.79; 19.80; 19.81; 19.82; 19.83; 19.84; 19.85; 19.86; 19.87; 19.88; 19.89; 19.90; 19.91; 19.92; 20.9; 20.10; 20.11; 20.12; 22.1; 29.1; 30.17; 30.29; 30.30; 30.31; 30.41; 31.20; 31.21; 31.22; 31.23; 31.24; 31.25; 31.26; 31.27; 31.28; 31.29; 31.30; 31.31; 33.2; 38.3; 38.4; 39.20; 39.21; 39.22; 39.23; 39.24; 39.25; 39.26; 39.27; 39.34; 39.35; 39.36; 39.37; 39.41; 39.42; 39.43; 39.44; 39.45; 39.46; 40.1; 40.2; 40.3; 40.4; 40.5; 40.6; 40.7; 40.8; 40.9; 40.10;		Accept in part	Submission points listed in Column 2 all relate to the Nutrient Management rules in the Plan as notified. The rules that are the subject of those submission points are all recommended to be replaced with new rules that would implement the policies in different ways. Therefore it would be pointless for us to address each of those submission points individually. Rather, we have considered the substance of the submission points, in deciding to recommend the proposed new replacement rules. Therefore, we do not in this Schedule express specific recommendations and reasons in respect of each of the submission points that are listed in Column 2. We also adopt the reasons contained in the Section 42A Report Volume 4 in the section titled “rules 5.41 to 5.64 – Nutrient Management”.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
	40.11; 40.12; 40.13; 44.20; 44.21; 44.22; 44.23; 44.24; 44.25; 44.26; 44.27; 44.28; 44.29; 44.38; 44.43; 44.44; 44.45; 44.46; 44.47; 44.48; 45.1; 50.1; 50.2; 55.3; 55.4; 55.5; 55.6; 55.7; 55.8; 55.9; 55.10; 55.11; 55.12; 55.13; 55.14; 55.15; 56.8; 65.4; 66.5; 68.10; 69.23; 72.14; 73.15; 73.22; 73.23; 73.24; 73.26; 73.27; 73.28; 73.29; 73.30; 74.23; 75.4; 85.2; 87.4; 87.5; 88.5; 89.9; 89.10; 89.11; 89.12; 89.13; 89.14; 89.15; 89.16; 89.17; 89.18; 89.19; 89.20; 89.21; 90.5; 94.39; 95.13; 95.14; 95.15; 95.16; 95.17; 95.18; 95.19; 95.20; 95.21; 95.22; 95.23; 100.1; 100.2; 100.3; 101.16; 101.18; 101.19; 101.20; 101.21; 101.22; 101.23; 101.24; 101.25; 101.26; 103.21; 104.1; 106.61; 106.62; 106.63; 106.64; 106.65; 107.17; 109.13; 109.14; 109.15; 109.16; 109.17; 109.18; 109.19; 109.20; 109.21; 109.22; 109.23; 110.1; 116.1; 116.2; 116.3; 116.4; 118.13; 118.14; 118.15; 118.16; 118.17;			

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
	118.18; 118.19; 118.20; 118.21; 118.22; 118.23; 120.155; 120.156; 120.157; 120.158; 120.159; 120.160; 120.161; 120.162; 120.163; 120.164; 120.165; 120.166; 120.167; 122.1; 122.2; 122.3; 122.4; 122.6; 123.15; 123.16; 123.17; 123.18; 123.19; 123.20; 123.21; 125.37; 125.38; 125.39; 125.40; 125.41; 125.42; 126.4; 130.1; 131.37; 131.38; 131.39; 131.40; 131.41; 131.42; 131.43; 131.44; 131.45; 131.46; 131.47; 132.1; 132.2; 133.3; 133.4; 133.5; 133.6; 136.3; 136.5; 136.6; 137.3; 137.4; 137.5; 137.6; 143.13; 143.14; 143.15; 143.16; 143.17; 143.18; 143.19; 143.20; 143.21; 143.22; 143.23; 145.4; 146.17; 146.46; ; 146.49; 146.50; 146.51; 146.52; 146.53; 146.54; 146.55; 146.56; 146.57; 146.58; 146.59; 149.18; 149.19; 149.20; 149.21; 149.23; 153.4; 156.3; 156.5; 156.9; 158.3; 159.2; 159.3; 159.4; 159.5; 159.6; 159.7; 159.8; 159.9; 159.10; 159.11; 159.12; 159.13; 159.14;			

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
	161.31; 162.4; 162.5; 162.7; 162.8; 162.9; 162.10; 162.11; 162.12; 162.13; 162.14; 162.15; 162.16; 162.17; 162.18; 162.19; 163.13; 163.14; 163.15; 163.16; 163.17; 163.18; 163.19; 163.20; 163.21; 163.22; 163.23; 167.34; 167.35; 170.13; 170.14; 170.15; 170.17; 170.18; 170.19; 170.20; 170.21; 170.22; 170.23; 170.24; 171.17; 171.18; 171.19; 171.20; 171.21; 171.22; 171.26; 171.27; 171.28; 171.29; 171.30; 171.31; 172.3; 172.4; 172.5; 172.6; 174.10; 174.6; 174.7; 174.8; 174.9; 175.11; 175.12; 175.13; 175.14; 175.15; 175.16; 175.17; 176.1; 178.1; 178.2; 178.3; 178.4; 178.5; ; 178.6; 178.7; 178.8; 183.3; 184.3; 184.4; 184.5; 184.6; 186.10; 186.12; 186.4; 186.5; 186.6; 186.7; 186.8; 186.9; 186.13; 187.60; 187.61; 187.62; 187.63; 187.64; 187.65; 187.66; 187.66; 187.67; 187.69; 187.70; 188.60; 188.61; 188.62; 188.63; 188.64; 188.65; 188.66; 188.67;			

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
	188.68; 188.69; 188.70; 189.31; 189.32; 189.33; 189.34; 189.35; 189.36; 189.37; 189.38; 189.39; 192.44; 192.45; 192.46; 192.47; 192.48; 192.49; ; 192.50; 192.51; 192.52; 192.53; 192.54; 192.55; 193.4; 197.59; 197.60; 197.61; 197.63; 197.64; 197.65; 197.66; 197.67; 197.68; 197.69; 197.70; 197.108; 197.109; 197.110; 197.111; 198.4; 198.5; 198.6; 198.7; 198.21; 198.22; 198.23; 198.24; 198.25; 198.26; 198.27; 198.28; 198.29; 198.30; 198.31; 198.32; 198.33; 198.34; 198.35; 200.130; 200.131; 200.132; 200.133; 200.134; 200.135; 200.136; 200.137; 200.138; 200.139; 200.140; 200.141; 200.142; 203.4 ; 203.5; 203.6; 203.7; 203.8; 203.9; 203.10; 203.11; 203.12; 204.4; 204.5; 204.6; 204.7; 204.8; 204.9; 204.10; 204.11; 204.12; 206.10; 206.11; 206.12; 206.4; 206.5; 206.6; 206.7; 206.8; 206.9; 209.28; 209.29; 212.13 ; 212.14; 212.15; 212.16; 212.17; 212.18; 212.19; 212.20;			

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
	213.6; 213.7; 213.8; 213.9; 213.10; 213.11; 213.12; 213.13; 213.14; 213.15; 213.16; 213.17; 213.18; 214.29; 214.30; 214.31; 214.32; 214.33; 214.34; 214.35; 214.36; 214.37; 214.38; 214.39; 214.40; 214.41; 214.42; 214.43; 215.10; 217.6; 217.7; 217.8; 217.9; 217.10; 217.11; 217.12; 217.13; 217.14; 217.15; 217.16; 217.17; 217.18; 217.19; 218.4; 221.44; 221.45; 221.47; 221.48; 221.49; 221.50; 221.51; 221.52; 221.53; 221.54; 222.3; 222.11; 222.12; 222.13; 222.14; 222.15; 222.16; 222.17; 222.18; 222.19; 222.20; 222.21; 222.22; 222.23; 224.2; 225.4; 228.17; 228.18; 228.19; 228.20; 228.21; 228.22; 228.23; 228.24; 228.25; 228.26; 228.27; 228.28; 228.29; 228.30; 234.4; 234.5; 239.10; 239.11; 239.12; 239.5; 239.6; 239.7; 239.8; 239.9; 239.46; 239.47; 239.49; 239.50; 239.51; 239.52; 239.53; 239.54; 239.55; 239.56; 242.1; 242.2; 242.3; 242.4;			

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
	242.5; 242.6; 242.7; 242.8; 242.9; 242.10; 242.11; 242.12; 242.13; 246.22; 246.23; 246.24; 246.25; 246.26; 246.27; 246.28; 246.29; 246.30; 246.31; 246.32; 248.3; 250.67; 250.68; 250.69; 251.10; 251.11; 251.12; 251.13; 251.14; 252.2; 252.3; 252.4; 252.5; 252.6; 252.7; 252.8; 252.9; 253.10; 253.11; 253.12; 254.1; 254.2; 254.3; 254.4; 254.5; 254.6; 254.6; 254.7; 254.8; 254.9; 254.10; 254.11; 254.12; 254.13; 255.7; 256.22; 256.23; 257.60; 257.61; 257.62; 262.6; 262.7; 264.3; 264.4; 264.5; 264.6; 264.7; 264.8; 264.9; 264.10; 264.11; 264.12; 264.13; 264.14; 264.15; 265.40; 265.41; 265.43; 265.44; 265.45; 265.46; 265.47; 265.48; 265.49; 265.50; 265.51; 265.52; 266.2; 266.3; 266.4; 266.5; 267.3; 267.5; 270.42; 270.43; 270.44; 270.45; 270.46; 270.47; 270.48; 270.49; 270.50; 270.51; 270.52; 270.53; 270.54; 270.71; 274.13; 274.14; 274.15; 274.16; 274.17; 274.18; 274.19; 274.20;			

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
	274.21; 274.22; 274.23; 275.3; 275.4; 275.5; 275.6; 275.7; 275.8; 275.9; 275.10; 275.11; 284.4; 284.5, ; 284.6; 284.7; 284.8; 284.9; 287.2; 287.3; 287.4; 287.5; 288.36; 288.38; 288.39; 288.40; 288.41; 288.42; 288.43; 288.44; 288.45; 288.46; 290.20; 291.12; 291.13; 291.14; 291.15; 291.16; 291.17; 293.10; 293.11; 295.7; 296.1; 296.2; 296.3; 296.4; 296.5; 296.6; 296.7; 296.8; 298.9; 298.17; 305.4; 307.2; 308.13; 308.14; 308.15; 308.16; 308.17; 308.18; 308.19; 310.27; 310.28; 310.29; 310.30; 310.31; 310.32; 310.33; 311.03; 311.104; 311.105; 311.106; 311.107; 311.109; 311.110; 311.111; 311.112; 311.113; 311.114; 311.115; 311.116; 311.117; 315.37; 315.38; 315.40; 315.41; 315.42; 315.43; 315.44; 315.45; 315.46; 315.47; 315.48; 316.6; 316.8; 317.40; 317.41; 317.42; 317.43; 317.44; 317.45; 317.46; 317.47; 317.48; 318.42; 318.43; 318.44; 318.45; 318.46; 318.47; 318.48; 318.49; 318.50; 319.37;			

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
	319.38; 319.39; 319.40; 319.41; 319.42; 319.43; 319.44; 319.45; 320.124; 320.125; 320.126; 320.127; 320.128; 320.129; 320.130; 320.131; 320.132; 320.133; 320.134; 320.135; 320.136; 320.137; 320.138; 320.139; 320.140; 320.141; 320.142; 320.143; 320.144; 320.145; 320.146; 320.147; 320.148; 320.149; 321.10; 321.11; 325.5; 326.45; 326.46; 326.47; 326.48; 326.49; 326.50; 326.51; 326.52; 326.53; 326.54; 326.71; 327.3; 327.4; 327.5; 327.6; 329.2; 329.6; 329.8; 329.9; 329.10; 329.11; 329.12; 329.13; 329.14; 329.15; 329.16; 342.1; 343.2; 343.3; 343.4; 343.5; 343.6; 343.7; 343.8; 343.9; 343.10; 343.11; 343.12; 343.13; 343.14; 345.7; 345.8; 345.9; 345.10; 345.11; 345.12; 345.13; 345.14; 345.16; 345.17; 347.127; 347.146; 348.24; 348.25; 348.26; 348.27; 348.28; 348.29; 348.30; 348.31; 348.32; 348.33; 348.34; 350.20; 353.3; 354.4; 357.13; 357.14; 357.15;			

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
	357.16; 357.17; 357.18; 357.19; 357.20; 357.21; 357.22; 357.23; 358.131; 358.132; 358.133; 358.134; 358.135; 358.136; 358.137; 358.138; 358.139; 358.140; 358.141; 358.142; 358.143; 358.144; 358.178; 364.42; 364.43; 364.44; 364.45; 364.46; 364.47; 364.48; 364.49; 364.50; 364.51; 364.52; 364.53; 364.54; 368.4; 370.5; 372.22; 373.7; 374.11; 381.4; 382.7; 387.14; 391.5; 391.6			

Rule 5.52 - 5.54 Fertiliser Use

5-52-0 14	311.18; 320.150	Amend	Accept in part	Former Rule 5.52 is recommended to be replaced by recommended Rule 5.65, condition 1 of which excludes discharge of fertiliser when the soil moisture exceeds field capacity. Also, condition 3 of those rules meets the substance of the requested amendments.
5-52-0 14	52.1	Amend	Accept in part	Former Rule 5.52 is recommended to be replaced by recommended Rule 5.65, condition 1 of which excludes discharge of fertiliser when the soil moisture exceeds field capacity.
5-52-0 14	19.93	Amend	Accept in part	Condition 3 of recommended Rule 5.65 meets the substance of the requested amendments.
5-52-0 14	39.4, 44.4, 382.8	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the amendment requested would provide any practicable improvement to Rule 5.52 (recommended Rule 5.65).

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
5-52-0 14	39.28 44.30	Amend	Accept in part	As the Plan is to have effect throughout the Region, except to the extent a sub-regional section provides otherwise, delaying application of fertiliser control rules would fail to give effect to superior instruments.
5-52-0 14	52.2	Retain	Reject	In response to other submissions we are recommending omission of the former rule concerning Spreadmark spreaders.
5-52-0 14	74.1; 81.4; 90.6; 103.8; 124.4; 290.7; 370.9; 372.11; 373.10; 374.12; 380.47; 387.1	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the amendment requested would provide any practicable improvement to Rule 5.52 (recommended Rule 5.65).
5-52-0 14	106.66	Amend	Accept in part	Condition 3 of recommended Rule 5.65 meets the substance of the requested amendments.
5-52-0 14	114.6	Amend	Reject	In response to other submissions we are recommending different wording in recommended Rule 5.65 which better protects the life supporting capacity of freshwater.
5-52-0 14	114.7	Amend	Reject	In response to other submissions we are recommending different wording in recommended Rule 5.65 which better protects the life supporting capacity of freshwater.
5-52-0 14	120.168	Amend	Accept in part	We are satisfied that the recommended Rules comply with s70 RMA, and are consistent with Policies protecting freshwater bodies from contamination.
5-52-0 14	121.1	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending omitting reference to Spreadmark in the rules and recommending new rules to protect freshwater bodies from contamination.
5-52-0 14	200.144	Retain	Accept in part	In response to other submissions we are recommending amendment of former Rule 5.52 to more effectively implement the relevant policies.
5-52-0 14	213.19	Amend	Accept in part	Supports other submissions – see elsewhere in this Schedule.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
5-52-0 14	228.12	Retain	Accept in part	In response to other submissions we are recommending amendment of former Rule 5.52 to more effectively implement the relevant policies.
5-52-0 14	234.2	Amend	Accept in part	To better protect the life supporting capacity of freshwater, we are recommending retaining a buffer distance of 10m.
5-52-0 14	235.1, 236.2	Amend	Accept in part	Former Rule 5.52 is recommended to be replaced by recommended Rule 5.65, condition 1 of which excludes discharge of fertiliser when the soil moisture exceeds field capacity. On condition 2, to better protect the life supporting capacity of freshwater, we are recommending retaining a buffer distance of 10m.
5-52-0 14	239.57, 265.53, 308.20	Amend	Accept in part	Former Rule 5.52 is recommended to be replaced by recommended Rule 5.65, condition 1 of which excludes discharge of fertiliser when the soil moisture exceeds field capacity.
5-52-0 14	253.13	Amend	Accept	Tussock grassland and connecting corridors that happen to be within an identified significant indigenous biodiversity site should be protected from application of fertiliser in order to protect the biodiversity values of those sites.
5-52-0 14	317.50	Amend	Reject	We are not satisfied that the requested note correctly states the legal position. .
5-52-0 14	318.51	Retain	Accept in part	In response to other submissions we are recommending amendment of former Rule 5.52 to more effectively implement the relevant policies.
5-52-0 14	326.55	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending amendment of condition 2 which addresses the substance of this submission point.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
5-52-0 14	347.148	Amend	Reject	The loss of nutrients associated with fertiliser application is addressed in new Nutrient Management Rules we are recommending. We are satisfied that the recommended Rules comply with s70 RMA, and are consistent with Policies protecting freshwater bodies from contamination. To better protect the life supporting capacity of freshwater, we are recommending retaining a buffer distance of 10m.
5-52-0 14	350.21	Amend	Reject	In our judgement, discharge of fertiliser in compliance with the conditions of Rule 5.52 as recommended to be amended would better balance the conflicting issues while respecting the needs of the environment as described in Part 2.
5-53-0 14	217.20; 217.21	Amend	Accept in part	Former Rule 5.53 is recommended to be replaced by recommended Rule 5.66, condition 1 of which would exclude discharge of fertiliser when the soil moisture exceeds field capacity. We are not persuaded of the need to exempt non-nitrogenous fertilisers.
5-53-0 14	308.21; 320.151;	Amend	Accept in part	Former Rule 5.53 is recommended to be replaced by recommended Rule 5.66, condition 1 of which would exclude discharge of fertiliser when the soil moisture exceeds field capacity. We recommend amending other conditions in response to other submissions, including the former rule concerning Spreadmark certificates.
5-53-0 14	39.29; 44.31	Amend	Accept in part	As the Plan is to have effect throughout the Region, except to the extent a sub-regional section provides otherwise, delaying application of fertiliser control rules would fail to give effect to superior instruments.
5-53-0 14	41.2, 52.6	Amend	Reject	We recommend amending other conditions in response to other submissions, including the former rule concerning GROWSAFE certification and AIRCARE™ Accreditation.
5-53-0 14	52.3, 239.58; 265.54, 311.119	Amend	Accept in part	Former Rule 5.53 is recommended to be replaced by recommended Rule 5.66, condition 1 of which would exclude discharge of fertiliser when the soil moisture exceeds field capacity.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
5-53-0 14	39.5; 44.5; 74.2; 81.5; 90.7; 103.9; 124.5; 290.8; 370.10; 372.12; 373.11; 374.13; 380.48; 382.9; 387.2	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the amendment requested would provide any practicable improvement to Rule 5.53 (recommended Rule 5.66).
5-53-0 14	120.169	Amend	Accept in part	We are satisfied that the recommended Rules comply with s70 RMA, and are consistent with Policies protecting freshwater bodies from contamination.
5-53-0 14	200.143	Retain	Accept in part	In response to other submissions we are recommending amendments to former Rule 5.53 to more efficiently and effectively implement the policies.
5-53-0 14	213.20	Amend	Accept in part	Supports another submission.
5-53-0 14	317.59; 317.60	Amend	Reject	See our reasons for submission point 317.50.
5-53-0 14	318.52	Retain	Accept in part	In response to other submissions we are recommending amendments to former Rule 5.53 to more efficiently and effectively implement the policies.
5-53-0 14	347.237	Amend	Accept in part	The loss of nutrients associated with fertiliser application is addressed in new Nutrient Management Rules we are recommending. We are satisfied that the recommended Rules comply with s70 RMA, and are consistent with Policies protecting freshwater bodies from contamination. To better protect the life supporting capacity of freshwater, we are recommending retaining a buffer distance of 10m.
5-54-0 14	52.9; 239.59; 265.55; 311.120; 316.7; 320.152	Amend	Accept	We are recommending (recommended Rule 5.67) Restricted Discretionary Activity as requested.
5-54-0 14	39.6; 44.6; 74.3; 81.6; 90.8; 103.10; 124.6; 290.9; 318.53; 319.46; 370.11; 372.13; 373.12; 374.14; 380.49; 382.10; 387.3	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the amendment requested would provide any practicable improvement to Rule 5.54 (recommended Rule 5.67).

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
5-54-0 14	39.30; 44.32	Amend	Accept in part	As the Plan is to have effect throughout the Region, except to the extent a sub-regional section provides otherwise, delaying application of fertiliser control rules would fail to give effect to superior instruments.
5-54-0 14	69.1	Amend	Reject	See the separate narrative on “Nutrient Management” in this report.
5-54-0 14	120.170	Amend	Accept in part	We are satisfied that the recommended Rule complies with s70 RMA, and is consistent with implementing Policies protecting freshwater bodies from contamination.
5-54-0 14	213.21	Amend	Accept in part	Supports another submission see elsewhere in this Schedule.
5-54-0 14	214.44	Retain	Accept in part	In response to other submissions we are recommending amendments to former Rule 5.54 to more efficiently and effectively implement the policies.
5-54-0 14	347.238	Amend	Accept in part	The loss of nutrients associated with fertiliser application is addressed in new Nutrient Management rules we are recommending. We are satisfied that the recommended Rules comply with s70 RMA, and are consistent with Policies protecting freshwater bodies from contamination. To better protect the life supporting capacity of freshwater, we are recommending retaining a buffer distance of 10m.

Rules 5.55 - 5.58 Land Drainage Water

5-55-0 14	39.31	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendation and reasons of the Section 42A Report Volume 1. See also the separate narrative on ‘nutrient management’ in this report.
5-55-0 14	72.16	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the amendment requested would improve the clarity of the rule. The term “conspicuous change” is commonly understood and derives from the Act.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
5-55-0 14	99.44	Amend	Reject	We adopt the recommendation and reasons in the Section 42A Report to retain the term 'potentially contaminated land' but have added a reference to 'contaminated land' in response to other submissions.
5-55-0 14	99.59	Amend	Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendation in the Section 42A Report Volume 1 for this submission point.
5-55-0 14	120.171, 146.60	New condition	Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendation in the Section 42A Report Volume 1 for these submission points.
5-55-0 14	128.17, 149.5, 230.20, 310.7	Amend	Accept in part	We are not persuaded that the amendments requested would improve clarity of the rule. The term 'hazardous substances' is listed in the definitions section of the Plan which in turn appropriately refers to 'Schedule 4' of the Plan for further edification. Amending Condition (2)(b) to include a substance that is 'toxic' or 'ecotoxic' would not be helpful as substances that are <u>not</u> toxic or ecotoxic would not then be controlled by the condition 2(b) of notified Rule 5.55 and that would result in unintended consequences.
5-55-0 14	131.48	Amend	Accept in part	The LWRP describes the relationship with other regional plans controlling land and water at section 2.8. Any objective, policy or rule on the same subject matter in the pHWRP prevails over the objectives, policies and rules contained in the LWRP.
5-55-0 14	169.79, 358.39	Amend	Reject	We adopt the recommendation and reasons in the Section 42A Report Volume 1 that the terms 'sub-surface' and 'surface drains' take their common meaning.
5-55-0 14	213.22	Retain	Accept in part	In response to other submissions we are recommending amendments to Rule 5.55 (recommended Rule 5.75).
5-55-0 14	245.52, 282.34	Amend	Accept	We adopt the recommendation and reasons in the Section 42A Report Volume 1 and recommend an amendment to Rule 5.55 (recommended Rule

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
				5.75) accordingly.
5-55-0 14	347.149	Amend	Reject	We adopt the recommendation and reasons in the Section 42A Report Volume 1 that rules contained in the LWRP should be read together as a whole such that s70 of the RMA has been satisfied.
5-56-0 14	99.60, 213.23	Retain	Accept in part	In response to other submissions we are recommending amendment to the 'Land Drainage' rules.
5-56-0 14	131.49	Amend	Accept in part	The LWRP describes the relationship with other regional plans controlling land and water at section 2.8; 'Any objective, policy or rule on the same subject matter in the pHWRP prevails over the objectives, policies and rules contained in the LWP'.
5-56-0 14	169.76	Amend	Reject	We adopt the recommendation and reasons in the Section 42A Report Volume 1 that the terms 'sub-surface' and 'surface drains' take their common meaning.
5-56-0 14	347.239	Amend	Reject	We adopt the recommendation and reasons in the Section 42A Report Volume 1 where it states that rules contained in the LWRP should be read together as a whole such that s70 of the RMA has been satisfied.
5-57-0 14	320.153	Amend	Accept in part	We are not persuaded that the amendment requested to exempt 'drainage maintenance' from the rule would assist the CRC to carry out its functions or achieve the objectives of the LWRP.
				We adopt the recommendation and reasons in the Section 42A Report Volume 1 to adopt consistent use of the abbreviation "g" for "grams".
5-57-0 14	72.17	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that exempting animal dung from Condition 2(a) or making the condition less specific would improve the efficient and effective application of Rule 5.57 (recommended Rule 5.77), or contribute to giving effect to the superior instruments..

