Steph Bennett

From: Peter Kingsbury <pjkingsbury@xtra.co.nz>
Sent: Wednesday, 14 November 2012 7:35 a.m.
To: Mailroom Mailbox
Subject: LWRP Further Submission
Attachments: CCC Further submissions LWRP.doc

Categories: Purple Category

EC207504-EC298496

SUBMITTER ID: F517
Further Submission on Proposed Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan

Return your signed further submission by 5.00pm Wednesday 14 November 2012 to:
Freepost 1201 Proposed Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan
Environment Canterbury
P O Box 345
Christchurch 8140

Full Name: Peter Kingsbury
Organisation*: CCC
Postal Address: PO Box 73012
Email: peter.kingsbury@ccc.govt.nz
Phone (Hm): __________
Phone (Wk): 03 941 8487
Phone (Cell): 027 599 4615
Fax: __________
Postcode: 8154

Only certain people can make further submissions. Please tick the option that applies to you:

☐ I am a person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest; or
☐ I am a person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has (for example, I am affected by the content of a submission); or
☒ I am the local authority for the relevant area.

☒ I do not wish to be heard in support of my further submission; or
☐ I do wish to be heard in support of my further submission; and if so,
☐ I would be prepared to consider presenting your further submission in a joint case with others making a similar submission at any hearing.

Service of your further submission:
Please note: any person making a further submission must serve a copy of that submission on the original submitter no later than five working days after the submission has been provided to Environment Canterbury. If you have made a further submission on a number of original submissions, then copies of your further submission will need to be served with each original submitter.

Signature: Signed Peter Kingsbury
Date: 14/11/12

(1) all information contained in a submission under the Resource Management Act 1991, including names and addresses for service, becomes public information.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(1) I support or oppose the submission of:</th>
<th>(2) The particular parts of the submission I support or oppose are:</th>
<th>(3) The reasons for my support or opposition are:</th>
<th>(4) Support or oppose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name &amp; postal address of original submission</td>
<td>Submission point reference number i.e. 4.23</td>
<td>Provide reason for support or opposition</td>
<td>Note support or oppose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waimakariri District Council</td>
<td>94.13</td>
<td>The Christchurch City Council supports the inclusion of a definition of community water supply, needs to be defined and recognised as comprise for community drinking water supplies and also other uses such as stockwater supply, and supply to institutional, industrial, processing, and fire fighting</td>
<td>Support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waimakariri District Council</td>
<td>94.15, 16, 17,18</td>
<td>Amending the Objective 4.14, Policy 4.4, Policy 4.46, Policy 4.61 to ensure that the provisions apply to all community water supplies regardless of their size, not just community and group drinking water supplies. This will provide recognition to the importance of maintaining water quality for all drinking water resources.</td>
<td>Support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waimakariri District Council</td>
<td>94. 25</td>
<td>Policy 4.9. The inclusion of the words <em>There are no direct discharges to surface water bodies or groundwater except in extreme rainfall events:</em> The submission on Policy 4.9 supports Christchurch City Council own submission which highlights the need to recognise that there will always be some level of overflows and hence discharge form sewer systems, and the provisions in the LWRP should reflect this.</td>
<td>Support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waimakariri District Council</td>
<td>94.32</td>
<td>The Christchurch City Council supports the change to Policy 4.13 with regard to the changes to 4.13(c) to read &quot;how any discharge of stormwater, treated or untreated, into water or onto land where it may enter water meets or will meet in an appropriate timeframe the water quality outcomes for that waterbody set out in Sections 6-15 or Table 1 (whichever applies), and&quot; This recognises that meeting the water quality standards may not be achievable immediately, but that progressive improvement towards the specific standards accepted.</td>
<td>Support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaikoura District Council</td>
<td>125.20</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>Support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waitaki District Council</td>
<td>157.27</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>Support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timaru District Council</td>
<td>160.21</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>Support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Council</td>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Text</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waimakariri District Council</td>
<td>94.34</td>
<td>The submission seeks to amend rule 5.73 to make an activity that is non-complying with Rule 5.72 as a permitted activity, a discretionary activity, or amending the rules so that if the activity does not comply with condition 3(b) and 3(c) and condition 6 a non-complying activity and activities that do not comply with other conditions a discretionary activity. The City opposes this submission and considers it important to retain the non-complying status of the rule. Firstly, to ensure that the best environmental outcomes are achieved, and secondly to providing environmental and economic incentives for as many as possible discharges being covers within appropriate SMPs.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waitaki District Council</td>
<td>157.31</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canterbury Regional Council</td>
<td>167.15</td>
<td>The Christchurch City Council supports the amendment proposed for Policy 4.23. Any discharges of hazardous substances from contaminated land, including existing and closed landfills, shall be managed to ensure there are no adverse effect on people’s health or safety, on human of stock drinking supplies, or on surface water or groundwater. It is considered to be important to specifically recognise groundwater within this policy. In the Proposed LWRP, the policy could create the impression that as long as surface water is protected from contaminants human and animal water supplies will be protected. This is not the situation; groundwater must also be protected as the groundwater supplies much of region’s drinking water and can significantly impact on surface water quality.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canterbury Regional Council</td>
<td>167.24</td>
<td>The Christchurch City Council supports the amending of Rule 5.7 as this submission suggests. 1. Adding the “domestic” after “on-site” in the first line. This appears to have been an oversight in the rule writing. 2. Deleting condition 5 (Septic Tank Suitability Area”). The City considers that if all the other conditions are met there is no need for this condition to be included. 3. Adding two additional conditions: “The discharge shall not contain hazardous substances or hazardous waste; The discharge shall not result in wastewater being visible on the ground surface.”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Both of these proposed conditions will ensure that wastewater systems are being managed correctly and the environment, in particular surface waters and human health are being protected from adverse effects.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(1) I support or oppose the submission of:</th>
<th>(2) The particular parts of the submission I support or oppose are:</th>
<th>(3) The reasons for my support or opposition are:</th>
<th>(4) Support or oppose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name &amp; postal address of original submission</td>
<td>Submission point reference number i.e. 4.23</td>
<td>Provide reason for support or opposition</td>
<td>Note support or oppose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waimakariri District Council</td>
<td>94.13</td>
<td>The Christchurch City Council supports the inclusion of a definition of</td>
<td>Support</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
community water supply, needs to be defined and recognised as comprise for community drinking water supplies and also other uses such as stockwater supply, and supply to institutional, industrial, processing, and fire fighting