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
5-57-0 14	99.61, 213.24	Retain	Accept in part	In response to other submissions we are recommending minor amendments to the rule.
5-57-0 14	128.18, 149.6, 310.8	Amend	Accept in part	We are not persuaded that the amendments requested would improve clarity of the rule. The term 'hazardous substances' is listed in the definitions section of the Plan which in turn appropriately refers to 'Schedule 4' of the Plan for further edification.
5-57-0 14	131.50	Amend	Accept in part	The LWRP describes the relationship with other regional plans controlling land and water at section 2.8. Any objective, policy or rule on the same subject matter in the pHWRP prevails over the objectives, policies and rules contained in the LWRP.
5-57-0 14	169.84	Amend	Reject	We adopt the recommendation and reasons in the Section 42A Report Volume 1 that the terms 'sub-surface or surface drains' take their common meaning.
5-57-0 14	221.78, 256.36	Amend	Reject	We adopt the recommendation and reasons of the Section 42A Report Volume 1 that Rule 5.57 (recommended rule 5.77) provides for existing systems.
5-57-0 14	230.21	Amend	Reject	The amendment requested to include a substance that is 'toxic' or 'ecotoxic' is not helpful as substances that are <u>not</u> toxic or ecotoxic would not then be controlled by the condition 4(b) of notified Rule 5.57 and that could result in unintended consequences.
5-57-0 14	270.55	Amend	Reject	We adopt the recommendation and reasons in the Section 42A Report Volume 1.
5-57-0 14	347.240	Amend	Reject	We adopt the recommendation and reasons in the Section 42A Report Volume 1 where it states that rules contained in the LWRP should be read together as a whole such that s70 of the RMA has been satisfied.
5-57-0 14	358.40	Amend	Reject	We adopt the recommendation and reasons of the Section 42A Report

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
				Volume 1 to the effect that condition 1 is intended to mean that provided the full spatial extent of the drainage system existed at 3 July 2004, the system was legally established.
5-58-0 15	169.85	Amend	Reject	We adopt the recommendation and reasons in the Section 42A Report Volume 1 that the terms 'sub-surface' and 'surface drains' take their common meaning.
5-58-0 15	99.62, 213.25	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending that Rule 5.58 (recommended Rule 5.78) is subject to a consequential amendment in the definition of 'wetlands'.
5-58-0 15	131.51	Amend	Accept in part	The LWRP describes the relationship with other regional plans controlling land and water at section 2.8. Any objective, policy or rule on the same subject matter in the pHWRP prevails over the objectives, policies and rules contained in the LWRP.
5-58-0 15	230.22	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the amendment requested is justified on the basis that 'systems permitted under the NRRP would have difficulty re-consenting under the LWRP', given the relevant LWRP rule is the same as the relevant NRRP rule.
5-58-0 15	347.241	Amend	Reject	We adopt the recommendation and reasons in the Section 42A Report Volume 1 where it states that rules contained in the LWRP should be read together as a whole such that s70 of the RMA has been satisfied.
5-58-0 15	358.41	Amend	Reject	We adopt the recommendation and reasons in the Section 42A Report Volume 1 that amending the activity status of Rule 5.58 (recommended Rule 5.78) to non-complying is not warranted for the 'generally inappropriate' activities addressed by this rule.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
---------------------------	-----------------------------	-------------------	----------------	---------

Rules 5.59 - 5.60 Cemeteries

5-60-0 15	358.42	New condition	Reject	We adopt the recommendation and reasons in the Section 42A Report Volume 1.
-----------	--------	---------------	--------	---

Rules 5.62 - 5.66 Sewerage System

5-62-0 15	106.34, 106.35, 106.36, 106.37, 106.38	Amend	Reject	We adopt the recommendation and reasons in the Section 42A Report Volume 1.
5-62-0 15	154.11	Retain	Accept	We are not proposing any amendment to Rule 5.62 (recommended Rule 5.84).
5-63-0 15	154.10	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the 'prohibited activity status' for sewerage discharges within Schedule 1 protection areas should be relaxed.
5-64-0 15	358.150	Amend	Reject	We adopt the recommendation and reasons in the Section 42A Report Volume 1.
5-65-0 15	94.24	Amend	Reject	We adopt the recommendation and reasons in the Section 42A Report Volume 1.
5-65-0 15	187.71, 188.71	Support	Accept	The submission does not provide precise detail on the amendment sought.
5-65-0 15	358.151, 358.152	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the amendment requested by the submission to remove the term 'overflow' from Rule 5.65 (recommended Rule 5.87) would improve a rule that is specifically designed to address instances of 'overflows'.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
---------------------------	-----------------------------	-------------------	----------------	---------

Rules 5.67 - 5.68 Municipal Solid Waste

5-67-0 16	9.5	Amend	Reject	We adopt the recommendation and reasons in the Section 42A Report Volume 1 to address the substance of this submission and recommend amending the definition of 'Municipal Solid Waste' to broaden its meaning to include people and organisations other than municipal councils.
5-67-0 16	169.86, 169.87	Amend	Reject	See our reasons on submission point 9.5
5-68-0 16	9.6	Amend	Reject	See our reasons on submission point 9.5.

Rules 5.69 - 5.70 Industrial and Trade Wastes

5-69-0 16	99.45	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending that the clause (3)(b) reference to 'originate on potentially contaminated land' be omitted as it is likely that any industrial or trade process would qualify as "potentially contaminated land" and the retention of that wording would defeat the purpose of the rule .
5-69-0 16	128.19, 149.7, 310.9	Amend	Accept in part	The term 'hazardous substances' is listed in the definitions section of the Plan which in turn appropriately refers to 'Schedule 4' of the Plan for further edification.
5-69-0 16	169.88	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending the amendments requested to refer to 'liquid waste' and 'liquid sludge' as it would improve definition of the intended meaning. We are recommending omitting condition 3(b). We are also recommending amendment that will further clarify the intended focus of Rule 5.69 (recommended Rule 5.91) by inclusion of the terms 'wastewater' and 'including livestock processing' activities.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
5-69-0 16	187.72, 188.72	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the amendment requested would provide any practicable improvement to Rule 5.69 (recommended Rule 5.91).
5-69-0 16	257.63		Reject	The submission does not provide the precise detail of the amendment sought.
5-69-0 16	106.67, 313.19, 358.43	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendation and reasons of the Section 42A Report Volume 1 and recommend amending Rule 5.69 (recommended Rule 5.91) to improve intended clarity of focus by inserting the words 'school, community facility', and 'onto or into contaminated or potentially contaminated land'.
5-69-0 16	317.49, 317.51	New	Reject	We are not persuaded that the new rule requested would better serve the purpose of the LWRP or assist with achieving its objectives.
5-70-0 16	169.90	Amend	Accept in part	As requested we are recommending amending the rule to refer to 'liquid waste' and 'liquid sludge', as this would clarify the intended meaning. We are also recommending amendment that will further clarify the intended focus of Rule 5.69 (recommended Rule 5.91) by including the terms 'wastewater' and 'including livestock processing' activities.
5-70-0 16	187.73, 188.73	Amend	Reject	We adopt the recommendation and reasons in the Section 42A Report Volume 1 for this submission point.
5-70-0 16	270.56	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the amendment and new rule requested by the submission would address any circumstances that are not adequately addressed in the existing provisions of the LWRP.
5-70-0 16	313.20	Retain	Accept	In response to other submissions we are recommending amendments to Rule 5.70 (recommended Rule 5.92).

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
Rules 5.71 - 5.73 Stormwater				
5-71-0 16	86.19, 94.33, 125.21, 146.61, 157.28, 160.22, 161.20, 230.18, 268.19	Amend	Accept	In response to evidence received from the region's territorial authorities, we are recommending amendment to enable a reticulated stormwater system operator to lodge the necessary system discharge consent application after 30 June 2018 if the CRC agrees.
5-71-0 16	89.47	Amend	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and the reasons in the Section 42A Report Volume 1 on this submission point.
5-71-0 16	99.63, 106.68	Retain	Accept in part	In response to other submissions we are recommending amendment to Rule 5.71 (recommended Rule 5.93).
5-71-0 16	149.15, 310.19	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and the reasons in the Section 42A Report Volume 1 by amending the definitions to only apply to systems that serve more than a single site.
5-71-0 16	358.44	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the amendment requested would adequately encapsulate the variety of different network discharge points that exist.
5-71-0 16	169.91	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendation and reasons of the Section 42A Report Volume 1.
5-72-0 17	154.13	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons in the Section 42A Report Volume 1 to amend and establish new rules to clarify the intended focus of the rules for 'Stormwater'.
5-72-0 17	283.3, 283.4	Amend	Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons in the Section 42A Report Volume 1 to amend Rule 5.72 (recommended Rule 5.95) to clarify the intended focus of the rule.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
5-72-0 17	32.31, 150.21, 154.12, 270.57, 291.18, 313.21	Retain	Accept in part	In response to other submissions we are recommending amendments to the rule.
5-72-0 17	89.48	Amend	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and the reasons in the Section 42A Report Volume 1.
5-72-0 17	99.46 99.64	Retain	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and the reasons in the Section 42A Report Volume 1 to make consequential amendments.
5-72-0 17	106.69, 230.23	Amend	Accept	We are recommending the amendment requested be adopted.
5-72-0 17	167.36	Amend	Accept in part	We recommend the amendments accordingly.
5-72-0 17	106.70	Amend	Accept in part	We recommend that the amendment requested in respect of clause (2)(b)(ii) is adopted for recommended Rule 5.96.
5-72-0 17	197.71, 239.60, 265.56	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the amendment requested would better assist with achieving the objectives of the LWRP or give effect to the superior instruments.
5-72-0 17	222.25, 257.62	Amend	Reject	We adopt the recommendation and reasons of the Section 42A Report Volume 1.
5-72-0 17	240.1	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the requested amendment would improve the clarity of the rule.
5-72-0 17	273.2, 297.3	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending an amendment that will address the substance of the submission point.
5-72-0 17	358.45	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendation and the reasons in the Section 42A Report Volume 1 to amendment that will improve the intended clarity of focus.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
5-73-0 17	32.32, 150.22	Amend	Accept in part	In response to other submissions we are recommending amendments to recommended Rule 5.97 (notified Rule 5.73)
5-73-0 17	86.21, 94.34, 99.68, 125.23, 146.62, 157.31, 157.32, 160.25, 161.22, 221.79, 230.24, 239.61, 256.37, 257.65, 265.57, 283.5, 313.22, 314.16, 317.52, 359.6	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons in the Section 42A Report Volume 1 for recommended Rule 5.97 to classify a discretionary activity. However, in response to the submissions and evidence of the Christchurch City Council, which we found to be persuasive, we are recommending that within the boundaries of Christchurch City the stormwater discharges are Non-complying Activities.
5-73-0 17	89.49	Amend	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons in the Section 42A Report Volume 1.
5-73-0 17	127.10, 128.12 128.28, 148.11, 149.25, 165.12, 197.72, 245.53, 262.8, 268.21, 282.35, 286.15, 310.34	Amend	Accept in part	With regard to the requests to make Rule 5.73 (as notified) a restricted discretionary activity, we adopt the recommendations and reasons in the Section 42A Report Volume 1.
5-73-0 17	240.2	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that categorising stormwater discharges as prohibited activities is necessary to achieve the objectives of the LWRP.
5-73-0 17	358.46	Amend	Accept in part	In response to this and other submissions we are recommending amendment to the 'Stormwater' rules that will address the substance of this submission point.

Rules 5.76 - 5.77 Other minor Contaminant Discharges

5-76-0 18	99.47, 99.65	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons in the Section 42A Report Volume 1 on these submission points.
-----------	--------------	-------	----------------	---

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
5-76-0 18	128.20, 149.8, 310.10	Amend	Accept in part	The term 'hazardous substances' is listed in the definitions section of the Plan which in turn appropriately refers to 'Schedule 4' of the LWRP.
5-76-0 18	150.23	Retain	Accept in part	In response to other submissions we are recommending amendment to Rule 5.76 (recommended rule 5.98).
5-76-0 18	154.15	New condition	Accept in part	We recommend that the amendment requested is adopted for the reasons provided in the Section 42A Report Volume 1.
5-76-0 18	167.37, 197.73, 197.74, 245.54, 292.1	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the amendments requested would assist with achieving the objectives of the LWRP.
5-76-0 18	150.24, 314.17, 317.53	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons in the Section 42A Report Volume 1 to include an additional rule to clarify that discharges not addressed by the 'Other Minor Discharges of Contaminants' rules are classified as discretionary activities, ie new recommended Rule 5.100.
5-76-0 18	358.47	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending amendments to the rules that address the substance of the submission point. The submission did not provide specific detail of how the determination of 'culturally significant land' would be applied in the LWRP.
5-77-0 18	99.48, 99.96	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons of the Section 42A Report Volume 1 to expand the wording of the 'potentially contaminated land' clause to clarify the intended focus.
5-77-0 18	150.25, 250.70	Retain	Accept in part	In response to other submissions we are recommending amendment to recommended Rule 5.99.
5-77-0 18	167.38	Amend	Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons of the Section 42A Report Volume 1, as the requested amendment improves the intended clarity of focus.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
5-77-0 18	245.55	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons of the Section 42A Report Volume 1.
5-77-0 18	314.18, 358.48	Amend	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons of the Section 42A Report Volume 1.
5-77-0 18	169.89; 306.13	New	Reject	We are not persuaded that the inclusion of a new rule as requested by the submissions would assist with achieving the objectives of the LWRP or give effect to the superior instruments.
5-77-0 18	245.85	New	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons in the Section 42A Report Volume 1 to insert a new rule (5.100) to clarify that any other discharge is to be addressed as a discretionary activity.

Rules 5.78 - 5.83 Bores

5-78-0 19	32.33,99.69, 106.71, 120.172, 149.26, 154.16, 216.5, 263.22, 310.35	Retain	Accept in part	We recommend retaining Rule 5.78 (renumbered 5.103) with minor amendments in response to another submission.
5-78-0 19	320.154	Amend	Reject	We recommend an addition to Condition 3 in response to another submission.
5-78-0 19	19.94	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that omitting Condition 4 would assist the CRC in carrying out its functions to achieve the objectives, give effect to the superior instruments, and the purpose of the RMA.
5-78-0 19	93.39, 167.39	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending amendments to Rule 5.78 (renumbered 5.103) that substantially address these submission points.
5-78-0 19	187.74, 188.74, 279.18,	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending amendments to Rule 5.78 (renumbered 5.103) that

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
	311.121			substantially address these submission points
5-78-0 19	192.56	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending amendments to Condition 3 in response to other submission points.
5-78-0 19	226.10, 358.49	Amend	Reject	In response to another submission point, we are recommending inserting an advice note bringing attention to effects on archaeological sites.
5-78-0 19	257.58	Amend	Accept	We are recommending omitting the commencement date.
5-78-0 19	25.1	Amend	Reject	See general explanation above regarding Rule 5.1. We are satisfied that the rules governing taking groundwater for irrigation are appropriate.
5-78-0 19	182.9	Retain	Accept in part	We recommend no alteration to Condition 5(b).
5-78-0 19	99.73; 99.77, 263.25	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that compliant monitoring bores should be immune from general rules about taking water and conducting earthworks, if they apply.
5-79-0 19	169.95	Amend	Accept in part	We recommend amendments that substantially address the requests in this submission point —see recommended Rule 104 and recommended Rule 104A.
5-79-0 19	93.40, 99.70, 120.173, 148.12, 149.27, 154.17, 165.13, 198.36, 199.11, 263.23, 310.36, 359.7	Retain	Accept in part	We recommend retaining Rule 5.79 (renumbered 5.104) with amendments responding to other submissions.
5-79-0 19	358.50	Amend	Accept in part	Rule 5.79 (recommended Rule 5.104) is in a set of rules for which we are recommending an advice note about the effect of the Historic Places Act. To that extent we accept the submission point in part. We are not persuaded that the other amendments requested would be appropriate as they would lack certainty and be disproportionately onerous.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
5-79-0 19	120.319	New	Accept in part	We recommend inserting a new rule (recommended Rule 5.104A) that addresses the substance of this submission point.
5-80-0 19	16.13, 93.41, 93.42, 99.71, 120.174, 120.175, 200.145, 263.24	Retain	Accept	We recommend retaining Rule 5.80 (recommended Rule 5.105) with minor amendments.
5-81-0 20	358.51	New Rule	Reject	We are not persuaded that all use of bores for fracking should be prohibited. See note on prohibited activities in the narrative part of this report.
5-81-0 20	167.40	New rules	Accept in part	We recommend inserting the requested new rules (recommended Rules 5.107 and 5.108) but with the commencement date omitted..
5-82-0 20	93.43	Amend	Reject	As Rule 5.82 (recommended Rule 5.109) requires compliance with Schedules 11 and 12, we consider that requiring compliance with NZS4411:2001 as well would be disproportionately onerous.
5-82-0 20	120.176, 154.18	Retain	Accept in part	We recommend retaining Rule 5.82 (recommended Rule 5.109) with minor amendments for clarification.
5-82-0 20	169.96	Amend	Accept in part	We recommend amendments to Rule 5.82 (recommended Rule 5.104) that address the substance of this submission point.
5-82-0 20	187.75, 188.75	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the requested amendment would be relevant and proportionate, or that it would assist the CRC to carry out its functions, implement the policies, or achieve the objectives
5-82-0 20	213.28	Amend	Accept in part	We recommend amendment to Rule 5.82 (recommended Rule 5.109) that would address to some extent the substance of the request in this submission point.
5-82-0 20	279.19	Amend	Accept in part	We recommend inserting a new condition 3A as requested in this submission point.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
5-82-0 20	288.47	Amend	Accept in part	We recommend inserting new Condition 3A that would address to some extent the substance of the request in this submission point.
5-83-0 20	93.44, 120.77	Retain	Accept in part	We recommend retaining Rule 5.83 (recommended Rule 5.110) with minor amendments in response to other submission points.
5-83-0 20	169.97	Amend	Accept in part	We recommend amendment to Rule 5.83 (recommended Rule 5.110) that would address the substance of the request in this submission point.
5-83-0 20	213.30	Amend	Accept in part	Rule 5.83 (recommended Rule 5.110) classifies certain activities as restricted discretionary. That would allow consideration of the circumstances of a particular application.
5-83-0 20	358.52	Amend	Reject	The requested amendment is uncertain and would be disproportionately onerous.

Rules 5.84 - 5.88 Small and Community Water Takes

5-84-0 20	169.99	Amend	Accept in part	We recommend amendments to Note 1 that address the substance of this submission point.
5-84-0 20	16.14, 120.178, 221.65, 250.71, 311.122, 320.155	Retain	Accept in part	We recommend retaining Rule 5.84 (recommended Rule 5.111) with minor amendments responding to other submissions.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
5-84-0 20	19.95, 169.98	Amend	Reject	The requested amendment would deprive people from taking water for reasonable domestic use or stockwater. On the submission about flow measurements published on the CRC website: (1) see Electronic Transactions Act 2002; (2) we are recommending omitting reference to publication of measurements on the website.
5-84-0 20	89.3	Amend	Reject	As we are recommending amending the definition of 'property' replacing references in this rule to 'site' would be inappropriate.
5-84-0 20	89.4, 89.24	Amend	Accept	We recommend omitting references in Rule 5.85 (recommending Rules 5.111) to diversion accordingly.
5-84-0 20	146.63	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that omitting Condition 2 of Rule 5.84 would give effect to the superior instruments
5-84-0 20	146.64	Amend	Accept	We recommend amending Condition 5 accordingly.
5-84-0 20	228.32	Amend	Reject	The requested amendment is unnecessary, as the rule applies according to its general text.
5-84-0 20	347.150	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the amendment requested would be proportionate.
5-84-0 20	364.55	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the amendment requested would improve the clarity of recommended Rule 5.111.
5-84-0 20	228.37	Amend	Reject	Restating in the Plan the effect of s15(2)(b)RMA is unnecessary and inappropriate.
5-85-0 21	320.156, 311.123	Amend	Reject	See general explanation above. Controlled activity status would preclude a

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
				consent authority from declining consent in any circumstances.
5-85-0 21	89.25	Amend	Accept	We recommend omitting the term 'diversion' from Rule 5.85 (recommended Rule 5.112) accordingly.
5-85-0 21	120.179	Retain	Accept in part	We recommend retaining Rule 5.85 (recommended Rule 5.112) with amendments responding to other submissions.
5-85-0 21	146.65	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that omitting Condition 2 of Rule 5.85 would give effect to the superior instruments.
5-85-0 21	228.33	Amend	Reject	The requested amendment is unnecessary, as the rule applies according to its general text.
5-85-0 21	250.72	Amend	Accept in part	We recommend amendments to Rule 5.85 (recommended Rule 5.112) that address the substance of some of this submission point. We do not recommend other amendments requested. See general explanation above.
5-86-0 21	16.15, 99.72, 120.180, 311.124, 320.157	Retain	Accept in part	We recommend retaining Rule 5.86 (recommended Rule 5.113) with amendments responding to other submissions.
5-86-0 21	89.38	Amend	Reject	As we are recommending amending the definition of 'property', replacing references in this rule to 'site' would be inappropriate.
5-86-0 21	146.66	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that allowing a bore 5 metres (instead of 20 metres) from a site boundary would assist the CRC to carry out its functions or implement objectives or policies.
5-86-0 21	169.100	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that allowing taking of 20 m ³ per day of groundwater as a permitted activity (instead of 10 m ³ per day) would assist the CRC to carry out its functions or implement objectives or policies.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
5-86-0 21	228.34; 228.35	Amend	Reject	The requested amendment is unnecessary, as the rule applies according to its general text.
5-87-0 21	14.3, 15.3, 16.16, 24.3, 36.3, 37.3, 42.3, 83.3, 120.181, 138.3, 198.46, 216.6, 223.3, 311.125, 320.158	Retain	Accept in part	We recommend retaining Rule 5.87 (recommended Rule 5.114) with amendments responding to other submissions.
5-87-0 21	89.39	Amend	Reject	As we are recommending amending the definition of 'property', replacing references in this rule to 'site' would be inappropriate.
5-87-0 21	270.58	Amend	Reject	We do not recommend the amendment to rule 5.87 (recommended Rule 5.114) requested. See general explanation above.
5-87-0 21	326.69	Amend	Reject	We do not recommend the amendment to rule 5.87 (recommended Rule 5.114) requested. See general explanation above.
5-88-0 21	32.34, 120.182, 125.10, 154.19, 157.10, 160.12, 161.9, 268.9, 311.126, 317.54, 320.159	Retain	Accept in part	We recommend retaining Rule 5.88 (recommended Rule 5.115) with amendments responding to other submissions.
5-88-0 21	86.9, 94.20, 125.11, 157.11, 160.13; 161.10, 230.9, 268.10	Retain	Accept	We recommend retaining restricted discretionary activity status in Rule 5.88 (recommended Rule 5.115); and we also recommend omitting the words "group or" as requested.
5-88-0 21	146.67	Amend	Accept in part	We recommend omitting the words "group or" as requested. We do not recommend adding to Condition 1 reference to climate change, as we are unsure what evidence would be available about that in the context.
5-88-0 21	149.28, 310.37	Amend	Accept in part	We recommend omitting reference in Rule 5.88 (recommended Rule 5.115) to group drinking water supply. See recommended amendment to the definitions of 'community water supply' and 'group drinking water supply'.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
5-88-0 21	222.26	Amend	Reject	The submission does not give precise details of the amendment to the LWRP requested.
5-88-0 21	228.36	Amend	Accept in part	On taking water for livestock drinking, we consider that restating in the Plan the effect of s15(2)(b)RMA would be unnecessary and inappropriate.
5-88-0 21	250.73	Amend	Reject	The requested amendment would be inappropriate in Rule 5.88 (recommended Rule 5.115) as it is Rule 5.112 that would apply to taking water from a waterbody that is subject to a water conservation order.
5-88-0 21	253.14	Amend	Reject	The submission does not give precise details of the amendment to the LWRP requested.
5-88-0 21	262.9	Amend	Reject	We are recommending amendments to Rule 5.88 (recommended Rule 5.115) in respect of a water supply strategy. We are not persuaded that limiting the reference to a strategy as requested would assist the CRC to carry out its functions, implement the policies and objectives, and give effect to the superior instruments.
5-88-0 21	347.151	Amend	Accept in part	The submission does not give precise details of the amendment to the LWRP requested. We are recommending minor amendments to the criteria for exercise of discretion.

Rules 5.89 - 5.93 Water for Construction and Maintenance

5-89-0 22	347.152	Amend	Reject	As Rule 5.89 applies to small scale and short-term taking of water, we are not persuaded that it would be proportionate to preclude taking from waterbodies other than those subject to a water conservation order; or that the requested amendment would assist the CRC to carry out its functions. See general explanation above.
-----------	---------	-------	--------	---

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
5-89-0 22	94.21	Amend	Reject	As a water race bylaw is made under different authority than a regional plan, we consider it inappropriate for the LWRP to subject regional rules to bylaw provisions.
5-89-0 22	94.22	Amend	Reject	Rule 5.89 (recommended Rule 5.116) is designed to allow small scale short term taking of water for certain purposes. The requested expansion of the scale and term of taking would defeat the purpose. See general explanation above.
5-89-0 22	120.183, 127.12, 148.13, 165.14, 221.66, 250.74, 313.23	Retain	Accept in part	We recommend retaining Rule 5.89 (recommended Rule 5.116) with minor amendments for clarity in response to other submissions.
5-89-0 22	146.68	Amend	Accept in part	Preventing fish from entering a water intake would be a condition of eligibility as a permitted activity of taking water under Rule 5.89 (recommended Rule 5.116). Omitting that condition, as requested by this submission, would unjustifiably expose fish to risk of harm.
5-89-0 22	167.41	Amend	Reject	We recommend amending the definition of 'diversion'. In light of that we are not persuaded that omitting references to diversion in Rule 5.89 (recommended Rule 5.116) would assist the CRC to carry out its functions or implement the objectives or policies of the Plan.
5-89-0 22	169.101	Amend	Accept in part	The request to express Rule 5.89 (recommended Rule 5.116) as prevailing over any other rule in Section 5 is unnecessary—see general Rule 5.1. This rule is designed to allow small scale short term taking of water for certain purposes. The requested extension to 6 months of the term of taking would defeat the purpose. If taking over a longer term can be justified for a capital project, resource consent should be obtained. On semantic points in the submission see general explanation above.,
5-90-0 22	120.184	Retain	Accept in part	We recommend retaining Rule 5.90 (recommended Rule 5.117) with minor amendments in response to other submissions.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
5-90-0 22	169.102	Amend	Accept in part	On semantic points see general explanation above.
5-90-0 22	250.75	Amend	Accept in part	Rule 5.90 (recommended Rule 5.117) is intended to apply to water from a river that is subject to a water conservation order. The request to extend its application to lakes and artificial watercourses would defeat the focused purpose of the rule. The other amendments requested would also limit application of the rule and would not assist the CRC to carry out its functions and achieve the objectives and policies.
5-90-0 22	358.53	Amend	Reject	Rule 5.90 (recommended Rule 5.117) applies to water from a river that is subject to a water conservation order.
5-91-0 22	120.185, 313.24	Retain	Accept	We recommend retaining Rule 5.91 (recommended Rule 5.118).
5-91-0 22	250.93	Amend	Reject	Omitting Rule 5.91 as requested would not assist the CRC to carry out its functions.
5-92-0 22				
5-92-0 22	120.186	Retain	Accept in part	We recommend retaining Rule 5.92 (recommended Rule 5.119) with minor amendments in response to other submissions..
5-92-0 22	5.92; 99.74; 99.75; 167.43; 169.103; 169.105; 245.56; 263.27; 282.36; 314.14; 347.153; 358.54	Amend	Reject	These submission points ask for various amendments to Rule 5.92 (recommended Rule 5.119). We have reviewed the rule in light of all those requests, with a view to accepting all that would, having regard to the efficiency and effectiveness of the body of rules, be most appropriate for achieving the objectives, taking into account benefits and costs, and risks of acting or not acting, contribute to a coherent body of rules assisting the CRC to carry out its functions in attaining the purpose of the RMA. In the result, we recommend some of the amendments requested, and do not recommend others. Without tediously itemising each, it is our opinion that they would not, having regard to those matters, contribute to making the rule a coherent measure that would assist the CRC as intended. The amendments we recommend are apparent in the marked-up version of the

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
				Plan in Appendix 2 to this report.
5-92-0 22	263.29	Amend	Reject	The scheme of the body of region-wide rules is that any activity is to comply with all applicable rules, except where explicitly stated otherwise. Site dewatering is not immune.
5-93-0 22	99.76, 120.187, 263.28	Retain	Accept in part	We recommend retaining Rule 5.93 (recommended Rule 5.120) with minor amendments.
5-93-0 22	169.105	Amend	Accept in part	See entry above on submissions requesting amendments to Rule 5.92.
5-93-0 22	245.57, 282.37, 314.15	Amend	Reject	This rule is intended to apply to taking water for dewatering for excavation, construction and geotechnical testing. The amendments requested would extend the application of the rule indefinitely, and would require consequential amendments defeating the original intention.
5-93-0 22	358.55	Amend	Reject	The requested amendment would be too uncertain, and would leave the rule disproportionately onerous.

Rules 5.94 - 5.95 Water from Canals or Water Storage

5-94-0 23	120.188, 213.29, 250.76, 288.48, 311.127, 320.160	Retain	Accept	We recommend that Rule 5.94 (recommended Rule 5.121) remain.
5-94-0 23	347.246	Amend	Reject	In our opinion the conditions appropriately constrain the use of water taken under Rule 5.94 (recommended Rule 5.121).
5-94-0 23	358.56			This submission does not give precise details of the amendment to the LWRP requested.
5-94-0 23	317.55	New	Reject	We are not persuaded that the taking and using of water for livestock processing is an activity so sufficiently unique that it requires its own rule.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
5-95-0 23	120.189, 311.128, 320.161,	Retain	Accept	We recommend retaining Rule 5.95 (recommended Rule 5.122).
5-95-0 23	250.77	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that taking water from irrigation or hydro canals or storage needs to be elevated to a non-complying activity. We consider that would be disproportionately onerous.
5-95-0 23	347.247	Amend	Reject	As Rule 5.95 (recommended Rule 5.122) classifies non-compliant taking and use of water from these sources as a discretionary activity, the amendment sought is unnecessary.
5-95-0 23	358.57	Amend	Reject	This submission does not give precise details of the amendment to the LWRP requested.

Rules 5.96 – 5.100 Take and Use of Surface Water

5-96-0 23	270.59, 291.19	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending that Rule 5.96 as notified (recommended Rule 5.123) is retained, subject to amendments made in response to other submissions.
5-96-0 23	192.58, 320.162	Amend	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Section 42A Report Volume 1 on these submission points.
5-96-0 23	19.97, 82.46, 311.130, 320.163	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending retaining matters of discretion 2 and 4 to 10 of Rule 5.96 as notified, subject to amendments made in response to other submissions. We are not persuaded that matters of discretion 1 and 3 should be omitted as the taking and use of water can affect water quality.
5-96-0 23	19.96	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that condition 2 should be omitted from recommended Rule 5.123. If specific minimum flows have not been set in the sub-regional sections of the Plan then a default minimum flow is required and it is prudent to base that on a readily available hydrological

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
				statistic.
5-96-0 23	35.6	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that fish passage is a relevant matter of discretion for individual take consents under recommended Rule 5.123. Instead, the establishment of minimum flows in Sections 6 to 15 of the Plan provide for fish passage.
5-96-0 23	82.47	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending an additional matter of discretion (number 11) for recommended Rule 5.123 that addresses the substance of this submission point.
5-96-0 23	120.190	Amend	Accept in part	With regard to the request for consistency with the draft NES on Ecological Flows we adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Section 42A Report Volume 1. We are not persuaded that matter of discretion 7 should be omitted from recommended Rule 5.123 or that it requires clarification. It is consistent with the CWMS and is understandable upon its plain reading. We are recommending amendments that address the substance of the remaining requests in this submission point.
5-96-0 23	167.42, 245.58	Amend	Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Section 42A Report Volume 1 for these submission points and recommend an amendment to recommended Rule 5.123 accordingly.
5-96-0 23	167.44	Amend	Accept	We are recommending an additional matter of discretion (number 11) for recommended Rule 5.123 that addresses the substance of this submission point.
5-96-0 23	187.76, 188.76, 315.32	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that omitting references to seasonal or annual volumes (which are to be determined in accordance with Schedule 10) from Rule 5.96 as notified would assist with achieving the objectives of the Plan (particularly Objectives 3.1, 3.7, 3.8, 3.9, 3.13, 3.16, 3.17, 3.19 and 3.24) or give effect to the superior instruments including NPSFM Objectives B1 and B3. We also adopt the reasons in the Section 42A Report Volume 1 section 1.4.11 on this matter.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
5-96-0 23	192.57	Amend	Reject	We are recommending an amendment to condition 1 of Rule 5.96 as notified that addresses the substance of this submission point regarding the flow regime. However, we reject the request to omit the reference to seasonal or annual volume limits – see our reasons on submission point 187.76.
5-96-0 23	197.75	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that matter of discretion 3 should be omitted from recommended Rule 5.123 as the taking and use of water can affect water quality. Nor are we persuaded that existing takes should be exempt from low flow abstraction restrictions. That would not assist with achieving the objectives of the Plan (particularly Objectives 3.1, 3.7, 3.8, 3.9, 3.13, 3.16, 3.17, 3.19 and 3.24) or give effect to the superior instruments including NPSFM Objectives B1 and B3.
5-96-0 23	198.37	Amend	Accept in part	We are not persuaded that matters of discretion 1 and 3 should be omitted from recommended Rule 5.123 (which would be the result of dealing only with the take and use of water as requested) as the taking and use of water can affect water quality. With regard to the issue of diversions we adopt the reasons in the Section 42A Report Volume 1 and recommend that the word “diversion” is deleted from condition 1.
5-96-0 23	200.146, 347.154; 358.156	Amend	Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the Section 42A Report Volume 1 on these submission points.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
5-96-0 23	250.78	Amend	Accept in part	For recommended Rule 5.123: - we are recommending that condition 1 refers to “environmental flow or allocation limit” as requested, but we are not persuaded that the same amendment is required for condition 2; - we reject the request to omit references to seasonal or annual volume limits – see our reasons on submission point 187.76; - we are not persuaded that the requested amendments to matters of discretion 1, 3 and 8 will improve the clarity of the rule; - we are not persuaded that a consideration of alternative supplies of water (matter of discretion 5) should be omitted from the rule. That would not assist with achieving the objectives of the Plan (particularly Objectives 3.1, 3.7, 3.8, 3.9, 3.13, 3.16, 3.17, 3.19 and 3.24) or give effect to the superior instruments including the NPSFM Objective B3; - we are not persuaded that matter of discretion 7 should be omitted as it is consistent with the CWMS. We also adopt the reasons in the Section 42A Report Volume 1 on this submission point; - we are recommending an amendment to matter of discretion 10 that addresses the substance of this submission point.
5-96-0 23	251.15	New condition	Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the Section 42A Report Volume 1 on this submission point.
5-96-0 23	324.1	Amend	Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the Section 42A Report Volume 1 on this submission point which requests the use of default figures from the draft NES on Ecological Flows.
5-96-0 23	326.57	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that conditions 1 and 2 of recommended Rule 5.123 should be amended as requested. The assessment of whether or not allocation limits are exceeded needs to be undertaken with regard to all consented takes, as it is the cumulative abstraction that would cause any exceedence.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
5-96-0 23	390.3	Amend	Reject	While the taking of water needs to comply with allocation limits set in the sub-regional Sections 6 to 15 of the Plan, recommended Rule 5.123 needs to provide decision-makers with flexibility to consider the matters set out in the matters of discretion on a case by case basis.
5-96-0 23	197.55, 197.56	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that allowing the taking of irrigation water as a permitted activity or a controlled activity would assist with achieving the objectives of the Plan (particularly Objectives 3.1, 3.7, 3.8, 3.9, 3.13, 3.16, 3.17, 3.19 and 3.24) or give effect to the superior instruments including NPSFM Objectives B1 and B3. See also the separate narrative section of this report titled "Should replacement water permits be controlled activities?"
5-96-0 23	204.17	Amend	Reject	See the separate narrative section of this report titled "Prohibited Activity Status".
5-97-0 23	270.60, 311.131, 318.54, 319.47, 320.164, 326.58,	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the taking of water that cannot comply with conditions 1 or 2 of recommended Rule 5.123 should be classified as a discretionary activity. Non-complying activity status is preferable as that signals that exceedance of the allocation limits is generally not to be condoned. We also adopt the reasons and recommendations in the Section 42A Report Volume 1 on these submission points.
5-97-0 23	82.48	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the taking of water that cannot comply with condition 3 of recommended Rule 5.123 should be classified as a discretionary activity. Non-complying activity status is preferable as that signals that the taking of water from the listed waterbodies is generally not to be condoned. However, in response to other submissions we are recommended an exception for artificial opening of a hāpua, lagoon or coastal lake to the sea.
5-97-0 23	120.191	Retain	Accept	We are recommending the retention of Rule 5.97 as notified.
5-97-0 23	198.38	Amend	Accept in part	See our reasons on submission point 198.37

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
5-97-0 23	245.59	Amend	Reject	Rule 5.97 as notified does not refer to diversions. We also adopt the reasons and recommendations in the Section 42A Report Volume 1 on this submission point.
5-97-0 23	311.129	Amend	Reject	Rule 5.97 as notified does not refer to notification and it does not contain any conditions. Notification is best determined on a case by case basis under the relevant provisions of the Act.
5-97-0 23	390.4	Amend	Reject	See our reasons on submission point 390.3. We also adopt the reasons and recommendations in the Section 42A Report Volume 1 on this submission point.
5-98-0 23	120.192, 200.147, 311.132, 320.165, 347.155	Retain	Accept	We are recommending the retention of Rule 5.98 as notified. See also the separate narrative section of this report titled "Prohibited Activity Status".
5-98-0 23	174.11, 197.77, 237.1, 326.59	Amend	Reject	See the separate narrative section of this report titled "Prohibited Activity Status".
5-98-0 23	198.39	Amend	Accept in part	See our reasons on submission point 198.37 and the separate narrative section of this report titled "Prohibited Activity Status".
5-98-0 23	245.60	Amend	Accept	See our reasons on submission point 198.37
5-98-0 23	390.5	Amend	Reject	See our reasons on submission point 390.3
5-99-0 23	192.59, 311.133, 320.166	Amend	Reject	Rule 5.97 as notified does not refer to notification. Notification is best determined on a case by case basis under the relevant provisions of the Act. We also adopt the reasons in the Section 42A Report Volume 1 on this submission point.
5-99-0 23	82.49	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that matter of discretion 4 should be omitted as it is consistent with the CWMS. We also adopt the reasons in the Section 42A Report Volume 1 on this submission point.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
5-99-0 23	82.50	Amend	Reject	We are recommending amendments to matters of discretion 7 and 8 of recommended Rule 5.126 that address the substance of this submission.
5-99-0 23	120.194	Amend	Accept	We are recommending amendments to recommended Rule 5.126 accordingly.
5-99-0 23	167.45	Amend	Accept	We are recommending amendments to recommended Rule 5.126 accordingly.
5-99-0 23	187.77, 188.77, 197.78	Amend Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending amendments to recommended Rule 5.126 that address the substance of these submission points on conditions 2, 3 and 4. Matter of discretion 4 is consistent with the CWMS. We are not persuaded that the request to amend it will improve the clarity of the rule. We also adopt the reasons in the Section 42A Report Volume 1 on this submission point. We are not persuaded to amend matter of discretion 5 as requested. See the separate narrative in this report titled "Priority for allocation: First in, first served" for our reasons.
5-99-0 23	198.40	Amend	Accept in part	See our reasons on submission point 198.37. We are recommending omitting the references to "diversions" from recommended Rule 5.126.
5-99-0 23	221.31	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the requested amendment to refer to "a downstream lake" in recommended Rule 5.126 would assist with achieving the objectives of the Plan (particularly recommended Objectives 3.8, 3.16 and 3.19). We are recommending the retention of condition 3 (we adopt the reasons in the Section 42A Report Volume 1 on that matter), but we are also recommending amendments to it so that it does not apply to some replacement consents.
5-99-0 23	245.61	Amend	Accept	We are recommending omitting the references to "diversions" from recommended Rule 5.126.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
5-99-0 23	259.7	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that omitting condition 2 of recommended Rule 5.126 would assist with achieving the objectives of the Plan (particularly recommended Objectives 3.8, 3.16 and 3.19) or give effect to the superior instruments.
5-99-0 23	347.156	Amend	Accept	We are recommending an amendment to Rule 5.99 as notified accordingly.
5-99-0 23	358.58	Amend	Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the Section 42A Report Volume 1 on this submission point.
5-99-0 23	390.6	Amend	Reject	See our reasons on submission point 390.3
5-99-0 23	221.29	New rule	Reject	See the separate narrative in this report titled "Should replacement water permits be controlled activities?"
5-100-0 24	120.193	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending the retention of Rule 5.100 as notified, subject to a minor amendment.
5-100-0 24	167.46	Amend	Accept	We are recommending an amendment to recommended Rule 5.127 accordingly.
5-100-0 24	197.79	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the taking of water that cannot comply with the conditions of Rule 5.99 as notified should be a discretionary activity. Non-complying activity status is preferable as that signals that exceedence of the allocation limits (conditions 1 and 2) is generally not to be condoned. However, we are recommending amendments to conditions 3 and 4 that provide an exemption for the replacement of some existing takes.
5-100-0 24	221.32	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the requested amendment to refer to "a downstream lake" in recommended Rule 5.127 would assist with achieving the objectives of the Plan (particularly recommended Objectives 3.8, 3.16 and 3.19).

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
5-100-0 24	245.62	Amend	Accept in part	As notified Rule 5.100 (recommended Rule 5.127) does not refer to diversions, however, we are recommending the omission of diversions from preceding recommended Rule 5.126.
5-100-0 24	390.7	Amend	Reject	See our reasons on submission point 390.3
5-100-0 24	221.30	New rule	Reject	We are not persuaded that a new permitted activity rule is required for the sole benefit of the Waitaki Hydro Electric Power Scheme.
5-100-0 24	256.24	New rule	Reject	The requested new discretionary activity rule is not necessary as the taking of water referred to by the submitter would already be a restricted discretionary activity under Rule 5.96 as notified, provided the taking did not exceed any allocation limits set in sub-regional Section 6 to 15 of the Plan. The subsequent discharge would be a discretionary activity under recommended Rule 5.6.

Rules 5.101 - 5.106 Take and Use Groundwater

5-101-0 24	120.195; 291.20, 259.9	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending the retention of Rule 5.101 as notified (recommended Rule 5.128), subject to amendments in response to other submissions.
5-101-0 24	189.40, 192.60, 311.134, 320.167	Amend	Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the Section 42A Report Volume 1 on these submission points.
5-101-0 24	19.98	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that omitting Rule 5.101 as notified would assist with achieving the objectives of the Plan (particularly recommended Objectives 3.2, 3.8A, 3.9, 3.10 and 3.13) or the superior instruments. We also adopt the reasons in the Section 42A Report Volume 1 on this submission point.
5-101-0 24	19.99	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that omitting matters of discretion 5 and 6 from Rule 5.101 as notified would assist with achieving the objective of the Plan

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
				(particularly recommended Objectives 3.2, 3.8A, 3.9, 3.10 and 3.13) or the superior instruments.
5-101-0 24	59.6	Amend	Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendation in the Section 42A Report Volume 1 on this submission point.
5-101-0 24	167.48	Amend	Accept	We are recommending amendments to recommended Rule 5.128 accordingly.
5-101-0 24	182.10	Amend	Reject	The submission does not provide details of the precise wording amendments sought. However, in response to other submissions we have recommended various amendments to improve the clarity of Rule 5.101 as notified.
5-101-0 24	187.78, 188.78	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the amendments requested would clarify the intent of Rule 5.101 as notified.
5-101-0 24	222.27; 228.39	Amend	Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the Section 42A Report Volumes 1 and 4 on these submission points.
5-101-0 24	315.49	Amend	Reject	The decision requested is unclear.
5-101-0 24	182.11	Amend	Accept in part	In response to other submissions we are recommending amendments to both condition 3 and matter of discretion 3 of recommended Rule 5.128 to improve clarity.
5-101-0 24	326.56	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that conditions 2 and 3 of recommended Rule 5.128 should be amended as requested. The assessment of whether or not allocation limits are exceeded needs to be undertaken with regard to all consented takes, as it is the cumulative abstraction that would cause any exceedence.
5-101-0 24	182.12	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending amendments to condition 3 of Rule 5.101 as notified

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
				to improve its clarity.
5-101-0 24	106.72; 200.148; 347.157; 358.157	Amend	Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the Section 42A Report Volume 1 on these submission points.
5-101-0 24	390.8	Amend	Reject	See our reasons on submission point 390.3
5-101-0 24	358.56	New rule	Reject	We are not persuaded that a new rule is required to deal with the use of water for irrigation.
5-102-0 24	154.21; 270.61; 279.20, 318.55, 319.48, 326.60; 320.168	Amend	Reject	We are recommending the omission of Rule 5.102 as notified as it is duplicated by Rule 5.103 as notified. However, we are not persuaded that the taking of water that cannot comply with condition 1 of recommended Rule 5.128 should be a discretionary activity. Non-complying activity status is preferable as that signals that takes outside of groundwater allocation zones are generally not to be condoned. We also adopt the reasons and recommendations in the Section 42A Report Volume 1 on these submission points.
5-102-0 24	89.40	Amend	Accept	We are recommending the omission of Rule 5.102 as notified as it is duplicated by Rule 5.103 as notified.
5-102-0 24	120.196	Retain	Reject	We are recommending the omission of Rule 5.102 as notified as it is duplicated by Rule 5.103 as notified.
5-102-0 24	390.9	Amend	Reject	See our reasons on submission point 390.3
5-103-0 24	279.21; 320.169	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the taking of water that cannot comply with condition 1 of recommended Rule 5.128 should be a discretionary activity. Non-complying activity status is preferable as that signals that takes outside of groundwater allocations zones are generally not to be condoned. We also adopt the reasons and recommendation in the Section 42A Report Volume 1 on these submission points.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
5-103-0 24	19.100	Amend	Reject	See our reasons on submission point 279.21 for the request regarding condition 1 of recommended Rule 5.128. We are not persuaded that the taking of water that cannot comply with condition 2 and 4 of recommended Rule 5.126 should be a discretionary activity. Non-complying activity status is preferable as that signals that exceedence of the allocation limits (condition 2) and adverse effects on existing bores (condition 4) are generally not to be condoned.
5-103-0 24	89.41, 318.56, 326.61	Amend	Reject	See our reasons on submission points 279.21 and 89.41
5-103-0 24	120.197	Retain	Accept	We are recommending the retention of Rule 5.103 as notified (recommended Rule 5.129)
5-103-0 24	390.10	Amend	Reject	See our reasons on submission point 390.3
5-104-0 25	19.101, 174.12; 216.7; 237.2, 326.62,	Amend	Reject	See the separate narrative section of this report titled "Prohibited Activity Status".
5-104-0 25	120.198, 200.149, 279.22, 311.135, 320.170, 347.158	Retain	Accept	We are recommending the retention of Rule 5.104 as notified (recommended Rule 5.130).
5-104-0 25	187.80, 188.80, 189.41	Amend	Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendation in the Section 42A Report Volumes 1 and 4 on these submission points.
5-104-0 25	315.33	Amend	Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendation in the Section 42A Report Volumes 1 and 4 on this submission point.
5-104-0 25	390.11	Amend	Reject	See our reasons on submission point 390.3
5-105-0 25	270.62; 311.136; 320.171	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the amendment requested is required to improve the clarity of recommended Rule 5.131. Any non-consumptive taking of groundwater will be a permitted activity if it meets the conditions of the rule.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
5-105-0 25	6.2	Retain	Accept	We are recommending an amendment accordingly.
5-105-0 25	120.199	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending the retention of Rule 5.105 as notified, subject to a minor amendment.
5-105-0 25	271.2	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the amendment sought to Rule 5.105 as notified is suitable for a permitted activity rule. We also adopt the reasons in the Section 42A Report Volume 1 on this submission point.
5-105-0 25	93.24	Amend	Accept in part	Non-consumptive takes that cannot meet the conditions of recommended Rule 5.131 (a permitted activity) already require consent under recommended Rule 5.132.
5-105-0 25	326.63	Amend	Reject	The meaning of “non-consumptive take” takes its ordinary meaning. We also adopt the reasons in the Section 42A Report Volume 1 on this submission point.
5-105-0 25	347.159	Amend	Reject	We also adopt the reasons and recommendation in the Section 42A Report Volume 1 on this submission point.
5-105-0 25	390.12	Amend	Reject	See our reasons on submission point 390.3
5-106-0 25	311.137, 320.172	Amend	Reject	See our reasons on submission point 270.62. Activities that cannot meet the conditions of recommended Rule 5.131 are appropriately categorised as discretionary activities under recommended rule 5.132.
5-106-0 25	120.200	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending the retention of Rule 5.106 as notified, subject to minor amendments.
5-106-0 25	167.47	Amend	Accept	We are recommending amendments accordingly.
5-106-0 25	271.3, 279.23	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending amendments that satisfy the substance of these submission points.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
5-106-0 25	390.13	Amend	Reject	See our reasons on submission point 390.3