and

Any similar or consequential amendments to the PLWRP that stem from the submissions and general relief sought.

| Waimakariri District Council | 94.15, 16, 17,18 | Amending the Objective 4.14, Policy 4.4, Policy 4.46, Policy 4.47 and Policy 4.61 to ensure that the provisions apply to all community water supplies regardless of their size, not just community and group drinking water supplies. This will provide recognition to the importance of maintaining water quality for all drinking water resources.

and

Any similar or consequential amendments to the PLWRP that stem from the submissions and general relief sought. | Support |

| Waimakariri District Council | 94. 25 | Policy 4.9. The inclusion of the words

"There are no direct discharges to surface water bodies or groundwater except in extreme rainfall events of:"

The submission on Policy 4.9 supports Christchurch City Council own submission which highlights the need to recognise that there will always be some level of overflows and hence discharge form sewer systems, and the provisions in the LWRP should reflect this. | Support |

| Waimakariri District Council | 94.32 | The Christchurch City Council supports the change to Policy 4.13 with regard to the changes to 4.13(c) to read

"how any discharge of stormwater, treated or untreated, into water or onto land where it may enter water meets or will meet in an appropriate timeframe the water quality outcomes for that waterbody set out in Sections 6-15 or Table 1 (whichever applies), and"

This recognises that meeting the water quality standards may not be achievable immediately, but that progressive improvement towards the specific standards accepted. | Support |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Council</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kaikoura District Council</td>
<td>125.20</td>
<td>Support</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waitaki District Council</td>
<td>157.27</td>
<td>Support</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timaru District Council</td>
<td>160.21</td>
<td>Support</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waimakariri District Council</td>
<td>94.34</td>
<td>Oppose</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waitaki District Council</td>
<td>157.31</td>
<td>Oppose</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canterbury Regional Council</td>
<td>167.15</td>
<td>Support</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canterbury Regional Council</td>
<td>167.24</td>
<td>Support</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The submission seeks to amend rule 5.73 to make an activity that is non-complying with Rule 5.72 as a permitted activity, a discretionary activity, or amending the rules so that if the activity does not comply with condition 3(b) and 3(c) and condition 6 a non-complying activity and activities that do not comply with other conditions a discretionary activity.

The City opposes this submission and considers it important to retain the non-complying status of the rule. Firstly, to ensure that the best environmental outcomes are achieved, and secondly to providing environmental and economic incentives for as many as possible discharges being covers within appropriate SMPs.

The Christchurch City Council supports the amendment proposed for Policy 4.23

* 4.23 Any discharges of hazardous substances from contaminated land, including existing and closed landfills, shall be managed to ensure there are no adverse effect on people’s health or safety, on human of stock drinking supplies, or on surface water or groundwater.*

It is considered to be important to specifically recognise groundwater within this policy. In the Proposed LWRP, the policy could create the impression that as long as surface water is protected from contaminants human and animal water supplies will be protected. This is not the situation; groundwater must also be protected as the groundwater supplies much of region’s drinking water and can significantly impact on surface water quality.

The Christchurch City Council supports the amending of Rule 5.7 as this submission suggests.

1. Adding the “domestic” after “on-site” in the first line. This appears to have been an oversight in the rule writing.
2. Deleting condition 5 (Septic Tank Suitability Area). The City considers that if all the other conditions are met there is no need for this condition to be included.

3. Adding two additional conditions:

*The discharge shall not contain hazardous substances or hazardous waste;*

*The discharge shall not result in wastewater being visible on the ground surface.*

Both of these proposed conditions will ensure that wastewater systems are being managed correctly and the environment, in particular surface waters and human health are being protected from adverse effects.