Rules 5.107 - 5.108 Transfer of Water Permits

5-107-0 25	14.4, 15.4, 19.102, 24.4, 36.4, 37.4, 42.4, 59.8, 72.18, 83.4, 89.36, 138.4, 164.6, 169.106, 174.13, 182.16, 187.81, 188.81, 189.42, 192.61, 197.81, 200.150, 204.15, 206.15, 206.17, 209.30, 223.4, 224.3, 245.64, 251.16, 257.59, 270.63, 279.24, 298.18, 310.38, 311.138, 314.19, 317.56, 320.173, 358.161, 377.2, 384.2, 385.2	Amend	Accept in part	We are not persuaded that omitting recommended Rule 5.133 would assist with achieving the objectives of the Plan (particularly recommended Objectives 3.2, 3.7, 3.9, 3.10 and 3.11) or the superior instruments, particularly NPSFM Policy B3. However, in response to submissions we are recommending the omission of condition 5 and the insertion of a new matter of discretion 7 which deals with the reduction of the rate or volume of take in over-allocated catchments. That will assist with giving effect to NPSFM Policy B6. See also the separate narrative in this report titled "Part surrender on transfer of water permits in over-allocated catchments".
5-107-0 25	31.62	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that recommended Rule 5.133 requires amendment to achieve the outcome requested.
5-107-0 25	31.63, 120.201, 348.35	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending the retention of recommended Rule 5.133, subject to amendments as described above.
5-107-0 25	59.7, 142.4,	Amend	Reject	The submitter did not provide precise details of the amendment sought.
5-107-0 25	59.9	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the deletion of the notification provisions would improve the efficiency and effectiveness of recommended Rule 5.133.
5-107-0 25	114.9	Amend	Reject	The requested amendment sought is unclear.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
5-107-0 25	164.5	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that restricting the use of transferred water as requested would improve the efficiency and effectiveness of recommended Rule 5.133.
5-107-0 25	197.80, 288.49	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that recommended Rule 5.133 should distinguish between temporary and permanent transfers or be reclassified as a permitted or controlled activity. Site specific adverse effects at the site of transfer may need to be avoided by declining the transfer in some circumstances.
5-107-0 25	250.79	Amend	Accept in part	The LWRP does not use the term “surface water allocation zone” other than in Rule 5.107 as notified. We have recommended replacing that term with the word “catchment”.
5-107-0 25	303.2	Amend	Reject	The request to amend Rule 5.107 as notified is unnecessary as the circumstances outlined are covered by 136(2)(a) of the Act.
5-107-0 25	347.160	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the reasons and recommendation in the Section 42A Report Volume 1 on this submission point.
5-107-0 25	197.58, 303.3	New Rules	Reject	We are not persuaded the new rules sought would assist with achieving the objectives of the Plan (particularly recommended Objectives 3.2, 3.7, 3.9, 3.10 and 3.11) or the superior instruments, particularly NPSFM Policy B3.
5-108-0 26	169.107	Amend	Accept in part	We are satisfied that recommended Rule 5.134 is compliant with the Act. See also the separate narrative in this report titled “Part surrender on transfer of water permits in over-allocated catchments”.
5-108-0 26	15.7, 197.82	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that transfers which cannot meet the conditions of recommended Rule 5.133 should be restricted discretionary or discretionary activities. Non-complying activity status is preferable as that signals that non-compliance with the effects based conditions of recommended Rule 5.133 is generally not to be condoned.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
5-108-0 26	89.37, 204.16, 206.16, 245.65, 245.86, 314.20, 317.57, 358.162	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that omitting recommended Rule 5.134 would assist with achieving the objectives of the Plan (particularly recommended Objectives 3.2, 3.7, 3.9, 3.10 and 3.11) or the superior instruments, particularly NPSFM Policy B3.
5-108-0 26	14.5, 15.5, 24.5, 36.5, 37.5, 42.5, 83.5, 138.5, 223.5, 257.66, 298.19, 298.22, 311.139, 320.174	Amend	Accept in part	See our reasons on Rule 5.107.
5-108-0 26	120.202; 250.80	Retain	Accept	We are recommending the retention of Rule 5.108 as notified.
5-108-0 26	347.161	Amend	Accept in part	See our reasons on submission point 347.160
5-108-0 26	350.22	Amend	Reject	See our reasons on submission point 59.9

Rules 5.109 - 5.111 Flow Sensitive Catchments

5-109-0 26	30.20, 68.4, 69.17, 74.15, 81.13, 90.17, 103.3, 120.203, 124.15, 192.62, 215.3, 215.4, 215.5, 238.3, 255.9, 290.2, 372.7, 374.23, 380.58, 382.19, 387.18	Amend and/or Retain	Accept in part	See the separate narrative in this report titled “Flow sensitive catchments”.
5-109-0 26	98.4	Amend	Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendation in the Section 42A Report Volume 1 on this submission point.
5-109-0 26	159.16	Amend	Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendation in the Section 42A Report Volume 1 on this submission point.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
5-109-0 26	358.59	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the riparian buffer sought for inclusion in recommended Rule 5.72 is appropriate. See submission point 98.4 regarding tree species.
5-110-0 26	30.28, 68.5, 69.18, 74.16, 81.14, 90.18, 103.4, 120.204, 123.22, 124.16, 175.18, 192.63, 238.2, 255.10, 273.4, 290.3, 297.5, 300.2, 372.8, 374.24, 380.59, 382.20, 387.19	Amend	Accept in part	See the separate narrative in this report titled "Flow sensitive catchments".
5-110-0 26	98.3	Amend	Reject	See our reasons on submission point 98.4
5-110-0 26	358.60	Amend	Reject	See our reasons on submission point 358.60
5-111-0 26	5.1	Amend	Accept in part	See the separate narrative in this report titled "Flow sensitive catchments".
5-111-0 26	5.2, 191.2, 358.61	Amend	Accept in part	Apart from the matter of "carbon sequestration", which we are recommending be inserted, we are not persuaded that the additional considerations requested for inclusion in matter of discretion 3 of recommended Rule 5.74 would assist with achieving the objectives of the Plan (particularly Objectives 3.2, 3.7, 3.8 and 3.16) or make the rule more efficient and effective.
5-111-0 26	30.26	Amend	Reject	We are recommending minor amendments to matters of discretion 1 and 2 of recommended Rule 5.74 that clarify their intent. However, we are not persuaded that omitting those matters of discretion would assist with achieving the objectives of the Plan (particularly Objectives 3.2, 3.7, 3.8 and 3.16).
5-111-0 26	30.33	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that reclassifying recommended Rule 5.74 as restricted discretionary would assist with achieving the objectives of the Plan

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
				(particularly Objectives 3.2, 3.7, 3.8 and 3.16).
5-111-0 26	68.6, 69.19, 74.17, 81.15, 90.19, 103.5, 120.205, 124.17, 192.64, 255.11, 290.4, 372.23, 374.25, 380.60, 382.21, 387.20	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending the retention of recommended Rule 5.74 subject to amendments made in response to other submissions.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
---------------------------	-----------------------------	-------------------	----------------	---------

Rules 5.112 - 5.121 Structures

General	245.66			We recommend amending the section heading to read: 'Structures and Defences against Water' to correspond with the recommended use of the term 'defence against water' instead of 'flood protection works'.
5-112-0 26	197.83; 311.140; 320.175	Retain	Reject	In response to another submission, we recommend omitting Rule 5.112.
5-112-0 26	16.17	Amend	Reject	The submission requests excluding from rules applying to rivers intermittently flowing grassed waterways that are normally dry. We prefer to continue to give the term 'river' the meaning in the definitions.
5-112-0 26	106.73; 200.151	Amend	Accept	We recommend omitting Rule 5.112.
5-112-0 26	120.206	Amend	Reject	We are recommending omitting Rule 5.112. The submission does not give precise details of amendments requested to other rules. The request to rename a schedule is unclear.
5-112-0 26	131.52	Amend	Accept in part	See narrative part of this report on sub-regional rules prevailing.
5-112-0 26	221.80; 256.38	Amend	Reject	We are recommending omitting Rule 5.112.
5-112-0 26	284.17	Amend	Accept	In response to another submission we are recommending amending the definition of 'bed' in relation to a river.
5-113-0 26	263.9; 311.141; 320.176	Retain	Accept in part	We recommend retaining Rule 5.113 (recommended Rule 5.135) with amendments in response to other submissions.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
5-113-0 26	16.18	Amend	Accept in part	In response to another submission, we recommend omitting from Condition 1 the words "run perpendicular to the channel".
5-113-0 26	86.25; 94.37; 106.74; 146.69; 157.39; 160.28; 161.26; 221.82; 230.26;	Amend	Accept	We recommend omitting from Condition 1 the words "run perpendicular to the channel".
5-113-0 26	120.207	Amend	Reject	The Fish Passage Regulations are stand-alone measures under other legislation, and the regional plan does not need to refer to them.
5-113-0 26	127.13; 148.14, 165.15	Amend	Accept in part	We recommend amendments to Rule 113 (recommended Rule 5.135) that address the substance of this submission.
5-113-0 26	131.53	Amend	Accept in part	See recommended Policy 4.10 and Rule 5.2 (as recommended to be amended).
5-113-0 26	221.82	Amend	Accept in part	We recommend omitting Condition 3 as requested; and also recommend amending Condition 4.
5-113-0 26	245.68	Amend	Accept in part	We recommend amending Condition 1 accordingly.
5-113-0 26	284.18	Amend	Accept	In response to another submission we are recommending amending the definition of 'bed' in relation to a river.
5-113-0 26	347.162	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that extending the application of Condition 2 as requested would be justified on consideration of the cost and benefit.
5-113-0 26	358.62	Amend	Reject	In our opinion, excluding the application of Rule 5.113 as notified to pipes used to transport contaminants and making them a discretionary activity would be unnecessarily onerous and any benefit would be exceeded by the transaction cost of obtaining resource consent.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
5-114-0 27	311.142; 313.25; 320.177	Amend	Reject	We do not recommend the requested amendment because if works are to be in flowing water, that should not be a permitted activity.
5-114-0 27	86.26; 94.38; 125.29; 127.14; 146.70; 148.15; 157.41; 160.29; 161.27; 165.16; 199.12; 221.83; 230.29; 268.26	Amend	Accept in part	We recommend amending Condition 3 in ways that address the substance of these submissions.
5-114-0 27	120.208	Amend	Reject	The Fish Passage Regulations are stand-alone measures under other legislation, and the regional plan does not need to refer to them
5-114-0 27	125.27; 268.25	Amend	Accept	We infer this submission point was intended to apply to Rule 5.113. If so, we are recommending omitting from Condition 1 of that rule the words requested.
5-114-0 27	127.14; 148.15; 165.16	Amend	Accept in part	If, as we infer, the submission was intended to be directed to amending Rule 5.113, we are recommending amending the rule as requested.
5-114-0 27	131.54	Amend	Accept in part	See recommended Policy 4.10 and Rule 5.2 (as recommended to be amended).
5-114-0 27	169.109	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending amending Condition 3 in ways that address the substance of the submission to the extent we consider justified.
5-114-0 27	245.69	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending amending Condition 3 in ways that address the substance of the submission to the extent we consider justified.
5-114-0 27	263.10	Retain	Accept in part	We recommend retaining Rule 5.114 (recommended Rule 5.136) with amendments in response to other submissions.
5-114-0 27	284.19	Amend	Accept in part	In response to another submission we are recommending amending the definition of 'bed' in relation to a river.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
5-114-0 27	347.163	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that extending the application of Condition 2 as requested would be justified on consideration of the cost and benefit.
5-114-0 27	358.63	Amend	Reject	In our opinion, excluding the application of Rule 5.114 as notified to pipes used to transport contaminants and making them a discretionary activity would be unnecessarily onerous and any benefit would be exceeded by the transaction cost of obtaining resource consent.
5-115-0 27	284.20	Amend	Accept in part	In response to another submission we are recommending amending the definition of 'bed' in relation to a river.
5-115-0 27	285.1	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the replacement wording requested for Condition 8(d) expresses the intention more clearly than the notified provision.
5-115-0 27	320.178	Amend	Reject	In response to another submission we recommend amendments to Condition 2 that we consider would yield a better balance of cost and benefit. On Condition 3, if works are to be in flowing water they should not be a permitted activity.
5-115-0 27	35.7	Amend	Accept	We recommend adding Condition 9 that would address the substance of the submission.
5-115-0 27	106.75	Amend	Reject	We consider that restricting culverts to 7.5 m length would be unduly restrictive.
5-115-0 27	120.209	Amend	Reject	The Fish Passage Regulations are stand-alone measures under other legislation, and the regional plan does not need to refer to them
5-115-0 27	125.28	Amend	Reject	We recommend retaining Condition 2 with amendments responsive to other submissions.
5-115-0 27	125.30	Amend	Accept in part	We recommend amendments to Condition 7 that address some of the requests made in this submission point.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
5-115-0 27	125.31	Amend	Reject	Confining this condition to new bridges would leave alteration and extension of existing bridges as permitted activities even though they may be non-compliant.
5-115-0 27	128.21; 149.9; 310.11	Amend	Accept in part	The amended definition of 'hazardous substance' that we are recommending already refers to Schedule 4 and so there is no need to amend Condition 1 by adding, after the words 'hazardous substance' the requested words "as defined in Schedule 4'.
5-115-0 27	131.55	Amend	Accept in part	See recommended Policy 4.10 and Rule 5.2 (as recommended to be amended).
5-115-0 27	146.71	Amend	Accept in part	We recommend amendment to Condition 2 that would address the substance of this submission point.
5-115-0 27	146.72	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending amending Condition 6 by adding the words "at the time of its installation". With that limitation the amendment requested by this submission point would be inappropriate.
5-115-0 27	154.22; 158.4; 263.11	Retain	Accept in part	We recommend retaining Rule 5.115 as notified, with amendments responsive to other submissions.
5-115-0 27	161.28	Amend	Accept in part	We recommend retaining Condition 8(d) as we consider it an appropriate condition of permitted activity.
5-115-0 27	167.49; 169.110; 197.84; 221.84; 226.11; 238.4; 245.70; 256.40; 273.5; 297.6; 300.3; 306.14; 311.143	Amend	Accept in part	In response to this and other submissions we have reviewed the conditions of Rule 5.115 as notified, and are recommending the amendments that we consider appropriate, bearing in mind that these are conditions of qualifying as a permitted activity.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
5-115-0 27	318.57; 319.50	Amend	Accept in part	This submission point asks that rule 5.115 (recommended Rule 5.137) allow maintenance of structures. The rule expressly applies to maintenance of certain structures on the conditions listed. We do not recommend more specific reference to maintenance of structures.
5-115-0 27	347.164	Amend	Reject	The submission point does not give precise details of the amendment to the LWRP requested. We are satisfied that recommended Rule 5.137 does not fail to comply with s70 RMA.
5-115-0 27	358.64	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that there would be environmental benefit exceeding the cost of classifying the subject activities as discretionary activities.
5-116-0 28	284.21	Amend	Accept in part	In response to another submission we are recommending amending the definition of 'bed' in relation to a river.
5-116-0 28	146.74; 311.144; 320.179	Retain	Accept in part	We recommend retaining Rule 5.116 (recommended Rule 5.138) with amendments responsive to other submissions.
5-116-0 28	12.11	Amend	Reject	Rule 5.116 (recommended Rule 5.138) applies to maintenance of the works described in the conditions specified. It is not confined to maintenance by network utility operators or by local authorities. The submission point does not give precise details of an amendment to the LWRP sought;
5-116-0 28	35.8	Amend	Accept in part	We recommend adding a condition that would address the submission point.
5-116-0 28	120.210	Amend	Reject	The Fish Passage Regulations are stand-alone measures under other legislation, and the regional plan does not need to refer to them
5-116-0 28	131.56	Amend	Accept in part	See recommended Policy 4.10 and Rule 5.2 (as recommended to be amended).
5-116-0 28	167.50	Amend	Accept in part	We recommend amending Rule 5.116 (recommended Rule 5.138) accordingly.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
5-116-0 28	169.111; 221.85; 245.71; 306.15; 347.165	Amend	Reject	We have reviewed the conditions of Rule 5.116 in light of the submissions on them. We recommend amendments that we consider would improve the application and expression of the condition.
5-116-0 28	284.12	Amend	Reject	The submission does not give precise details of the amendment to the LWRP requested.
5-116-0 28	358.65	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that there would be environmental benefit exceeding the cost of classifying the subject activities as discretionary activities.
5-117-0 28	196.33; 250.81; 259.10; 263.12; 311.145; 320.180	Retain	Accept in part	We recommend retaining Rule 5.117 (renumbered 5.139) with amendments in response to other submissions.
5-117-0 28	35.9; 358.66	Amend	Reject	In light of the amendments to Rule 5.117 (recommended Rule 5.139) that we are recommending, the requested amendment would be inappropriate.
5-117-0 28	120.211	Amend	Reject	The Fish Passage Regulations are stand-alone measures under other legislation, and the regional plan does not need to refer to them
5-117-0 28	128.22; 149.10; 310.12	Amend	Accept in part	The amended definition of 'hazardous substance' that we are recommending already refers to Schedule 4 and so there is no need to amend Condition 2 by adding, after the words 'hazardous substance' the requested words "as defined in Schedule 4'..
5-117-0 28	131.57	Amend	Accept in part	See recommended Policy 4.10 and Rule 5.2 (as recommended to be amended).
5-117-0 28	169.113; 197.85; 221.86; 256.41; 306.16; 313.26	Amend	Reject	We have reviewed Rule 5.117 (including its conditions) as notified in the light of submissions requesting amendments to it. In response we are recommending some amendments. Other amendments requested are not recommended because we consider that with the amendments we recommend, having regard to efficiency and effectiveness, benefits and

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
				costs, and risk of acting or not acting, the rule would better assist the CRC to carry out its functions and more fully achieve the objectives of the Plan and the purpose of the RMA..
5-117-0 28	284.22	Amend	Accept in part	In response to another submission we are recommending amending the definition of 'bed' in relation to a river.
5-118-0 28	259.11; 263.13; 311.146; 320.181	Retain	Accept in part	We recommend retaining Rule 5.118 (recommended Rule 5.140) with amendments in response to other submissions.
5-118-0 28	35.10	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the amendment sought is necessary in relation to temporary structures.
5-118-0 28	120.212	Amend	Reject	The Fish Passage Regulations are stand-alone measures under other legislation, and the regional plan does not need to refer to them
5-118-0 28	131.58	Amend	Accept in part	See recommended Policy 4.10 and Rule 5.2 (as recommended to be amended).
5-118-0 28	154.23; 167.51; 245.72; 306.17; 313.27; 347.166; 358.67	Amend	Reject	We have reviewed Rule 5.118 (including its conditions) as notified in the light of submissions requesting amendments to it. In response we are recommending some amendments. Other amendments requested are not recommended because we consider that with the amendments we recommend, having regard to efficiency and effectiveness, benefits and costs, and risk of acting or not acting, the rule would better assist the CRC to carry out its functions and more fully achieve the objectives of the Plan and the purpose of the RMA..
5-119-0 28	259.12; 311.147; 320.182	Retain	Accept in part	We recommend retaining Rule 5.119 (recommended Rule 5.141) with amendments in response to other submissions,
5-119-0 28	120.213	Amend	Reject	The Fish Passage Regulations are stand-alone measures under other legislation, and the regional plan does not need to refer to them

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
5-119-0 28	131.59	Amend	Accept in part	See recommended Policy 4.10 and Rule 5.2 (as recommended to be amended).
5-119-0 28	167.52; 197.86; 221.87; 245.73; 256.42; 313.28; 347.167	Amend	Accept in part	We have reviewed Rule 5.119 (including its conditions) as notified in the light of submissions requesting amendments to it. In response we are recommending some amendments. Other amendments requested are not recommended because we consider that with the amendments we recommend, having regard to efficiency and effectiveness, benefits and costs, and risk of acting or not acting, the rule would better assist the CRC to carry out its functions and more fully achieve the objectives of the Plan and the purpose of the RMA..
5-119-0 28	221.88; 256.43	New	Reject	We are not persuaded that a separate rule for discharges within artificial watercourses is necessary or appropriate.
5-120-0 28	245.74; 293.12; 311.148; 320.183; 321.8	Amend	Reject	We have reviewed Rule 5.120 (including its conditions) as notified in the light of submissions requesting amendments to it. In response we are recommending some amendments. Other amendments requested are not recommended because we consider that with the amendments we recommend, having regard to efficiency and effectiveness, benefits and costs, and risk of acting or not acting, the rule would better assist the CRC to carry out its functions and more fully achieve the objectives of the Plan and the purpose of the RMA..
5-120-0 28	120.214	Amend	Reject	The Fish Passage Regulations are stand-alone measures under other legislation, and the regional plan does not need to refer to them
5-120-0 28	131.60	Amend	Accept in part	See recommended Policy 4.10 and Rule 5.2 (as recommended to be amended).
5-121-0 28	120.215; 221.89; 245.63; 245.67; 256.44;	Amend	Reject	We have reviewed Rule 5.121 (including its conditions) as notified in the light of submissions requesting amendments to it. In response we are recommending some amendments. Other amendments requested are not recommended because we consider that with the amendments we

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
				recommend, having regard to efficiency and effectiveness, benefits and costs, and risk of acting or not acting, the rule would better assist the CRC to carry out its functions and more fully achieve the objectives of the Plan and the purpose of the RMA..
5-121-0 28	131.61	Amend	Accept in part	See recommended Policy 4.10 and Rule 5.2 (as recommended to be amended).
5-121-0 28	196.34; 250.82; 263.14; 313.29	Retain	Accept in part	We recommend retaining Rule 5.121 (recommended Rule 5.143) with a minor amendment in response to another submission.
5-121-0 28	284.23	Amend	Accept in part	In response to another submission we are recommending amending the definition of 'bed' in relation to a river.

Rules 5.122 - 5.123 Refuelling in Lake and Riverbeds

5-122-0 28	120.216	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the requested amendment would improve clarity of the application of the rule.
5-122-0 28	150.26	Retain	Accept	We recommend retaining Rule 5.122 (recommended Rule 5.145).
5-122-0 28	358.69	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the requested amendments would yield benefits that would exceed the additional compliance cost.
5-123-0 29	120.217		Accept	We note that Rule 5.123 (recommended Rule 5.146) already classifies refuelling of mobile equipment as a discretionary activity if it cannot comply with the conditions of recommended permitted activity Rule 5.145. We have already rejected the request to exclude mobile equipment from recommended Rule 5.145.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
---------------------------	-----------------------------	-------------------	----------------	---------

Rules 5.124 - 5.127 Gravel from Lake and Riverbeds

5-124-0 29	120.218; 347.168	Amend	Accept	The amendment sought will assist readers of the Plan.
5-124-0 29	150.27	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending the retention of Rule 5.124 (recommended Rule 5.147) subject to a minor amendment.
5-124-0 29	245.75 282.38 286.16	Amend	Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the Section 42A Report Volume 1 on these submission points.
5-124-0 29	284.27	Amend	Reject	See our reasons on submission point 9.3
5-124-0 29	232.9	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that white water boaters require special mention in Rule 5.124 (recommended Rule 5.147). We note that their rock removal activities will in any case be no worse than discretionary activities under recommended Rule 5.150.
5-125-0 29	9.3; 14.13, 16.19; 39.3; 44.3; 68.2, 69.4; 69.13, 74.10, 81.17, 90.15, 103.7, 215.12, 284.28, 290.22, 293.13; 311.149; 320.184, 320.185; 321.13, 370.18, 372.21, 374.21, 380.56, 382.17, 387.10, 389.2	Amend	Reject	See the separate narrative in this report titled "Gravel Extraction". We also adopt the reasons in the Section 42A Report Volumes 1 and 4 on these submission points.
5-125-0 29	120.219, 347.169,	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending an amendment to the use of the term "high naturalness" as requested. We are not persuaded that the requested amendments to condition 9 would improve the clarity of the rule.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
5-125-0 29	150.28; 263.31	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending that Rule 5.125 (recommended Rule 5.148) be retained subject to amendments recommended in response to other submissions.
5-125-0 29	159.17	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending that a new condition 10 is added to Rule 5.125 (recommended Rule 5.148) in response to this submission point.
5-125-0 29	167.53	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending an amendment to Rule 5.125 (recommended Rule 5.148) that addresses the substance of this submission point.
5-125-0 29	169.116; 221.91	Amend	Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the Section 42A Report Volume 1 on these submission points.
5-125-0 29	245.76, 282.39	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending the use of the alternative term 'defences against water' in condition 6 of Rule 5.125 (recommended Rule 5.148), but we are not persuaded that weirs should be omitted from the condition.
5-125-0 29	358.165	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending that a new condition 10 is added to Rule 5.125 (recommended Rule 5.148) in response to this submission point regarding birds. We are not persuaded that the other requested amendments would assist with achieving the objectives of the Plan.
5-126-0 29	69.5, 89.42; 120.220; 150.29, 167.54, 169.117, 221.92, 245.77, 282.40, 284.30, 286.17, 293.14; 321.14, 358.166	Amend, Retain or Delete	Reject if submitter requests was 'Delete' and Accept in part if submitter request was 'Amend' or 'Retain'	See the separate narrative in this report titled "Gravel Extraction".
5-127-0 29	69.6; 150.30, 284.29, 293.15; 311.150; 320.186, 321.15,	Amend	Reject	See the separate narrative in this report titled "Gravel Extraction".
5-127-0 29	120.221; 263.32	Retain	Accept	We are recommending the retention of Rule 5.127 (recommended Rule

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
				5.150).

Rules 5.128 - 5.132 Dams and Damming

5-128-0 29	174.14; 192.65; 320.187	Amend	Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the Section 42A Report Volume 1 on these submission points.
5-128-0 29	31.32, 35.11	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending that Rule 5.128 (recommended Rule 5.154) be retained subject to amendments.
5-128-0 29	120.222	Amend	Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the Section 42A Report Volume 1 on this submission point.
5-128-0 29	167.55	New condition	Accept	We are recommending an amendment to Rule 5.128 (recommended Rule 5.154) accordingly.
5-128-0 29	169.118	Amend	Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the Section 42A Report Volume 1 on this submission point.
5-128-0 29	187.82, 188.82	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending an amendment to Rule 5.128 (recommended Rule 5.154) to delete the words "or stored" from condition 1(a). We note this provides consistent wording between conditions 1(a) and 2(a). We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the Section 42A Report Volume 1 on the submission point relating to the volumetric limit in condition 1(c).
5-128-0 29	197.88, 198.41; 198.42	Amend	Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the Section 42A Report Volume 1 on these submission points.
5-128-0 29	221.94; 256.56	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the amendment requested for Rule 5.128 (recommended Rule 5.154) would improve its clarity or assist with achieving recommended Objective 3.20 of the Plan

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
5-128-0 29	120.226, 120.227	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that reliance on Section 330 of the Act is insufficient for the creation of temporary pools for fire-fighting purposes.
5-128-0 29	196.32, 197.57, 197.89	New Rule	Reject	See the separate narrative of this report titled "Should replacement water permits be controlled activities?"
5-128-0 29	221.93; 256.46	New Rule	Reject	We are not persuaded that the new rule requested is necessary as the activities covered by it are already dealt with in Rule 5.128 (recommended Rule 5.154). Our recommended definition of "maintenance" now includes upgrading and minor alterations.
5-129-0 30	120.223, 250.83	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending that Rule 5.129 (recommended Rule 5.155) is amended so that condition 1 includes not causing downstream river flows to breach any minimum flow limits set in Sections 6-15 or, where applicable, the default rules on minimum flow limits in recommended Rule 5.123(2). We are not persuaded that the other amendments sought would improve the efficiency or effectiveness of the rule. We also adopt the reasons and recommendations in the Section 42A Report Volume 1 on the submission point relating to fish.
5-129-0 30	187.83, 188.83	Amend	Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the Section 42A Report Volume 1 on these submission points.
5-129-0 30	196.35	New rule	Reject	We are recommending amendments to Rule 5.132 (recommended Rule 5.158) that address the substance of this submission point. That rule will now provide for the "use and maintenance" of a lawfully established dam as a Permitted Activity. Our recommended definition of "maintenance" now includes upgrading and minor alterations.
5-129-0 30	197.90	Amend	Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the Section 42A Report Volume 1 on this submission point.
5-129-0 30	221.95; 256.55	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that omitting the reference to "altering, maintaining"

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
				from Rule 5.129 (recommended Rule 5.155) would improve its efficiency or effectiveness or assist with achieving recommended Objective 3.3 of the Plan.
5-129-0 30	226.21	Amend	Reject	See our reasons on submission point 226.12.
5-129-0 30	259.13	Amend	Accept in part	See our reasons on submission point 196.35.
5-129-0 30	358.170	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending the retention of Rule 5.129 (recommended Rule 5.155) subject to amendments.
5-130-0 30	221.96; 256.54	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that maintenance should be excluded from Rule 5.130 (recommended Rule 5.156)
5-130-0 30	259.14	Amend	Accept	We are recommending amendments to Rule 5.132 (recommended Rule 5.158) that address the substance of this submission point. That rule will now provide for the “use and maintenance” of a lawfully established dam as a Permitted Activity.
5-130-0 30	358.171	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending the retention of Rule 5.130 (recommended Rule 5.156).
5-131-0 30	120.225	Amend	Accept in part	We recommend amending Rule 5.131 (recommended Rule 5.157) to cross-refer to recommended Policy 4.45. That would address the substance of this submission point. The recommended wording is: The construction of a new dam or the damming of water in the bed of a river or lake that results in the natural variation of levels of a natural lake (as described in Policy 4.45 of this Plan) being altered is a non-complying activity.
5-131-0 30	221.33	Amend	Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the Section 42A Report Volume 1 on this submission point.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
5-131-0 30	358.172	Retain	Accept in part	See our reasons under submission point 120.225..
5-132-0 30	196.36; 221.34, 270.64	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending amendments to Rule 5.132 (recommended Rule 5.158) which address the substance of these submission points.
5-132-0 30	197.54, 250.84	Amend	Reject	In response to other submission points we are recommending that Rule 5.132 (recommended Rule 5.158) is amended to be a Permitted Activity.

Rules 5.133 - 5.137 Stock Exclusion from Waterbodies

5-133-0 30	12.3; 16.21, 19.103, 31.33; 39.7, 44.7; 74.4, 81.7, 90.9, 97.1, 98.5; 100.6, 103.11, 123.23; 124.7, 159.18; 175.19, 200.152; 213.26, 214.45, 221.67; 237.3, 244.12, 270.65, 290.10, 311.151, 318.58, 319.51, 320.188, 347.173, 358.71, 370.12, 372.14, 373.13, 374.15, 380.50, 382.11, 387.4	Amend/and or Retain	Accept in part	These submission points on the rules dealing with stock exclusion from water bodies request various amendments to Rules 5.133 to 5.137 (recommended Rules 5.68 to 5.71). We have reviewed these rules in light of all those requests, with a view to accepting all requests that would, having regard to the efficiency and effectiveness of the body of rules, be the most appropriate for achieving the objectives of the Plan (particularly recommended Objectives 3.1, 3.5, 3.8, 3.17, 3.19 and 3.24), taking into account benefits and costs and the risks of acting or not acting, contribute to a coherent body of rules assisting the CRC to carry out its functions in attaining the purpose of the RMA. As a result, we recommend some of the amendments requested, and do not recommend others. Without tediously itemising our reasons for not accepting some submission points, it is our opinion that they would not, having regard to those matters, contribute to making a coherent cascade of rules that would assist the CRC as intended. However, we set out reasons on some of the broader issues raised by the submissions in the narrative section of this report titled "Exclusion of Livestock from Water Bodies". The amendments we recommend to Rules 5.133 to 5.137 (recommended
------------	--	---------------------	----------------	---

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
				Rules 5.68 to 5.71) are shown in the marked-up version of the Plan in Appendix 2 to this report. In that regard, we also adopt the reasons and recommendations in the Section 42A Report Volume 4 relating to Rules 5.69 to 5.71 – Stock Exclusion.
5-134-0 30	12.4, 16.22, 19.104, 31.34; 39.8, 44.8; 74.5, 81.8, 90.10, 93.45, 97.2, 98.6, 103.12, 120.228, 120.229, 123.24; 124.8, 159.19; 175.20, 187.85, 188.85, 200.153, 204.24, 206.27; 213.27, 214.46; 221.68, 274.16, 275.21; 288.50, 290.11, 311.152, 318.59; 319.52, 320.189, 344.9, 370.13, 372.15, 373.14, 378.1, 380.51, 382.12, 387.5	Amend and or Retain	Accept in part	See our reasons on Rule 5.133
5-135-0 31	12.5, 16.20, 16.23, 19.105, 39.9, 44.9; 74.6, 81.9, 84.1, 90.11, 97.3, 98.7; 103.13, 120.230, 124.9, 159.25, 187.86, 188.86, 200.154, 204.25, 206.28; 214.47, 221.69, 248.4, 275.22, 288.51, 290.12, 311.155, 318.60; 319.53, 320.190, 358.72, 370.14, 372.16, 373.15, 374.17, 380.52, 382.13, 387.6	Amend and/or Retain	Accept in part	See our reasons on Rule 5.133

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
5-136-0 31	12.6, 16.24, 16.26, 19.106, 39.10, 44.10, 74.7, 81.10, 90.12, 97.4, 103.14, 120.231, 124.10, 200.155; 204.26, 214.48, 221.70, 248.5, 288.52, 290.13, 293.16; 311.154, 318.61, 319.54, 320.191; 321.16, 358.73, 370.15, 372.17, 373.16, 374.18, 380.53, 382.14, 387.7	Amend	Accept in part	See our reasons on Rule 5.133
5-137-0 31	12.7, 16.25, 19.107, 39.11, 44.11; 74.8, 81.11, 90.13, 97.5, 103.15, 124.11, 204.27, 221.71, 248.6, 288.53, 290.14, 370.16, 372.18, 373.17, 374.19, 380.54, 382.15, 387.8	Amend	Accept in part	See our reasons on Rule 5.133

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
Rules 5.138 - 5.142 Wetlands				
5-138-0 31	31.35; 120.233; 159.20; 169.114, 197.91; 200.156; 221.81, 256.39, 358.179	Retain	Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendations on Rule 5.138 contained in the Section 42A Report Volume 4. This results in the omission of Rule 5.138 as notified from the Plan.
5-139-0 31	31.36; 159.21	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending the retention of Rule 5.139 (recommended Rule 5.159) subject to amendments.
5-139-0 31	120.234	Amend	Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the Section 42A Report Volume 1 on this submission point.
5-139-0 31	167.56	Amend	Accept	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the Section 42A Report Volume 1 on this submission point.
5-139-0 31	169.119	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending amendments to Rule 5.139 (recommended Rule 5.159) that address the substance of this submission point. In that regard we adopt the reasons in the Section 42A Report Volume 1.
5-140-0 31	31.37, 31.38, 120.236, 154.28, 159.22, 159.23	Retain	Accept	We are recommending the retention of Rule 5.140 (recommended Rule 5.160).
5-140-0 31	35.12, 120.235	Amend	Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the Section 42A Report Volume 1 on these submission points.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
5-141-0 31	169.120	Amend	Accept in part	We are not persuaded that amending matter of discretion 1 as requested would assist with achieving recommended Objectives 3.17, 3.19 and 3.19 of the LWRP. We are recommending the deletion of matter of discretion 4 of Rule 5.141 (recommended Rule 5.161) as it is vague, could be applied in an arbitrary manner, and the matters it covers are implicit as under s104 of the Act resource consent decision-makers must have regard to the objectives and policies of the LWRP.
5-141-0 31	200.157	Amend	Accept in part	We are not persuaded that amending the description of 'infrastructure' as requested would assist with achieving recommended Objectives 3.17, 3.19 and 3.19 of the LWRP. We are recommending the insertion of a new matter of discretion 4 for Rule 5.141 (recommended Rule 5.161) for the reasons set out in the Section 42A Report Volume 1.
5-141-0 31	221.97, 256.47	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending amendments to Rule 5.141 (recommended Rule 5.161) for the reasons set out in the Section 42A Report Volumes 1 and 4.
5-141-0 31	250.85	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending the retention of Rule 5.141 (recommended Rule 5.161) subject to amendments.
5-141-0 31	306.18	Amend	Reject	See our reasons for submission point 169.120.
5-142-0 32	311.159; 320.192, 320.204; 344.1, 344.13	Amend	Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the Section 42A Report Volumes 1 and 4 on these submission points.
5-142-0 32	31.39, 120.237, 154.27; 159.24	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending the retention of Rule 5.142 (recommended Rule 5.162) subject to minor amendments.
5-142-0 32	167.57	Amend	Accept	We are recommending an amendment to Rule 5.142 (recommended Rule 5.162) accordingly.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
Rules 5.143 - 5.146 Vegetation in Lake and Riverbeds				
5-143-0 32	16.28, 16.32, 34.5, 35.13, 106.76, 120.238	Amend	Accept in part	The substance of the requests made in these submission points are addressed by the changes we are recommending to the definition of 'vegetation clearance'.
5-143-0 32	154.29, 214.49; 318.62	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending the retention of Rule 5.143 (recommended Rule 5.163) subject to amendments.
5-143-0 32	167.58	Amend	Accept	We are recommending an amendment to Rule 5.143 (recommended Rule 5.163) accordingly.
5-143-0 32	169.115; 221.98; 245.79, 256.48, 288.54	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the amendments requested would improve the clarity and certainty of Rule 5.143 (recommended Rule 5.163).
5-143-0 32	238.5; 273.6, 297.7; 300.4	Amend	Accept	We are recommending an amendment to Rule 5.143 (recommended Rule 5.163) that addresses the substance of these submission points.
5-143-0 32	284.13	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending that the reference to Schedule 14 is omitted from condition 8 of Rule 5.143 (recommended Rule 5.163).
5-143-0 32	347.174, 358.74	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the additional level of regulatory intervention sought by these submission points is required to achieve the Objectives of the Plan. It would place a disproportionate burden on those parties wishing to undertake vegetation clearance (particularly given the changes we are recommending to the definition of 'vegetation clearance').
5-143-0 32	154.25, 154.40	New rule	Reject	Bed disturbance is covered by other rules in the LWRP.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
5-143-0 32	120.239	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending that Rule 5.143 (recommended Rule 5.163) is amended to provide for the in situ death and decomposition of plant pest species (condition 3). We are also recommending that the typographical errors in Condition 6 are rectified. We are not persuaded that the other amendments sought would improve the efficiency and effectiveness of the rule.
5-144-0 32	154.26	Amend	Reject	Bed disturbance is covered by other rules in the LWRP.
5-144-0 32	214.56; 318.63	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending the retention of Rule 5.144 (recommended Rule 5.164) subject to amendments.
5-144-0 32	221.99, 256.60	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that non-compliance with condition 2 of Rule 5.143 (recommended Rule 5.163) should enable the non-compliant activities to be assessed as restricted discretionary activities under Rule 5.144 (recommended Rule 5.164). That would not assist with achieving recommended Objective 3.21 of the LWRP.
5-144-0 32	284.24	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending that the reference to Schedule 14 is omitted from condition 8 of Rule 5.143 (recommended Rule 5.163). Rule 5.144 (recommended Rule 5.164) does not refer to Schedule 14.
5-144-0 32	347.248	Amend	Reject	See our reasons on submission point 347.174
5-144-0 32	358.75	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the amendment sought would assist with achieving recommended Objective 3.21 of the LWRP.
5-145-0 32	120.240	Amend	Accept in part	See our reasons and recommendations for Rule 5.143 (recommended Rule 5.163)
5-145-0 32	221.100; 256.49	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded omitting Rule 5.145 (recommended Rule 5.165) would assist with achieving recommended Objective 3.21 of the LWRP.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
5-145-0 32	284.25	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending that the reference to Schedule 14 is omitted from condition 8 of Rule 5.143 (recommended Rule 5.163). Rule 5.145 (recommended Rule 5.165) does not refer to Schedule 14.
5-145-0 32	318.64	Retain	Accept	We are recommending the retention of Rule 5.145 (recommended Rule 5.165).
5-145-0 32	347.249	Amend	Reject	See our reasons on submission point 347.174. Rule 5.145 (recommended Rule 5.165) is already a non-complying activity which is a harsher classification than that requested.
5-146-0 32	31.40, 159.26, 214.50, 318.65	Retain	Accept	We are recommending the retention of Rule 5.146 (recommended Rule 5.166).
5-146-0 32	120.241, 284.26, 347.250	Amend	Reject	See our reasons and recommendations on recommended Rule 5.163 which address the matters in these submission points. We are not persuaded that the introduction or planting of plant pests should be anything other than a Prohibited Activity.

Rules 5.147 - 5.149 Earthworks and Vegetation Clearance in Riparian Areas

5-147-0 33	320.193; 344.2;	Amend	Accept in part	In response to other submissions we are recommending amendments that improve the clarity of Rule 5.147 (recommended Rule 5.167) that will address the substance of the submission point. However we are not persuaded that the rule should be limited to addressing activities only where 'significant' natural values might occur.
5-147-0 33	16.29	Amend	Accept in part	In response to this and other submissions we are recommending amendment to the 'setback distances' that addresses the substance of the submission.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
5-147-0 33	16.34	Amend	Accept in part	The notified definition of 'earthworks' expressly states that it excludes 'cultivation' and we are recommending that it be amended to further clarify other exclusions.
5-147-0 33	106.77	Amend	Accept in part	In response other submissions we are recommending that Condition 1 of Rule 5.147 (recommended Rule 5.167) is amended to provide, as alternatives, adherence to a FEP (Schedule 7 of the Plan) or the 'Environmental Code of Practice for Plantation Forestry (ECOP) 2007'. This addresses the substance of the submission point.
5-147-0 33	120.242	Amend	Accept in part	See our reasons on submission point 106.77 regarding indigenous vegetation (biodiversity). We are recommending an amended definition of 'vegetation clearance' that excludes plant pests. We are not persuaded that other amendments requested are necessary to achieve the objectives of the LWRP (particularly recommended Objectives 3.17, 3.18 and 3.19). The term 'Sea and Coastal Marine Area' does not appear in the rule and does not therefore require clarification in this context.
5-147-0 33	125.45	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending an amended definition of 'vegetation clearance' that excludes plant pests. This addresses the substance of this submission point.
5-147-0 33	131.62	Amend	Accept in part	The LWRP describes the relationship with other regional plans controlling land and water at Section 2.8; 'any objective or policy or rule on the same subject matter in the pHWRP prevails over the objectives, policies and rules contained in the LWRP'.
5-147-0 33	127.15; 148.16; 150.31; 154.30; 165.17; 214.51	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending retention of Rule 5.147 (recommended Rule 5.167) subject to amendments in response to other submissions.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
5-147-0 33	167.59	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending an amended definition of 'vegetation clearance' that excludes "species listed in the Biosecurity NZ Register of Unwanted Organisms or the Canterbury Pest Management Strategy". This addresses the substance of this submission point.
5-147-0 33	167.60	Amend	Accept	We adopt the recommendation and reasons in the Section 42A Report to replace references to 'land zoned LH1' with the term 'all other land not shown as High Soil Erosion Risk on the Planning Maps or defined as Hill and High Country' as requested. The amendment removes the uninformative nature of 'LH1' (and "LH2") and uses wording that has a clear meaning. The recommended amendment is supported by a revised map layer showing land that has over 20 degrees in slope.
5-147-0 33	169.121	Amend	Accept	We are recommending the omission of the words "within 1m of ..".
5-147-0 33	200.158	Amend	Accept in part	In response to submissions we are recommending amendment to Condition 1 of Rule 5.147 (recommended Rule 5.167) enabling activities, in the alternative, to adhere to a Farm Environment Plan or the <i>'Environmental Code of Practice for Plantation Forestry (CEOP) 2007'</i> . This addresses the substance of this submission point.
5-147-0 33	199.13; 221.101	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending that Condition 6 be omitted as the amended definition of 'vegetation clearance' that we are recommending excludes the establishment or maintenance of utilities or structures. Those activities are no longer captured by the recommended Rule 5.167.
5-147-0 33	226.13; 358.76	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendation and reasons of the Section 42A Report Volume 1, to include reference to the <i>'NZ Historic Places Trust Act 1993'</i> by a 'note' so that it applies to all the rules on 'Earthworks and Vegetation Clearance in Riparian Areas'. The submission does not provide specific detail to assist with addressing the matter of 'land that is culturally significant'.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
5-147-0 33	273.7; 297.8	Amend	Accept in part	We consider that the amendments we are recommending to Rule 5.147 (recommended Rule 5.167) in particular to the option of adherence to Farm Environment Plans or the ' <i>Environmental Code of Practice for Plantation Forestry (CEOP) 2007</i> ' will address the substance of the submission point. Also, our recommended definition of 'vegetation clearance' excludes plant pests.
5-147-0 33	284.15; 288.55		Reject	No specific detail on the amendment sought was provided by the submission.
5-147-0 33	300.5	Amend	Accept in part	In response to submissions we are recommending amendment to Condition 1 of Rule 5.147 (recommended Rule 5.167) enabling activities, in the alternative, to adhere to the ' <i>Environmental Code of Practice for Plantation Forestry (CEOP) 2007</i> '. This addresses the substance of this submission point.
5-147-0 33	309.3	Amend	Reject	We are recommending retention of the Rule 5.147 (recommended Rule 5.167) subject to amendments in response to other submissions.
5-147-0 33	318.66	Amend	Accept in part	The submission point relates to Rule 5.148 (recommended Rule 5.168). We are recommending amendments to recommended rules 5.167 to 5.169 that will improve clarity of focus and align activities to Farm Environment Plans and forestry standards.
5-147-0 33	347.175	Amend	Accept in part	In response to submissions we are recommending amendments to the recommended Rules 5.167 to 5.169 that will address the substance of the submission point.
5-147-0 33	159.29	New	Reject	We are not persuaded that the specific amendment requested would improve the efficient and effective application of the rules.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
5-147-0 33	344.16	Delay	Reject	We are required to make recommendations on the provisions of the LWRP; it is our view that there is sufficient information and evidence to support that action.
5-148-0 33	320.194; 344.3;	Amend	Accept in part	See our recommendation on Rule 5.147, submission point 320.193
5-148-0 33	106.78; 127.16; 148.17; 150.32; 154.31; 165.18; 199.14; 214.52; 221.102; 238.6; 273.8; 297.9; 300.6; 311.160; 313.30; 318.67; 320.205; 326.64; 344.14; 347.251	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending amendment to Rule 5.148 (recommended Rule 5.168) that addresses the substance of the submission points by making provision for recovery activities, establishment, maintenance or repair of networks and utilities and fencing as a condition of recommended Rule 5.168. The amendment includes clarifying standards to be addressed through the use of <i>'Farm Environment Plans and the NZ Forest Road Engineering Manual (2012)'</i> .
5-148-0 33	16.30	Amend	Accept in part	In response to submissions we are recommending amendment to Rule 5.148 (recommended Rule 5.168) that addresses the substance of the submission point.
5-148-0 33	16.35	Amend	Accept	The notified definition of 'earthworks' expressly states that it excludes 'cultivation' and is recommended to be amended to further clarify other exclusions.
5-148-0 33	19.108	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that omitting rules 5.147 to 5.149 as notified would assist with achieving the objectives of the LWRP or give effect to the higher order instruments.
5-148-0 33	120.243	Amend	Reject	Rule 5.148 (recommended Rule 5.168) controls earthworks, not vegetation clearance. It is beyond the scope of the rule to preclude the use of willows for flood protection. We are not persuaded that Condition 2 of the rule requires further clarification.
5-148-0 33	125.46	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the amendment requested would assist with achieving the objectives of the LWRP

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
5-148-0 33	131.63	Amend	Accept in part	The LWRP describes the relationship with other regional plans controlling land and water at Section 2.8. Any objective or policy or rule on the same subject matter in the pHWRP prevails over the objectives, policies and rules contained in the LWRP.
5-148-0 33	167.61	Amend	Accept	We adopt the recommendation and reasons in the Section 42A Report to replace references to 'land zoned LH1' with the term 'all other land not shown as High Soil Erosion Risk on the Planning Maps or defined as Hill and High Country'..
5-148-0 33	169.122	Retain	Accept in part	We consider the term 'reach' takes its normal meaning, (eg; a 'reach of waterway') and does not require further definition.
5-148-0 33	284.31		Reject	The submission does not provide specific detail on the amendments requested.
5-148-0 33	309.4	Amend	Reject	We recommend that Rule 5.148 (recommended Rule 5.168) is retained subject to amendments.
5-148-0 33	358.77	Amend	Reject	The submission does not provide specific detail to assist with addressing the matter of 'land that is culturally significant'.
5-149-0 33	150.33; 214.53; 313.31	Retain	Accept in part	In response other submissions we are recommending retention of Rule 5.149 (recommended Rule 169) subject to amendments.
5-149-0 33	320.195; 344.4	Amend	Accept in part	See our reasons on submission point 320.193.
5-149-0 33	16.36	Amend	Accept	See our reasons on submission point 16.35.
5-149-0 33	19.109; 284.32; 309.5	Amend	Reject	We are recommending that Rule 5.149 (recommended Rule 169) is retained subject to amendments in response to other submissions.
5-149-0 33	131.64	Amend	Accept in part	See our recommendation to submission point 131.63.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
5-149-0 33	148.18	Amend	Accept in part	The amendment requested in part is addressed by the amendments we have recommended for Rules 5.167 & 5.168 and the definitions of 'vegetation clearance' and 'earthworks'. Amendments we are recommending to recommended Rule 5.169 address the substance of the balance of the submission points.
5-149-0 33	167.62	Amend	Accept	See our reasons on submission point 167.60.
5-149-0 33	226.22	Amend	Reject	We adopt the recommendation and reasons of the Section 42A Report Volume 1, to make reference to the NZ Historic Places Trust Act 1993 by a 'note' so that it applies to all the rules on 'Earthworks and Vegetation Clearance in Riparian Areas'.
5-149-0 33	318.68; 347.252	Amend	Accept in part	In response to submissions we are recommending amendment to the suite of 'Earthworks and Vegetation Clearance in Riparian Areas' rules will satisfy the substance of these submission points.

Rules 5.150 - 5.154 Vegetation Clearance and Earthworks in Erosion-prone Areas

5-150-0 34	320.196; 344.5	Amend	Accept in part	See our reasons on submission point 320.193.
5-150-0 34	16.33; 69.8	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendation of the Section 42A report Volume 1 to amend the slope limit to '25 degrees'.
5-150-0 34	16.37	Amend	Reject	The notified definition of 'earthworks' expressly states that it excludes 'cultivation'. We are recommending further amendments to clarify additional exclusions.
5-150-0 34	19.111	Amend	Reject	We are recommending that Rule 5.150 (recommended Rule 5.170) is retained subject to amendments.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
5-150-0 34	106.79 125.33	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending an amendment to Rule 5.150 (recommended Rule 5.170) to exclude works for which a building consent has been obtained from a local authority and this addresses the substance of these submission points.
5-150-0 34	106.80	Amend	Accept in part	We are not persuaded that the specificity of the amendment requested is necessary given the focus of the rules on the prevention of erosion and the broad range of activities that the rule seeks to address.
5-150-0 34	120.245; 297.10; 300.7; 318.69	Amend	Accept in part	See our reasons on submission point 16.33 regarding slope angle. We are not persuaded that the other amendments requested are necessary to achieve the objectives of the LWRP (particularly recommended Objectives 3.23 and 3.24)
5-150-0 34	125.32; 146.76	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that emergency works should be exempted from application of the rule. Ss 330-330B do not apply to all emergency works.
5-150-0 34	146.75	Amend	Accept	We recommend the correction of this trivial formatting error.
5-150-0 34	154.32; 263.7	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending the retention of Rule 5.150 (recommended rule 5.170) subject to amendments
5-150-0 34	159.27	Amend	Accept in part	We are not persuaded that the minor activities authorised by Rule 5.150 (recommended rule 5.170) have potential effects of such a scale that there needs to be special recognition of significant vegetation..
5-150-0 34	127.17; 165.19; 199.15	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the amendments requested to broaden the application of recommended Rule 5.170 are necessary to achieve the objectives of the LWRP..
5-150-0 34	169.123	Amend	Accept in part	In response to other submission points we are recommending that Condition 3 of Rule 5.150 (recommended Rule 5.170) be amended by omitting reference to '....culverts and stormwater controls etc'

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
5-150-0 34	214.54	Amend	Accept	We are recommending that Rule 5.150 (recommended Rule 5.170) be amended by insertion of reference to vegetation clearance of species on the 'Biosecurity NZ Register of Unwanted Organisms'. This addresses the substance of the submission point.
5-150-0 34	238.7; 273.9; 284.33; 304.1; 309.6; 311.163; 319.55; 320.207; 320.208; 344.17;	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending the amendment requested by inserting among the matters covered by the recommended Rule 5.170, vegetation clearance undertaken in accordance with the ' <i>Environmental Code of Practice for Plantation Forestry (ECOP) 2007</i> ' and earthworks undertaken in accordance with the ' <i>NZ Forest Road Engineering Manual (2012)</i> '.
5-150-0 34	253.15	Amend	Accept	We are recommending that Rule 5.150 (recommended Rule 5.170) is amended by omitting the reference to Area LH2 and the insertion of the activity "construction of fences".
5-150-0 34	253.18	Amend	Accept in part	In response to other submissions we are recommending that earthworks in production forests be undertaken in accordance with the ' <i>NZ Forest Road Engineering Manual (2012)</i> '. That addresses the substance of the submission point for those areas. We are not persuaded that similar amendments are required for other areas of High Soil Erosion Risk.
5-150-0 34	358.78	Amend	Reject	See our reasons on submission point 226.13 The submission does not provide specific detail to assist with addressing the matter of 'land that is culturally significant'.
5-151-0 34	320.197; 344.6	Amend	Accept in part	See our reasons on submission point 320.193
5-151-0 34	16.38	Amend	Reject	The notified definition of 'earthworks' expressly states that it excludes 'cultivation'. We are recommending further amendments to that definition to clarify additional exclusions.
5-151-0 34	19.110; 284.16; 309.7	Amend	Reject	We are recommending that Rule 5.151 be retained subject to amendment in response to other submissions.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
5-151-0 34	120.246; 318.70	Amend	Accept in part	See our reasons on submission point 16.33 regarding slope angle. We are not persuaded that the other amendments requested are necessary to achieve the objectives of the LWRP (particularly recommended Objectives 3.23 and 3.24)
5-151-0 34	263.8	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending that recommended Rule 5.171 be retained subject to some amendment.
5-151-0 34	319.56	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending that Rule 5.151 (recommended Rule 5.170) is amended by omitting the reference to Area LH2. This, together with amendments we recommend to the preceding rules, addresses the substance of this submission point. We are not persuaded that activities captured by Rule 5.151 (recommended Rule 5.171) should always be allowed to occur, which would be the result of controlled activity status sought.
5-151-0 34	347.233	Amend	Accept in part	We are not persuaded that the amendments sought are required to achieve the objectives of the Plan, particularly recommended Objective 3.23.
5-152-0 35	320.198; 344.7	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending that Rule 5.152 (recommended Rule 5.172) is amended to clarify the proximity to 'bed or wetted bed of a river' and 'wetland' or the scale of 'wetland' where burning is permitted.
5-152-0 35	16.31; 98.8; 320.209; 318.71; 344.19	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the amendments requested would better assist with achieving the objectives of the LWRP. See the decision above to submission point 344.7 on limits and scale.
5-152-0 35	120.247; 125.34; 167.64	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending the correction of the trivial formatting errors. We are not persuaded that the additional specificity sought with regard to fires and firebreaks are necessary to achieve the objectives of the Plan.
5-152-0 35	159.28	Amend	Accept in part	We are not persuaded that the additional regulatory intervention requested for indigenous vegetation is justified given the evidence we heard on the

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
				types of land where burning is used as part of the pasture management cycle.
5-152-0 35	69.7; 293.17; 284.34; 321.12	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that Rule 5.152 (recommended Rule 5.172) requires simplification.
5-152-0 35	319.57	Retain	Accept in part	In response to submissions we are recommending some amendments to recommended Rule 5.172.
5-152-0 35	347.234	Amend	Accept in part	See our reasons on submission point 347.233
5-152-0 35	358.79	Amend	Reject	See our reasons on submission point 226.13 The submission does not provide specific detail to assist with addressing the matter of 'land that is culturally significant'.
5-153-0 35	320.199; 344.8	Amend	Accept in part	See our reasons on submission point 320.193.
5-153-0 35	120.248; 125.35; 167.65; 318.72; 347.235	Amend	Accept in part	In response to submissions we are recommending amendments to Rule 5.153 (recommended Rule 5.173) to address; (a) an editing issue; (b) clarification of the areas where burning is precluded (condition 1). This will address the substance of the submission points.
5-153-0 35	98.9; 284.35; 293.18; 321.17	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that Rule 5.153 (recommended Rule 5.173) requires simplification or that it should be omitted from the Plan.
5-153-0 35	319.58	Retain	Accept in part	In response to submissions we are recommending amendment to Rule 5.153.
5-153-0 35	358.80	Amend	Reject	See our reasons on submission point 226.13.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
5-154-0 36	120.249; 318.73; 320.200; 347.236	Amend	Accept in part	We have addressed the requests in these submission points in relation to the submissions lodged on the preceding rules, noting that Rule 5.154 (recommended Rule 5.174) is the default rule for Rules 5.152 and 5.153.
5-154-0 36	146.77	Amend	Accept	We are recommending amendment to the 'advice notes' for Rule 5.154 accordingly.
5-154-0 36	239.19; 284.36; 321.73	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that Rule 5.154 (recommended Rule 5.174) requires simplification.

Rules 5.155 - 5.161 Excavation and Deposition over Aquifers

5-155-0 36 to 5-159-0 36	59.10; 59.11; 99.79; 99.80; 99.81; 99.82; 120.250; 120.251; 120.252; 120.253; 120.254; 149.29; 150.34; 150.35; 150.36; 150.37; 154.24; 167.27; 167.66; 167.67; 167.68; 245.80; 245.81; 263.6; 282.41; 282.42; 310.39; 310.41; 310.42; 310.43; 313.32; 313.34; 358.81; 358.82	Amend and/or Retain And/or Delete	Accept in part	The Section 42A Report Volume 1 recommended the omission of Rules 5.155 to 5.159 and their replacement with recommended permitted activity Rule 5.175 and recommended restricted discretionary activity Rule 5.176. We have reviewed these rules in light of the reasons set out in the Section 42A Report and the submissions and evidence received, with a view to accepting all requests that would, having regard to the efficiency and effectiveness of the body of rules, be the most appropriate for achieving the objectives of the Plan (particularly recommended Objectives 3.1, 3.6 and 3.13), taking into account benefits and costs and the risks of acting or not acting, and contribute to a coherent body of rules assisting the CRC to carry out its functions in attaining the purpose of the RMA. As a result, we adopted the recommendation in the Section 42A Report. Without tediously itemising our reasons for not accepting some individual submission points, it is our opinion that they would not, having regard to those matters, contribute to making a coherent cascade of rules that would assist the CRC as intended. The amendments we recommend to Rules 5.155 to 5.159 (recommended
--------------------------	--	-----------------------------------	----------------	---

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
				Rules 5.175 and 5.176) are shown in the marked-up version of the Plan in Appendix 2 to this report.
5-160-0 36	59.12	Amend	Accept in part	The submission does not provide specific detail on the amendments requested.
5-160-0 36	120.255	Retain	Accept in part	We recommend the retention of Rule 5.160 (recommended Rule 5.177) subject to amendments.
5-160-0 36	167.28	Amend	Accept	We adopt the recommendation and reasons of the Section 42A Report Volume 1, to amend recommended Rule 5.177 by insertion of "seasonal high water table" and omit "highest known groundwater level".
5-160-0 36	245.82; 282.43	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendation and reasons of the Section 42A Report, Volume 1, to replace "natural land surface" with "excavation": We are not persuaded that replacing 'material' with 'cleanfill' would improve clarity or workability of the LWRP.
5-160-0 36	286.18	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending an amendment to the definition of 'cleanfill' that addresses the substance of this submission point.
5-160-0 36	310.44	Amend	Accept	We recommend an amendment to the section title accordingly.
5-160-0 36	358.83	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending an amendment to Rule 5.160 (recommended Rule 5.177) to include a condition that requires that material is not deposited on land that is listed as an archaeological site. The submission does not provide specific detail that would assist in addressing the 'land that is culturally significant'.
5-161-0 37	120.256	Retain	Accept in part	We recommend the retention of Rule 5.161 (recommended Rule 5.178) subject to amendments
5-161-0 37	150.38	Amend	Accept	We adopt the recommendation and reasons of the Section 42A Report,

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
				Volume 1, to reclassify Rule 5.161 (recommended Rule 5.178) as a restricted discretionary activity.
5-161-0 37	286.19	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending an amendment to the definition of 'cleanfill' that addresses the substance of this submission point.
5-161-0 37	310.45	Amend	Accept	We recommend an amendment to the section title accordingly.

Rules 5.162 - 5.169 Hazardous Substances

5-162-0 37	270.67; 283.6	Amend	Accept in part	The amendment requested is addressed by our recommended definition of 'portable containers' that limits containers to those used for refuelling vehicles.
	39.12; 44.14; 68.11; 69.15; 74.19; 76.1; 77.1; 78.1; 79.1; 80.1; 81.19; 86.13; 86.14; 90.20; 94.28; 99.83; 99.91; 103.16; 106.81; 120.257; 124.19; 125.15; 146.78; 154.33; 157.19; 157.20; 160.17; 161.14; 165.5; 214.55; 230.13; 238.8; 238.9; 239.62; 265.58; 268.14; 273.10; 278.5; 290.6; 297.11; 300.8; 313.33; 326.65; 358.84; 372.19; 373.8; 374.27; 380.64; 381.7; 382.23; 387.15	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the Section 42A Report Volume 4 in the section titled Rules 5.179 to 5.186 – Hazardous Substances regarding the deletion of conditions 1, 2 3 and 5 as notified from Rule 5.162 (recommended Rule 5.179) and their replacement with a single condition (recommended condition 1) relating to approvals under the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996 We are recommending the retention of condition 2 as notified, subject to minor amendments to clarify its intent.
5-162-0 37	165.5	Amend	Accept in part	Our recommended amendment to definition of 'hazardous substance' addresses the substance of this submission point

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
5-163-0 37	239.63; 283.7; 265.59	Amend	Accept in part	The amendment requested is addressed by our recommended definition of 'portable containers' that limits containers to those used for refuelling vehicles, and addresses the submission point.
5-163-0 37	99.84; 106.82; 120.258; 313.35	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending the retention of Rule 5.163 (recommended Rule 5.180) subject to amendment. We are recommending the deletion of conditions that duplicate HSNO requirements as requested.
5-163-0 37	358.85	Amend	Reject	The submission does not provide specific detail that would assist in addressing the 'land that is culturally significant'.
5-164-0 37	283.8	Amend	Accept in part	We are not persuaded that the amendment requested for exemption of Port of Lyttelton land that is used for the temporary storage of hazardous substances from Rule 5.164 (recommended Rule 5.181) would better assist with achieving the objectives of the LWRP. We also adopt the reasons in the Section 42A Report Volume 1.
5-164-0 37	99.85; 106.83; 120.259; 128.29; 148.19; 149.30; 165.20; 263.17; 291.21; 310.46; 359.8	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending the retention of Rule 5.164 (recommended Rule 5.181) subject to amendment. We are recommending the deletion of conditions that duplicate HSNO requirements as requested.
5-164-0 37	8.1; 8.2; 16.40; 127.18	Amend	Accept in part	See our reasons on submission point 99.85. We are recommending an amendment to Condition 3 that addresses the substance of the submission points relating to the frequency of inspection.
5-164-0 37	154.34; 154.38	Amend	Reject	See our reasons on submission point 99.85.
5-164-0 37	239.64; 265.60	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the reasons and recommendation of the Section 42A Report Volume 1 regarding the coverage of fertilisers. However, see also our reasons on submission point 99.85.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
5-164-0 37	313.36	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendation and reasons of the Section 42A Report, Volume 1
5-164-0 37	358.86	Amend	Reject	We adopt the recommendation and reasons of the Section 42A Report, Volume 1, that the limited focus of the rule on hazardous substances and the detailed rules support restricted discretionary status.
5-164-0 37	8.3; 8.4	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending the omission of Condition 4(b) as sought. We are not persuaded that Condition 4(c) (recommended to be renumbered as condition (b)) should also be omitted. However, we are recommending that the records period be extended to 12 months.
5-165-0 38	283.9	Amend	Accept in part	See response to submission point 238.8 on Rule 5.164.
5-165-0 38	99.86;; 106.84; 120.260; 263.18	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending the retention of Rule 5.165 (recommended Rule 65.182) without amendment.
5-165-0 38	313.37	Amend	Accept in part	See our reasons on submission point 313.36 on Rule 5.164
5-166-0 38	283.10	Amend	Accept in part	See response to submission point 238.8 on Rule 5.164.
5-166-0 38	99.87; 106.85; 120.261; 313.38	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending the retention of Rule 5.166 (recommended Rule 5.183) with minor amendment.
5-166-0 38	128.23; 149.11; 310.13	Amend	Accept in part	Our recommended amendment to the definition of 'hazardous substance' addresses the substance of this submission point,
5-166-0 38	167.69	Amend	Accept	We are recommending an amendment by insertion of the preposition 'on' to the rule.
5-167-0 38	283.11	Amend	Accept in part	See response to submission 238.8 on Rule 5.164.
5-167-0 38	99.88; 106.86; 120.262;	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending retention of Rule 5.166 (recommended Rule 5.183)

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
	313.39			with minor amendment.
5-167-0 38	128.24; 149.12; 310.14	Amend	Accept in part	Our recommended amendment to the definition of 'hazardous substance' addresses the substance of this submission point,
5-167-0 38	167.70	Amend	Accept	We are recommending amendment by insertion of the preposition 'on' to the rule.
5-168-0 38	99.89	Amend	Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendation in the Section 42A Report Volume 1.
5-168-0 38	106.87; 120.263; 263.19	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending retention of Rule 5.168 (recommended Rule 5.185) subject to amendments in response to other submissions.
5-168-0 38	106.88	New condition	Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendation in the Section 42A Report Volume 1..
5-168-0 38	128.25; 149.13; 310.15	Amend	Accept in part	Our recommended amendment to the definition of 'hazardous substance' addresses the substance of this submission point,
5-168-0 38	167.71	Amend	Accept	We recommend amendments accordingly.
5-168-0 38	169.124	Amend	Reject	We adopt the recommendation and reasons of the Section 42A Report, Volumes 1 and 4, which address the management of contaminated land, functions of territorial authorities and elements of overlap with the LWRP which applies generally minimal controls on contaminated sites. We are not persuaded that the omission of Rules 5.168 and 5.169 would assist with achieving the objectives of the LWRP.
5-168-0 38	313.40		Reject	The submission does not provide specific details of the amendments sought.
5-169-0 39	99.90; 106.89; 120.264	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending the retention of Rule 5.163 (recommended Rule

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
				5.180) subject to amendment. .
5-169-0 39	128.26; 149.14; 310.16	Amend	Accept in part	Our recommended amendment to the definition of 'hazardous substance' addresses the substance of this submission point,
5-169-0 39	167.72; 263.20	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending amendments to Rule 5.169 (recommend Rule 5.186), that; (a) amend the reference (recommended Rule 5.186) to read Rule 5.185; (b) Insert into condition (1) the words 'onto or into land, and'.
5-169-0 39	169.125	Amend	Reject	See our reasons for submission point 169.124 on Rule 5.168.
5-169-0 39	313.41		Reject	The submission does not provide specific details of the amendments sought.

Section 6 - Kaikoura

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
6-0-0	347.181		Reject	Allocation blocks may be subject of sub-regional plan change. We also adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 3, section 2, pages 15 and 16, for this submission point.
6-0-0 1	93.50; 93.60; 106.2; 120.265; 120.266; 239.65; 279.25; 279.26; 320.210; 347.177	Various	Accept in part	The CRC will consider draft sub-regional plan changes derived from local collaborative processes. See Section 2.7 (renumbered) and Policies 4.9, 4.10.
6-0-0 1	120.267	Amend	Accept in part	We recommend that the Canterbury Regional Coastal Plan is referred to in Section 2.8 of this Plan.
6-0-0 1	169.126	Amend	Reject	Numbering items in the Plan is an executive responsibility.
6-0-0 1	200.159	Amend	Reject	Setting time limits involves conflicting considerations – see narrative section of this report.
6-4-1 2	120.268; 169.127	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 3, section 2, pages 12 and 13, for these submission points regarding the formation of water user groups.
6-4-2 2	28.1	Amend	Reject	Though important, the issue raised should be considered in context by collaborative process at catchment level. We also adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 3, section 2, page 13, for this submission point.
6-4-2 2	120.269	Retain	Accept	We recommend retaining Policy 6.4.2 as requested.
6-4-3 2	169.128	Unclear	Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 3, section 2, page 14, for this submission point regarding limits for the Upper

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
				Kahutara catchment A block .
6-4-3 2	324.3	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the Clarence River catchment A block should be exempt from application of this policy. We also adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 3, section 2, page 14, for this submission point.
6-5-0 2	232.10	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the requested amendment is appropriate. By Rules 5.147 and 5.150 taking gravel from the Clarence River bed would be a discretionary activity.
6-5-1 2	112.3; 120.270; 232.20	Retain	Accept	We recommend retaining Rule 6.5.1 with an amendment requested by another submission point. We also adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 3, section 2, page 14, for this submission point.
6-6-1 3	28.2; 120.271; 120.272; 324.2	Amend	Reject	Though important, the issues raised should be considered in context by collaborative process at catchment level. We also adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 3, section 2, pages 15 and 16, for these submission points.
6-7-0 4	31.61	Retain	Accept	We recommend retaining Lakes Rotorua and Rotoiti as high naturalness lakes.
6-7-0 4	112.5; 120.273	Amend	Reject	Though important, the issues raised should be considered in context by collaborative process at catchment level.
6-7-0 4	232.21	Amend	Accept in part	We recommend inserting outstanding wild and scenic characteristics requested, though not kayaking, canoeing and rafting values requested.

Section 7 – Hurunui-Waiau

7-0-0 1	93.51	Amend	Accept in part	Although it may influence the review of the sub-regional sections of the LWRP, a Zone Implementation Plan is not itself an instrument under the RMA. We also
---------	-------	-------	----------------	--

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
				adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 3, section 3.2, page 18, for this submission point
7-0-0 1	93.61	Amend	Accept in part	The recommended objectives of the LWRP, specifically Objectives 3.8A, 3.12, 3.24 and Policies 4.2 to 4.4 address drinking water quality and the substance of the submission.
7-0-0 1	106.3	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the text referred to should be amended as requested. The decisions on the HWRRP have been released by the CRC and the text in the LWRP referred to by the submission point is consistent with that in the HWRRP.
7-0-0 1	117.1	Amend	Reject	The amendment sought is more appropriately addressed in the CRC's Regional Coastal Environment Plan.
7-0-0 1	120.274	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 4, section 6.3, page 49, for this submission point regarding the reference to wetlands.
7-0-0 1	150.39	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending the retention of Section 7, subject to amendments recommended in response to other submissions.
7-0-0 1	169.130	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 4, section 6.3, page 48, for this submission point.
7-0-0 1	200.1	Amend	Reject	The HWRRP is a stand-alone regional plan and it is not necessary to incorporate it into the LWRP.
7-0-0 1	200.160	Amend	Reject	The limits in Tables 4, 5 and 6 in Section 7 have immediate effect.
7-0-0 1	239.66	Amend	Reject	Catchment level consideration of contents of sub-regional sections is focused more on local issues than national consistency.
7-0-0 1	279.27	Amend	Reject	See the separate narrative in this report titled 'Water Quantity and Groundwater

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
				Limits'
7-0-0 1	348.37	Amend	Reject	Tables 4, 5 and 6 in Section 7 set environmental flow and allocation limits. We are not persuaded that any additional limits are required.
7-0-0 4	120.275	Amend	Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 3, section 1.3, pages 7 and 8 regarding the FENZ database.
7-0-0 4	232.23; 232.24	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the amendments requested regarding inserting references above Tables on High Naturalness Waterbodies and Other Waterbodies would assist with achieving the objectives of the LWRP. Further, those tables do not exist in Section 7 of the Plan.
7-0-00	347.253	Amend	Reject	Allocation blocks may be subject of sub-regional plan change. See also our reasons on this matter in relation to Section 6 of the Plan and submission point 347.181.
7-5-0 2	232.11	Amend	Reject	Rules 5.147 to 5.150 would apply generally to removal of gravel.
7-6-1 3	167.73	Amend	Accept	We recommend an amendment to the Topo50 map reference in Table 5.
7-6-1 3	347.182	Amend	Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 3, section 3.3, page 19, and the Section 42A Report Volume 4, section 6.3, pages 48 and 49, for this submission point.
7-7-0 4	30.21	Amend	Reject	See the separate narrative in this report titled 'Flow sensitive catchments'. We also adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 1, section 16.3, pages 300 and 301, for this submission point.

Section 8 - Waimakariri

8-0-0	347.254	Amend	Reject	Allocation blocks may be subject of sub-regional plan change. See also our reasons on this matter in relation to Section 6 and submission point 347.181.
-------	---------	-------	--------	--

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
8-0-0 1	27.1; 93.62; 106.4; 239.67; 279.28	Amend	Reject	Though important, the issues raised regarding new storm water discharges into the catchment area of the Ohoka Stream should be considered in context by collaborative process at catchment level.
8-0-0 1	93.52	Amend	Reject	Although it may influence review of the sub-regional section of the LWRP, the Zone Implementation Plan is not itself an instrument under the RMA. We also adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 3, section 4, page 21, for this submission point.
8-0-0 1	200.2	Amend	Reject	See Section 2.7 and Policies 4.9 & 4.10. Also, In response to another submission we are recommending amending point 8.1.1 with reference to the Waimakariri River Regional Plan 2004
8-0-0 1	200.161	Amend	Reject	Setting time limits involves conflicting considerations –see narrative section of this report
8-1-0 2	94.1	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that it would be efficient or effective for the LWRP to cross-reference the NRRP as sought. The LWRP is designed to replace the NRRP.
8-5-0 2	232.12	Amend	Reject	Rules 5.147 to 5.150 would apply generally to removal of gravel.
8-5-1 2	120.276; 347.183	Amend	Accept in part	In response to other submissions we recommend amending Rule 8.5.1 to omit reference to the full flow. We also adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 3, section 4, page 22, for these submission points.
8-5-1 2	169.131	Amend	Accept in part	We recommend omitting the expression “about 200m” from Rule 8.5.1.
8-5-1 2	232.25	Retain	Accept in part	We recommend retaining Rule 8.5.1 with minor amendments in response to other submissions.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
8-6-1 3	120.277	Amend	Accept in part	We recommend amending Table 7 substantially as requested. In that regard we adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 4, section 6.4, page 50, for this submission point.
8-6-1 3	347.184	Amend	Reject	In response to the issue raised by this submission point, we adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 4, section 6.4, page 50..
8-8-0 4	120.278	Amend	Reject	Though important, the issues raised should be considered in context by collaborative process at catchment level. We adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 3, section 1.3, pages 7 and 8 regarding the FENZ database. We adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 3, section 4, page 23 regarding the RECP.
8-8-0 4	232.26	Amend	Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 3, section 4, page 24, for this submission point..

Section 9 – Christchurch-West Melton

Section 9	59.35; 59.36; 59.37; 59.38; 59.39; 59.40; 59.41; 59.42; 82.51; 93.47; 93.48; 93.49; 93.53; 93.63; 106.5; 106.90; 120.2; 120.279; 120.280; 120.281; 120.282; 120.283; 120.284; 120.285; 120.286; 120.287; 169.132; 169.133; 200.162; 200.3; 232.13; 232.27; 239.68; 257.67; 262.11; 262.12; 263.34; 271.4; 279.29; 313.42; 313.43; 313.44; 313.45; 313.46; 347.255	Amend and/or Retain and/or Delete	Accept, Accept in Part or Reject as recommended in the Section 42A Report Volume 3, pages 25 to 33, the Section 42A Report Volume 4, pages 51 to 53, and the Addendum to Section 42A Report Volume 4 Officer's Reply (undated)	See the separate narrative in this Report titled 'Section 9 Christchurch – West Melton'. In that narrative we state that we adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Section 42A Report Volume 3, pages 25 to 33, the Section 42A Report Volume 4, pages 51 to 53, and the Addendum to Section 42A Report Volume 4 Officer's Reply (undated) on all but two matters raised by the submissions. We have therefore grouped all of the submission points except for the two that relate to those two particular matters.
-----------	---	-----------------------------------	--	---

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
	99.39	Amend	Accept in part	See separate narrative referred to above.
	262.10	Retain	Reject	See separate narrative referred to above.

Section 10 – Banks Peninsula

10-0-0	347.256		Reject	Allocation blocks may be subject of sub-regional plan change. See also our reasons on this matter in relation to Section 6 of the Plan and submission point 347.181
10-0-0 1	93.54	Amend	Reject	Although it may influence review of the sub-regional section of the LWRP, a Zone Implementation Plan is not itself an instrument under the RMA. We adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 3, section 6.2, page 34, for this submission point
10-0-0 1	93.64	Amend	Reject	This submission point does not give precise details of the amendment to the LWRP requested.
10-0-0 1	106.6	Amend	Reject	Section 10 contains no reference to zone committee recommendations.
10-0-0 1	120.288	Amend	Reject	Though important, the issues raised should be considered in context by collaborative process at catchment level.
10-0-0 1	120.289; 120.320	Amend	Accept	We recommend the map shows that the coastal marine area is excluded.
10-0-0 1	120.290	Amend	Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 3, section 1.3, pages 7 and 8 regarding the FENZ database.
10-0-0 1	200.163	Amend	Reject	Setting time limits involves conflicting considerations –see narrative section of this report.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
10-0-0 1	239.69	Amend	Reject	Catchment level consideration of contents of sub-regional sections is focused more on local issues than national consistency.
10-0-0 1	253.2; 253.9; 253.16; 279.30			We adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 3, section 6.2, page 35, for these submission points.
10-5-0 2	232.14	Amend	Reject	Rules 5.147 to 5.150 would apply generally to removal of gravel from river beds.
10-7-0 2	3.1; 30.22	Amend	Accept in part	See the separate narrative in this report titled 'Flow sensitive catchments'. We also adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 1, section 16.3, pages 301 and 302, for these submission points.

Section 11 – Selwyn - Waihora

11-0-0	347.257	Amend	Accept in part	Allocation blocks may be subject of sub-regional plan change. See also our reasons on this matter in relation to Section 6 of the Plan and submission point 347.181.
11-0-0 1	19.114	Amend	Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report, Volume 4, section 6.7, page 55 for this submission point regarding reliability of irrigation water supply.
11-0-0 1	93.55	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report, Volume 3, section 7, page 36 for this submission point regarding being with the relevant Zone Implementation Plan.
11-0-0 1	93.65	Amend	Reject	The recommended Objectives 3.8A, 3.12, 3.24 and Policies 4.2 to 4.4 provide direction for the protection of drinking water quality standards. These specific LWRP region-wide provisions are applicable to the Selwyn-Waihora sub-region and address the substance of the submission.
11-0-0 1	106.7	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that deleting the priority outcomes identified by the Selwyn Waihora Zone Committee would appropriately recognise the

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
				collaborative process that is underpinning the sub-regional plan development.
11-0-0 1	120.291	Amend	Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendation of the Section 42A Report, Volume 3, section 7, page 36 for this submission point, which explains that the introductory bullet points are not intended to be ranked in any priority order.
11-0-0 1	120.293	Amend	Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 3, section 1.3, pages 7 and 8 regarding the FENZ database.
11-0-0 1	200.164	Amend	Reject	Setting time limits involves conflicting considerations – see narrative section of this report.
11-0-0 1	239.70	Amend	Reject	Catchment level consideration of contents of sub-regional sections is focused more on local issues than national consistency.
11-0-0 1	279.31	Amend	Reject	See the separate narrative in this report titled 'Water Quantity and Groundwater Limits'.
11-0-0 1	281.1	Amend	Reject	The submission does not provide specific detail on amendments sought. Nevertheless, we are satisfied that the policies and rules that we are recommending for inclusion in the LWRP will address the substance of the submission point.
11-0-0 1	390.2	Amend	Reject	The Selwyn-Te Waihora sub-region section of the plan is currently under development, the results of which will ultimately be introduced into the LWRP by way of plan variation or plan change. We are not persuaded that applying a cap on dairying activity in advance of the sub-region planning process being completed is an appropriate action or in accord with the RMA Schedule 1 process.
11-1-0 2	120.292	Amend	Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report, Volume 3, section 7, page 36 for this submission point regarding Te Waihora's status as a RAMSAR site and the existence of the Department of Conservation and Ngai Tahu Joint Management Plan.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
11-5-0 1	222.28	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report, Volume 3, section 7, page 37 for this submission point.
11-5-0 1	222.29	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report, Volume 3, section 7, page 37 for this submission point
11-5-0 2	232.15	Amend	Reject	Rules 5.147 to 5.150 would apply generally to removal of gravel from river beds.
11-6-0 2	228.41	Amend	Accept in part	See our reasons for to submission point 222.29
11-6-3 2	228.40	Amend	Accept	We adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report, Volume 3, section 7, page 37 for this submission point. In that regard we are recommending amendment to section 11.6.3 'Catchment Nutrient Load limits and Allowances' by inserting 'See rules 5.41 to 5.64' to clarify the relevance of the Nutrient Management section of the LWRP to the Selwyn-Te Waihora sub-region.
11-7-0 2	30.23, 345.1	Amend	Reject	See the separate narrative in this report titled 'Flow sensitive catchments'. We also adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 1, section 16.3, page 302, for these submission points.

Section 12 – Central Canterbury Alpine Rivers

12-0-0	347.258	Amend	Accept in part	Allocation blocks may be subject of sub-regional plan change. See also our reasons on this matter in relation to Section 6 of the Plan and submission point 347.181
12-0-0 1	93.56	Amend	Accept in part	Although it may influence review of the sub-regional section of the LWRP, a Zone Implementation Plan is not itself an instrument under the RMA. We also adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 3, section 8.2, page 38, for this submission point.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
12-0-0 1	93.66	Amend	Accept in part	The recommended objectives of the LWRP, specifically 3.8A, 3.12, 3.24 and policies 4.2 to 4.4 provide direction for the protection of drinking water quality standards. The LWRP region-wide provisions are applicable to the Central Canterbury Alpine Rivers sub-regional section and address the substance of the submission.
12-0-0 1	106.8	Amend	Reject	Section 12 contains no reference to zone committee recommendations.
12-0-0 1	120.294	Amend	Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 3, section 8.3, page 39, for this submission point.
12-0-0 1	200.4, 200.5	Amend	Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 3, section 8.3, page 39, for these submission points regarding the prevalence of LWRP over the Waimakariri River Regional Plan.
12-0-0 1	200.165	Amend	Reject	The Central Canterbury Alpine Rivers sub-region section is scheduled for development, the results of which will be introduced into the LWRP by way of a plan variation or plan change. We are not persuaded that applying limits in advance of this community wide process being completed is an appropriate action or in accord with the RMA Schedule 1 process.
12-0-0 1	239.71	Amend	Unclear	Catchment level consideration of contents of sub-regional sections is focused more on local issues than national consistency.
12-0-0 1	250.86	Amend	Accept in part	In response to submissions we are recommending minor amendments to Sections 12.2 and 12.6.1 of Section 12.
12-0-0 1	279.32	Amend	Reject	See the separate narrative in this report titled 'Water Quantity and Groundwater Limits'.
12-0-0 1	347.185	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending the retention of Section 12, but in response to other submissions we are recommending amendments to it.
12-0-0 1	358.88	Delete	Reject	We are not persuaded that the omission of Section 12 would assist with

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
				achieving the objectives of the LWRP or give effect to the superior instruments.
12-0-0 2	232.28, 232.29	Amend	Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 3, section 8.6, page 40 and the Section 42A Report Volume 4, section 6.8, page 56, for these submission points regarding High Naturalness Waterbodies and Other Waterbodies.
12-2-0 2	250.87	Amend	Accept	We are recommending amendments that will correct the references to the two Water Conservation Orders in Section 12.2 as requested.
12-5-0 2	232.16	Amend	Reject	Rules 5.147 to 5.150 would apply generally to removal of gravel.
12-6-1 2	250.88	Amend	Accept	We are recommending amendment that will correct the references to the two Water Conservation Orders in Section 12.6.1 as requested.
12-7-0 2	31.41	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the reasons and recommendation of the Section 42A Report, Volume 3, section 8.6, page 40, to retain Lake Denny in Section 12 as this section manages Alpine Rivers and their headwaters, including Lake Denny.
12-7-0 2	120.295	Amend	Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 3, section 1.3, pages 7 and 8 regarding the FENZ database.
12-7-0 2	347.186	Amend	Accept	We adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 4, section 6.8, page 56, for this submission point.

Section 13 - Ashburton

Section 13	18.1; 18.2; 18.3; 18.4; 18.6; 18.7; 18.8; 18.9; 18.10; 18.11; 18.12; 18.13; 18.14; 18.15; 18.16; 18.17; ; 30.24; 31.42; 31.43; 31.44; 31.45; 31.47; 31.48; 31.49;	Amend and/or Retain and/or Delete	Accept, Accept in Part or Reject as recommended in the Section 42A Report Volume 3 pages 42 to 57, the	See the separate narrative in this Report titled Section 13 – Ashburton. In that narrative we state that we adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Section 42A Report Volume 3 pages 42 to 57, the Section 42A Report Volume 4 pages 57 and 58 and the Addendum to Section 42A Report Volume 4 Officer's Reply (undated) on all but seven matters raised by the submissions. We have therefore grouped all of the submission points except for the ones that
------------	---	-----------------------------------	--	--

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
	31.50; 31.51; 31.52; 31.53; 31.54; 31.55; 31.56; 31.57; 31.58; 31.59; 38.2; 72.19; 89.5; 93.57; 93.67; 100.5; 106.9; 111.2 ;111.3; 111.4; 111.5; 111.6; 111.7; 113.1; 120.296; 120.297; 120.298; 146.79; 146.80; 146.81; 146.82; 197.92; 197.93; 197.94; 197.96; 197.97, 197.98; 197.99; 200.166; 200.172; 232.17; 232.30; 239.72; 250.89; 250.90; 250.91; 257.68; 257.69; 276.2; 279.33; 320.211; 320.212, 320.213; 320.214; 320.216; 320.217; 320.218; 329.18; 329.19; 329.20; 329.21; 329.22; 329.23; 329.24; 329.25; 347.188; 347.189; 347.190; 347.191; 347.192; 347.259		Section 42A Report Volume 4 pages 57 and 58 and the Addendum to Section 42A Report Volume 4 Officer's Reply (undated).	relate to those seven matters.
	146.79	Delete	Accept in part	See separate narrative referred to above with regard to Policy 13.4.1
	320.213	Amend	Accept in part	See separate narrative referred to above with regard to Policy 13.4.1
	200.169; 200.179	Amend	Accept	See separate narrative referred to above with regard to Policies 13.4.2 and 13.4.3
	18.7	Amend	Accept in part	See separate narrative referred to above with regard to Policy 13.4.5
	320.215	Amend	Accept in part	See separate narrative referred to above with regard to Policy 13.4.6
	197.95	Amend	Accept	See separate narrative referred to above with regard to Table 12 and the South

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
				Branch minimum flow for A permits
	298.20	Amend	Accept in part	See separate narrative referred to above with regard to Table 12 and the allocation limit on Taylors Stream for B permits

Section 14 – Orari-Opihi-Pareora

14-0-0	347.260	Amend	Accept in part	Allocation blocks may be subject of sub-regional plan change. See also our reasons on this matter in relation to Section 6 of the Plan and submission point 347.181
14-0-0 1	137.1; 280.1; 287.1	Support	Accept in part	This submission supports the submission of the Orari Water Society which is addressed below.
14-0-0 1	93.58	Amend	Reject	Reference to the 'vision' of the Orari-Opihi-Pareora sub-region Zone Implementation Plan and the recommendations of the Zone Committee is an integral part of the sub-region Section 14. We adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 3, section 10.2, page 59, for this submission point.
14-0-0 1	93.68	Amend	Accept in part	The recommended Objectives 3.8A, 3.12, 3.24 and Policies 4.2 to 4.4 provide direction for the protection of drinking water quality standards. These specific LWRP region wide provisions are applicable to the Orari-Opihi-Pareora sub-region and address the substance of the submission.
14-0-0 1	106.10	Delete	Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 3, section 10.2, page 59, for this submission point regarding recommendations from Zone Committees. .
14-0-0 1	159.30	Amend	Reject	We adopt the recommendation and reasons of the Section 42A Report, Volume 4, that the Coopers Creek consents remain attached to the Upstream Ohapi monitoring site.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
14-0-0 1	179.1	Unclear	Reject	The points raised by the submission relate to process matters beyond the scope of our recommendatory function.
14-0-0 1	179.2; 181.1; 180.2; 180.3; 185.1; 198.48	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending the retention of Section 14 of the LWRP, but in response to other submissions we are recommending amendments to it.
14-0-0 1	179.18	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending amendments to a number of Rules in Section 5 of the LWRP, we have not identified any consequential amendments as a result that would be required to Section 14. The substance of this submission is therefore addressed.
14-0-0 1	180.4; 185.3	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 3, section 10.2, page 59, for these submission points.
14-0-0 1	200.6	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 3, section 10.2, page 59, for this submission point.
14-0-0 1	200.167	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that reducing the timeframe for implementing the flow and allocation limits in Table 15 from three to a two year period would be a reasonable or achievable objective.
14-0-0 1	205.1; 205.2; 205.3; 205.4	Amend /retain	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendation and reasons of the Section 42A Report, Volume 4, section 6.10, page 62, to retain the existing minimum flow site for Coopers Creek attached to the Upper Ohapi minimum flow (recorder) site.
14-0-0 1	239.73	Amend	Accept in part	Catchment level consideration of contents of sub-regional sections is focused more on local issues than national consistency.
14-0-0 1	279.34	Amend	Accept in part	See the separate narrative in this report titled 'Water Quantity and Groundwater Limits'.
14-0-0 1	347.193; 347.194	Amend	Reject	The wording referred to by the submitter is quoted from the ZIP and we do not consider it appropriate to amend it.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
14-0-0 2	185.4	Amend	Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 3, section 10.2, page 59, for this submission point. .
14-2-0 2	98.15	Support	Accept	We are not recommending amendments to Section 14.2.
14-4-0 2	180.5; 185.5; 232.31	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending amendment to improve cross referencing of Section 14 to the objectives, policies and rules of the LWRP, which will address the substance of the submission.
14-4-0 2	347.195	Amend	Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 3, section 10.3, page 67, and the Section 42A Report, Volume 4, section 6.10, pages 59, for this submission point.
14-4-1 2	179.6, 347.196	Retain	Accept	We are recommending retention of the Policy 14.4.1 as worded.
14-4-1 2	180.6; 180.7; 185.6; 185.7	Amend	Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 3, section 10.3, page 60 and the Section 42A Report, Volume 4, section 6.10, page 59, for these submission points regarding when it is best to use RSIL water rather than Orari.
14-4-2 2	179.5, 347.197	Retain	Accept	We are recommending retention of Policy 14.4.2 as worded.
14-4-3 2	179.7; 347.198; 347.262	Retain	Accept	We are recommending retention of Policy 14.4.3 as worded.
14-4-3 3	180.8, 185.8	Amend	Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 3, section 10.3, page 61 and section 10.5, page 71, for these submission points.
14-4-4 2	347.199; 180.9; 185.9; 200.173	Amend	Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 3, section 10.3, page 61, for these submission points.
14-4-4 3	179.8	Retain	Accept	We are recommending the retention of Policy 14.4.4.
14-4-5 3	179.9; 180.10, 185.10; 347.200	Retain	Accept	We are recommending the retention of Policy 14.4.5.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
14-4-6 3	115.5; 200.174	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendation and reasons of the Section 42A Report, Volume 3 and 4 to amend Policy 14.4.6 to clarify that no new consent will be granted where the allocation limits in Table 15 are exceeded.
14-4-6 3	179.10; 180.11, 185.11; 347.201	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending the retention of Policy 14.4.6, but in other submissions we are recommending amendment to it.
14-4-7 3	179.11, 180.12, 185.12	Retain	Accept	We are recommending that Policy 14.4.7 be retained.
14-4-8 3	179.12, 180.13, 185.13	Amend	Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 3, section 10.3, page 63, for this submission point.
14-4-9 3	179.13, 347.202	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending the retention of Policy 14.4.9, but in response to other submissions we are recommending a minor amendment to it.
14-4-9 3	180.14; 185.14	Amend	Accept	We are recommending amendment to Policy 14.4.9 to replace the term 'amount' with the term 'allocation' to improve clarity.
14-4-10 3	160.10	Amend	Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 3, section 10.3, page 64, for this submission point.
14-4-10 3	179.14, 180.15, 185.15	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending the retention of Policy 14.4.10, but in response to other submissions we are recommending amendment to it.
14-4-10 3	347.203	Amend	Accept	We are recommending the correction of this typographical error.
14-4-11 3	160.31	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending amendment to align Policy 14.4.11 to Policy 14.4.3 and the region wide Policy 4.70 (renumbered 4.69) to improve consistency with the intended exclusion of stock race water from the provisions of Policy 14.4.11.
14-4-11 3	179.15	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending an amendment that addresses the substance of this submission point regarding water efficiency for irrigators.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
14-4-11 3	180.16, 185.16, 347.204	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending the retention of Policy 14.4.11 but in response to other submissions we are recommending amendments to it.
14-4-12 3	115.6	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendation and reasons of the Section 42A Report, Volume 1 to amend the definition of 'dam' to refer to damming the full width of a water body. A consequence to the amendment of the definition for 'dam' is that clause (b) of Policy 14.4.12 becomes redundant and recommended to be deleted. In response to issues raised by submitter 115 we are recommending a new clause 14.4.12(e) which requires in-stream damming not to worsen the exceedence of downstream minimum flow limits.
14-4-12 3	179.16, 180.17, 185.17, 347.205,	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending the retention of Policy 14.4.12 but in response to another submission we are recommending amendments to it.
14-4-13 3	179.17	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending the retention of Policy 14.4.12 but in response to another submission we are recommending a minor amendment to it.
14-4-13 3	180.18, 185.18	Amend	Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 3, section 10.3, page 66, for these submission points.
14-5-0 4	180.19; 185.19	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the amendment requested would improve clarity of the LWRP.
14-5-0 4	232.18	Amend	Reject	Rules 5.147 to 5.150 would apply generally to removal of gravel.
14-5-1 4	120.299	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending the retention of Rules 14.5.1 to 14.5.5, but in In response to other submissions we are recommending amendment to Rule 14.5.1.
14-5-1 4	167.74	Amend	Accept	We recommend an amendment accordingly regarding contaminated or potentially contaminated land.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
14-5-1 4	179.19; 180.20; 185.20	Delete	Reject	We adopt the recommendation and reasons of the Section 42A Report, Volume 3 and Volume 4 (page 60) , to retain Rule 14.5.1 subject to amendment in response to other submissions.
14-5-2 4	115.7	Amend	Reject	We adopt the recommendation and reasons of the Section 42A Report, Volume 3 and 4, to retain the non-complying activity status of Rule 14.5.2. Amendments we are recommending to Policy 14.4.12 provide sufficient policy guidance to ensure the adverse effects of damming in the Lower Orari River are remedied, avoided or mitigated.
14-5-2 4	120.300; 179.20, 180.21, 185.21, 347.206	Retain	Accept	We are recommending retention of Rule 14.5.2 as notified.
14-5-3 4	120.301; 179.21, 180.22, 185.22, 347.207	Retain	Accept	We are recommending retention of Rule 14.5.3 as notified.
14-5-4 4	120.302; 179.22, 180.23, 185.23, 347.208	Retain	Accept	We are recommending retention of Rule 14.5.4 as notified.
14-5-5 4	120.303; 179.23, 180.24, 185.24	Retain	Accept	We are recommending retention of Rule 14.5.5 as notified.
14-6-0 5	120.304	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the amendment requested to alter the timeframe for implementing the third stage of the Table 15 flow and allocation limits from the year “2040” to “2022” is a feasible option given the infrastructure investment required and the time farmers will require in adjusting farming operations to the staging of higher minimum flows.
14-6-1 5	115.8	Amend	Reject	We adopt the recommendation and reasons of the Section 42A Report, Volume 3, section 10.5 and Volume 4 section 6.10, for this submission point.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
14-6-1 5	151.2; 179.24	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending amendment to Schedule 13 that will address the substance of that part of the submission. Development of the sub-region section of the LWRP is an appropriate place for any new information to be integrated through the sub-region plan change process.
14-6-1 5	167.75	Amend	Accept	We adopt the recommendation and reasons of the Section 42A Report Volume 3.
14-6-1 5	179.25	Retain	Accept	We are recommending retention of the 'Ohapi Creek at Houstons' minimum flow site'.
14-6-1 5	179.26	Retain	Accept	We are recommending retention of the 'Rhodes Stream at Parke Road' minimum flow site.
14-6-1 5	179.27	Retain	Accept	We are recommending retention of the allocation blocks and minimum flows of Table 15 as notified.
14-6-1 5	180.25 202.2	Retain/ amend	Accept in part	We are recommending amendment to Schedule 13 that will address the substance of submission points; We are not proposing amendment to the notified minimum flows or allocation limits of Table 15. See our response to submission 180.8 where we address the request for Policy 14.4.3 flow rates to be incorporated into Table 15.
14-6-1 5	347.209	Retain	Accept in part	We are recommending amendment to Schedule 13 that will address the substance of that part of the submission. We are not proposing amendment to the notified minimum flows or allocation limits of Table 15. In response other submissions we are recommending amendment to Policy

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
				14.4.6 to clarify that no new consents will be granted where the catchment is over-allocated by inserting the wording '...and must meet the limits as set out in Table' 15. The proposed amendment is consistent with the provisions of Rule 5.96 (renumbered 5.123) and the NPSFWM 2011, this will address the substance of that submission point.
14-6-2 6	57.3	Amend	Reject	Refer to our response to submission point 200.6.
14-6-2 6	167.76	Amend	Accept	We are recommending amendment to Table 16 accordingly.
14-6-2 6	180.26, 185.26	Retain	Reject	In response to another submission we are recommending amendments to Table 16.
14-7-0 6	30.2; 159.31	Amend	Reject	See the separate narrative in this report titled 'Flow sensitive catchments'. We also adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 1, section 16.3, page 303, for this submission point.
14-7-0 6	347.210	Retain	Accept	We are not recommending any changes to Section 14.7
14-8-0 6	31.60	Retain	Accept	We are recommending retention of 14.8 High Naturalness Water Bodies as notified.
14-8-0 6	159.32	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the Orari, above the mouth of the gorge, should be included as a High Naturalness area
14-8-0 6	159.33	Amend	Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 3, section 10.7, page 75, for this submission point.
14-8-0 6	179.28, 180.27, 185.27, 185.28, 347.211	Retain	Accept	We are recommending retention of Section 14.8 as notified.
14-14-0 1	185.2	Retain	Accept in part	In response to other submissions we are recommending amendment to elements of Section 14 Orari-Ophi-Pareora.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
---------------------------	-----------------------------	-------------------	----------------	---------

Section 15 – Waitaki and South Coastal Canterbury

15-0-0	347.261		Reject	Allocation blocks may be subject of sub-regional plan change. See also our reasons on this matter in relation to Section 6 and submission point 347.181
15-0-0 1	13.1; 200.7; 221.106; 347.213;	Retain	Accept	We are not recommending amending Section 15.1.1 of the LWRP
15-0-0 1	93.59; 106.11			Although it may influence review of the sub-regional section of the LWRP, a Zone Implementation Plan is not itself an instrument under the RMA.
15-0-0 1	93.69; 120.306; 239.74; 279.35;	Amend	Reject	Though important, the issues raised should be considered in context by collaborative process at catchment level.
15-0-0 1	120.305	Amend	Reject	CWMS zone boundaries are not a subject of the LWRP.
15-0-0 1	167.77	Amend	Accept	We recommend amending the title accordingly.
15-0-0 1	200.168			Setting time limits involves conflicting considerations –see narrative section of this report
15-0-0 1	347.212	Retain	Accept	We recommend retaining the introduction to Section 15.
15-0-0 6	232.32; 232.33	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the amendments sought would assist with achieving the objectives of the Plan.
15-4-1 3	260.2	Amend	Reject	To give effect to superior instruments, the CRC has a duty to make policies on the information available now. We also adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 3, section 11.4, pages 77 and 78 and the Section 42A Report Volume 4, section 6.11, pages 64 and 65, for this submission point.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
15-4-1 3	347.214; 347.216	Retain	Accept	We recommend retaining Policies 15.4.1 and 15.4.4.
15-4-2 3	200.176	Amend	Accept	We recommend amending Policy 15.4.2 to indicate that there are no guarantees under the RMA that a water permit will be replaced when it expires .
15-4-2 3	347.215	Amend	Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 3, section 11.4, page 78, for this submission point regarding the term of any granted resource consent.
15-4-5 3	347.217	Amend	Accept	We recommend amending Policy 15.4.5 accordingly.
15-4-6 3	260.4	Amend	Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 3, section 11.4, page 79 and the Section 42A Report Volume 4, section 6.11, pages 64 and 65, for this submission point.
15-4-7 3	260.3	Amend	Reject	We are not clear about the precise details of the amendment to the LWRP requested. See also our reasons on submission point 260.4
15-4-7 3	347.218	Amend	Accept	We recommend amending Policy 15.4.7 by omitting the word 'fresh'.
15-5-0 3	232.19	Amend	Reject	Rules 5.147 to 5.150 would apply generally to removal of gravel..
15-5-1 3	347.219	Retain	Accept	We recommend retaining Rule 15.5.1.
15-5-2 3	347.220	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 3, section 11.5, page 80, for this submission point regarding damming of the Waihao.
15-6-0 4	120.307	Retain	Accept in part	We recommend retaining rule 15,6 with a minor amendment.
15-6-1 4	167.78	Amend	Accept	We recommend amending Table 17 as requested.
15-6-1 4	347.221; 347.222	Amend	Reject	Allocation blocks may be subject of sub-regional plan change. See also our reasons on this matter in relation to Section 6 and submission point 347.181

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
15-6-1 4	356.1	Amend	Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 3, section 11.6, page 81 and the Section 42A Report Volume 4, section 6.11, page 65, for this submission point.
15-6-1 4	356.2	Amend	Accept	We recommend amending the allocation limit for A permits as requested.
15-6-2 6	57.4	Amend	Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 3, section 11.6, page 81, for this submission point..
15-7-0 6	30.25	Amend	Accept in part	See the separate narrative in this report titled 'Flow sensitive catchments'. We also adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 1, section 16.3, page 304, for this submission point.
15-7-0 6	191.1	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 1, section 16.3, page 304, for this submission point. .
15-7-0 6	347.223	Retain	Accept in part	Subject to minor amendments in response to other submission points, we recommend retaining section 15.7.
15-8-0 6	347.224	Retain	Accept	We recommend retaining section 15.8.

Section 16 - Schedules

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
16-0-0 1	125.44	Move	Reject	Moving items from Schedules 14 & 15 to sub-regional sections would detract from the region-wide focus of the associated rules.
16-0-0 1	253.4	Amend	Reject	The RPS is accessible, Including Appendix 4 in the LWRP as well would be unnecessarily repetitive.
16-0-0 1	288.56	Supports another submission	Allow in part	Depends on outcome of INZ submissions.
16-0-0 1	347.225	Support		We recommend retaining the Schedules with amendments in response to other submissions.
16-1-0 2	93.70	Retain	Accept	We recommend retaining Schedule 1, Figure 1A and Table 1A.
16-1-0 2	93.71	Amend	Reject	Submission point does not give precise details of amendment requested.
16-1-0 2	120.308	Amend	Reject	Provision of hyperlink is an executive responsibility of the CEO, We also adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 1, section 5.17, page 205, for this submission point
16-1-0 2	86.12; 94.27; 125.14; 157.17; 160.16; 161.13; 230.12; 268.13	Amend	Accept	We recommend amending Schedule 1 as requested.
16-1-0 2	167.79	Amend	Reject	In response to another submission we are recommending a different amendment to that requested by this submission point. We also adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 1, section 5.17, page 203, for this submission point.
16-1-0 2	169.134	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending amendments to the definition of Group drinking water supply

				and Schedule 1 so they match. We are not persuaded that the amendments to the 5 th and 6 th paragraphs of Schedule 1 are necessary. Omitting the word 'existing' from the 7 th paragraph would unjustifiably alter the application of that paragraph. We also adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 1, section 5.17, pages 205 and 206, for this submission point
16-1-0 2	247.1	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the requested amendment to the Schedule would be necessary or appropriate, being site-specific. We also adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 1, section 5.17, page 206, for this submission point
16-1-0 2	292.2	Amend	Reject	We are recommending amendments to the definition of Group drinking water supply and Schedule 1 so they match. We judge the amendment we are recommending would be more efficient and effective, and yield greater benefit than cost, than the amendment requested in this submission. We also adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 1, section 5.17, page 203, for this submission point.
16-1-0 2	348.38	Support in part	Unclear	The submission does not give precise details of the amendment to the LWRP requested.
16-1-0 3	146.83	Amend	Accept	We recommend amending Schedule 1 as requested.
16-1-0 3	167.80	Amend	Accept	We recommend amending Schedule 1 as requested.
16-2-0 4	12.10; 192.66; 209.31; 320.219	Retain	Accept in part	We recommend retaining Schedule 2 as requested, with minor amendments requested by another submission.
16-2-0 4	120.309	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the amendments requested would result in more efficient or effective contents, or that the benefit would exceed the additional compliance costs. We also adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 1, section 6.15, page 308, for this submission point.
16-2-0 4	146.84	Amend	Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 1, section 6.15, page 308, for this submission point.

16-2-0 4	146.85	Amend	Accept	We recommend amending Schedule 2 as requested.
16-2-0 4	169.135	Amend	Accept	We adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 1, section 6.15, page 309, for this submission point.
16-2-0 4	187.87; 188.87	Amend	Reject	This submission does not give precise details of the amendment to the LWRP requested.
16-2-0 4	197.100	Amend	Accept in part	To the extent that the RMA provides for continuation of pre-existing devices, that would prevail over contents of Schedule 2. We also adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 1, section 6.15, page 309, for this submission point.
16-2-0 4	308.22	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that separate mesh sizes for drains would be necessary or appropriate. We also adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 1, section 6.15, page 309, for this submission point.
16-2-0 4	311.166	Retain	Accept	We are not recommending changing the sweep velocities approach in Schedule 2.
16-2-0 4	347.226; 348.39	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the amendments to the prescription in Schedule 2 requested would result in a more efficient and effective standard, nor that any benefit would exceed additional compliance costs. We also adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 1, section 6.15, page 308, for this submission point
16-3-0 5	106.91; 169.136; 239.75; 265.62; 358.189;	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 1, section 5.17, page 206, for the submission point seeking the inclusion of the text that was included in the MfE HAIL list, but excluded from the LWRP. We recommend the insertion of that missing wording. We also recommend amending the title of Schedule 3 to refer to 'and Activities'
				We have reviewed Schedule 3 in the light of the other submissions requesting amendments to it. We do not recommend those amendments because we consider that, having regard to efficiency and effectiveness, benefits and costs, and risk of acting or not acting, the schedule would better assist the CRC to carry out its

16-4-0 7	154.37		Amend	Reject	functions and more fully achieve the objectives of the Plan and the purpose of the RMA. We also adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 1, section 5.17, page 206, for those submission points.
16-5-0 8	257.70		Amend	Reject	We have reviewed Schedule 4 in the light of the submissions requesting amendments to it. We recommend one amendment requested. We do not recommend the other amendments requested because we consider that, having regard to efficiency and effectiveness, benefits and costs, and risk of acting or not acting, without them the schedule would better assist the CRC to carry out its functions and more fully achieve the objectives of the Plan and the purpose of the RMA. We also adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 4, section 7, page 67, for this submission point.
16-5-0 8	259.3		Retain	Accept in part	We are not persuaded the amendment requested is necessary because where a consent has been granted that recognises a different length of mixing zone then that consent can be relied on for the discharge.
16-5-0 8	12.9; 106.92; 120.310; 167.81; 348.40;		Amend	Accept in part	We recommend retaining Schedule 5, with minor amendments to the tables.
16-5-0 10	167.82; 167.84		Amend	Accept	We have reviewed Schedule 5 in the light of the submissions requesting amendments to it. We recommend two of the amendments requested. We do not recommend the other amendments requested because we consider that, having regard to efficiency and effectiveness, benefits and costs, and risk of acting or not acting, without them the schedule would better assist the CRC to carry out its functions and more fully achieve the objectives of the Plan and the purpose of the RMA. We also adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 1, section 5.17, pages 206 and 207 for these submission points.
16-6-0 12	346.1		Amend	Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 1, section 5.17, page 207, for the submission points regarding the labelling of the table..
16-6-0 12	100.4		Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that removing the stock restriction from the Te Akatarawa camping ground would better assist the CRC to carry out its functions and more fully achieve the objectives of the Plan and the purpose of the RMA.
16-6-0 12			Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the amendment requested would better assist the CRC to carry out its functions and more fully achieve the objectives of the Plan and the purpose of the RMA.

16-6-0 12	120.311	Amend	Reject	The submission point does not give precise details of the second and third amendments to the schedule requested. We are not persuaded that the requested amendment to the title of the schedule would better assist the CRC to carry out its functions and more fully achieve the objectives of the Plan and the purpose of the RMA
16-6-1 12	348.41	Delete	Reject	The submission point does not give precise details of the amendment to the schedule requested
16-7-0	133.13; 136.13; 137.13; 172.13; 184.12; 233.6; 287.9;	Amend	Accept in part	We are recommending replacing the text of Schedule 7, which would no longer use the term 'appropriate professional qualification'.
16-7-0 13	131.65; 209.32	Retain	Reject	We are recommending replacing the entire text of Schedule 7.
16-7-0 13	320.220	Amend	Accept	See separate narrative in this report titled 'Nutrient management regime' which includes a discussion of farm environment plans. We are recommending that Schedule 7 be amended to include, as an option, the use of industry prepared templates and guidance material.
16-7-0 13	12.13	Amend	Reject	We are recommending replacing the text of Schedule 7. Recommended Part B clause 5(e) generally corresponds to notified Management Objective 4(d). Guidance in Schedule 7 on how to comply would be inappropriate, as that would be for inclusion in farm environment plans.
16-7-0 13	19.115	Delete	Accept	We recommend omitting Schedule 7 in its previous form and replacing it entirely.
16-7-0 13	39.32; 44.34; 69.9; 91.4; 91.10; 93.72; 93.77; 95.24; 98.19; 109.24; 118.24; 143.24; 163.24; 170.25; 171.32; 175.21; 187.88; 188.88; 197.101 198.44; 239.76 246.33; 253.19 265.63 270.72 274.24; 311.167; 315.39; 315.50; 326.66 347.227 348.42 357.24 350.23 377.1 384.1 385.1	Amend	Reject	We have reviewed Schedule 7 in the light of the submissions requesting amendments to it. We recommend complete replacement of the substance and text of this schedule. To the extent that the replacement does not fully meet all the amendments requested, we do not recommend those amendments because we consider that, having regard to efficiency and effectiveness, benefits and costs, and risk of acting or not acting, without those amendments the schedule would better assist the CRC to carry out its functions and more fully achieve the objectives of the Plan and the purpose of the RMA.
				We also adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 2, section 9.4, pages 134 and 135 and the Section 42A Report Volume 4,

					section 7, page 67 for these submission points.
16-7-0 13	93.1	Amend	Accept in part		The recommended replacement text of Schedule 7 would direct how farm environment plans are to be prepared, approved and audited.
16-7-0 13	123.25; 146.86	Amend	Accept		The replacement text of Schedule 7 that we are recommending supports use of farm environment plans accordingly.
16-7-0 13	257.71	Amend	Reject		The words 'farming activities' are intended to be given their ordinary meaning and no definition is required.
16-7-0 13	318.74; 319.59	Amend	Accept in part		We recommend amending the definition of 'property' substantially as requested in this submission point. The rest of this submission point is overtaken by our recommendation of replacement substance and text for Schedule 7 (see our reasons under submission point 39.32).
16-8-0 14	125.43; 209.33;	Delete	Accept in part		We are recommending replacing the entire contents of Schedule 8.
16-8-0 14	95.25; 98.18; 101.28; 109.25; 118.25; 143.25; 163.25; 170.26; 187.89; 188.89; 192.67; 197.102; 222.24; 228.31; 239.77; 265.64; 246.34; ; 257.73; 265.64; 270.73; 274.25; 298.21; 308.23; 357.25; 320.221; 311.168; 315.51; 319.3; 326.67; 329.17; 347.25; 348.43	Amend	Reject		We have reviewed Schedule 8 in the light of the submissions requesting amendments to it. The Schedule as notified was blank. In terms of the submission points seeking amendments to the notified Schedule we adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 2, section 9.5, pages 139 and 140. In response to other submissions the CRC officers recommended that Schedule 8 be used for a different purpose, namely to establish region-wide water quality limits. We have discussed the merits of that in our separate narrative (see in particular the sections titled 'Giving effect to the NPSFM' and 'Qualification of water quality parameters') and we have recommended that the new Schedule 8 be included in the Plan. In that regard we also adopt the reasons for including the new Schedule 8 that are contained in the Section 42A Report Volume 4 (see in particular sections 4.1, 4.3 and 7 of that report).
16-8-0 14	39.33; 44.35	Postpone	Reject		See our separate narrative in this report titled 'Nutrient Management'.
16-8-0 14	347.228	Retain	Reject		We recommend providing an entire replacement Schedule 8.

16-9-0 15	19.116	Amend	Accept in part	Amendments we are recommending would address in a different way the issue raised in this submission.
16-9-0 15	120.312	Amend	Accept in part	We recommend omitting provision for daily average and substituting 'maximum daily' as requested. We also adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 1, section 6.15, page 311 for this submission point.
16-9-0 15	257.74	Amend	Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 1, section 6.15, page 312 for this submission point..
16-9-0 15	347.229	Amend	Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 1, section 6.15, pages 311 and 312 for this submission point. In response to the issues raised, we also adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 4, section 7, page 68 and have recommended the insertion of an additional column for Table 9.1 indicating whether or not the groundwater take will be subject to surface water minimum flow restrictions.
16-10-0	347.230	Retain	Accept in part	We recommend retaining Schedule 10, with minor amendments in response to other submissions.
16-10-0 16	19.117; 120.313; 182.13 ; 182.14; 187.90; 188.90; 189.43; 192.68; 197.103; 311.169; 320.222; 326.68	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that amending the schedule as requested in these submissions would, having regard to efficiency and effectiveness, benefits and costs, and risk of acting or not acting, better assist the CRC to carry out its functions or achieve the objectives of the Plan. We also adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 1, section 6.15, pages 314 and 315 for these submission points.
16-10-0 17	182.15	Amend	Accept in part	We recommend amending item 2 in respect of total seasonal demand.
16-11-0 19	279.36; 320.223	Amend	Accept in part	We adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 1, section 6.15, page 318 for these submission points.
16-11-0 19	19.118; 317.58	Delete	Reject	We are satisfied that Schedule 11 should be retained in the LWRP to assist the CRC to carry out its functions and achieve the objectives of the Plan, giving effect to the superior instruments. We also adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 1, section 6.15, pages 314 and 315 for these submission points.

16-12-0 20	187.91, 320.224 320.225	Amend	Accept in part	In response to other submissions we recommend amending the passage in question in Schedule 12, but differently than requested by this submission. We also adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 1, section 6.15, pages 320 and 321 for these submission points.
16-12-0 20	19.112	Amend	Reject	We also adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 1, section 6.15, pages 320 for this submission point.
16-12-0 20	92.1; 187.79; 188.79; 279.37	Amend	Reject	We have considered the amendments to Schedule 12 requested by these submission points. We consider, having regard to efficiency and effectiveness, benefits and costs and the risk or acting or not acting that the Schedule will more fully assist the CRC to carry out its functions and achieve the objectives of the Plan without the requested amendments being made. We also adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 1, section 6.15, pages 320 and 321 for these submission points.
16-12-0 20	188.91	Amend	Accept in part	In response to other submissions we recommend amendment to Schedule 12 that addresses differently the issue raised in this submission.
16-13-0 21	14.6; 15.6; 24.6; 36.6; 37.6; 42.6; 57.1; 57.2; 83.6; 120.314; 138.6; ; 167.85; 169.138; 187.92; 188.92; 198.45; 223.6; 237.5; 279.38; 320.226; 347.178; 347.231	Amend	Reject	We have reviewed the several submissions requesting various amendments to Schedule 13. We are recommending some of the amendments requested. We consider, having regard to efficiency and effectiveness, benefits and costs, and the risk or acting or not acting, that the Schedule will more fully assist the CRC to carry out its functions and achieve the objectives of the Plan without the other requested amendments being made. We also adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 1, section 6.15, pages 323 and 324 for these submission points.
16-13-0 21	19.119	Amend	reject	The submission point does not give precise details of the amendment requested.
16-13-0 21	89.6	Amend	Accept	We recommend amending clause 1(a) as requested.
16-13-0 21	198.45	Amend	Accept in part	We recommend omitting the word 'diversion' from clause 1(a), as requested.
16-14-0 22	284.14	Map	Reject	Whether the effect of Schedule 14 is to be available as a map is an executive responsibility for the CEO.
16-15-0 24	210.72	Amend	Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 1,

				section 7.7, page 359 for this submission point.
16-15-0 24	197.104	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the amendments requested would assist the CRC to carry out its functions and achieve the objectives.
16-16-0 25	192.69; 320.227	Amend	Reject	The contents of Schedule 16 are extracts from the document identified. Submissions on the LWRP are not an appropriate way of having that document amended. On the evidence before us, we are not persuaded that provision for taking water from Tekapo to South Canterbury would assist the CRC to carry out its functions, achieve the objectives, give effect to the superior instruments, or promote sustainable management of the natural and physical resources involved, including s 6(e) RMA.
16-16-0 25	93.78	Amend	Reject	This submission not does not give precise details of the amendment requested
16-16-0 25	120.315	Amend	Reject	The contents of Schedule 16 are extracts from the document identified. Submissions on the LWRP are not an appropriate way of having that document amended. On the evidence before us, we are not persuaded that the amendment requested would assist the CRC to carry out its functions, achieve the objectives, give effect to the superior instruments, or promote sustainable management of the natural and physical resources
16-16-0 25	358.116	Amend	Reject	Variations to the LWRP are outside the scope of submissions to the proposed plan.
16-17-0 27	108.1	Amend	Accept	We recommend amending Schedule 17 accordingly.
16-17-0 27	120.316; 120.317; 125.47; 125.48; 129.1; 347.3; 348.44; 358.68	Amend	Reject	We have considered the other amendments to Schedule 17 requested by these submission points. Having regard to efficiency and effectiveness, benefits and costs, and risk of acting or not acting, we are not persuaded that the Schedule would more fully assist the CRC to carry out its functions, achieve the objectives, give effect to the superior instruments, or promote sustainable management of resources, if any or all of those amendments were made. We also adopt the reasons and recommendations of the Section 42A Report Volume 1, section 7.7, pages 359 and 360 and the Section 42A Report Volume 4, section 7, page 68 for these submission points.

16-18-0 31	257.75	Amend	Reject	This submission does not give precise details of the amendments requested.
16-21-0 72	348.45	Amend	Reject	On the evidence before us we are not persuaded that the Ashley River mouth qualifies for entry in the list in Schedule 21.

Planning Maps

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
Maps	16.8	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that changing the Waipara zone nutrient classification would assist with achieving the Plan's objectives. See also the separate narrative addressing nutrient management.
	16.39, 125.50, 167.90, 167.91, 167.92, 273.11, 297.12, 297.13, 309.1, 309.2, 311.161, 311.162, 320.206, 344.15	Amend	Accept in part	In response to this and other submissions we are recommending amendments to the rules and consequently the maps to reduce the complexity of the rules and focus solely on slopes greater than 20 degrees with erosion-prone soil types.
	125.49, 167.86, 167.89, 316.5, 221.104	Amend	Accept in part	In response to this and other submissions we are recommending deletion of the references to the Septic Tank Suitability Area from the rules, and consequently the identification of this area can be deleted from the maps
	125.52, 125.53	Amend	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Section 42A Report Volume 1 for not recommending substantive amendments to Schedule 17. See the separate narrative for minor amendments we are recommending to Schedule 17 for the Upper Hurunui and Landslip Stream. Given the small scale of the inanga spawning sites, mapping is not considered necessary in addition to the text description.
	167.87	Amend	Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Section 42A Report Volume 1 for altering the key.
	167.88	Amend	Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Section 42A Report Volume 1 for altering the maps.
	167.93	Amend	Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Section 42A Report Volume 1 for altering the sub-regional maps.
	167.94, 221.104, 256.51, 125.51	Amend	Accept in part	In response to various submissions we have improved the maps and made other consequential amendments.

Notified Provision Number	Submission Number and Point	Submitter Request	Recommendation	Reasons
	186.11	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that suspending the nutrient zone classification would assist with achieving the Plan's objectives. See also the separate narrative addressing nutrient management.
	193.2; 193.3	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that changing the nutrient classification around Te Waihora/Lake Ellesmere would assist with achieving the Plan's objectives. See also the separate narrative addressing nutrient management.
	198.18	Amend	Reject	See the separate narrative addressing nutrient management.
	221.72	Retain	Accept	See the separate narrative addressing nutrient management.
	315.34; 315.35	Amend	Reject	We consider the technical material produced at the hearing by Council officers and submitters is adequate for the recommendations we are making. See the separate narrative addressing nutrient management.
	39.1, 44.1	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that changing the classification of this water body to hill-fed is appropriate, given the information before us and the location in a plains area.
	366.1	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that changing the nutrient classification of this property would assist with achieving the Plan's objectives. See also the separate narrative addressing nutrient management.
	114.11, 19.120, 19.121	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that the changes requested would assist with achieving the Plan's objectives and note the current review of the Selwyn/Waihora sub-regional section.
	267.6	Amend	Reject	While we agree it would be helpful to show Lake Opuha, the varying extent of the Lake and impending review of the relevant sub-regional section lead us to consider that the matter would be better addressed at the time of the sub-regional section review.
	17.14	Amend	Reject	We are not persuaded that changing the classification of this lake would assist with achieving the Plan's objectives.

Report Number - R14/3 Volume 2

ISBN -978-1-927284-00-1— Hard Copy

ISBN - 978-1-927284-01-8 - Internet

ISBN - 978-1-927284-02-5 - CD