

Steph Bennett

From: Peter Kingsbury <pjkingsbury@xtra.co.nz>
Sent: Wednesday, 14 November 2012 7:35 a.m.
To: Mailroom Mailbox
Subject: LWRP Further Submission
Attachments: CCC Further submissions LWRP.doc

Categories: Purple Category

EC207504-EC298496

SUBMITTER ID: F517



FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

Submitter ID:

File No:

Further Submission on Proposed Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan

Form 6: Further Submissions in support of, or in opposition to, submission on a Publicly Notified Proposed Policy Statement or Regional Plan under Clause 8 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991

Return your signed further submission by 5.00pm Wednesday 14 November 2012 to:

Freepost 1201 Proposed Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan
Environment Canterbury
P O Box 345
Christchurch 8140

Full Name: Peter Kingsbury _____	Phone (Hm): _____
Organisation*: CCC _____ <small>* the organisation that this further submission is made on behalf of</small>	Phone (Wk): 03 941 8487 _____
Postal Address: PO Box 73012 _____	Phone (Cell): 027 599 4615 _____
Email: peter.kingsbury@ccc.govt.nz _____	Postcode: 8154 _____
Contact name and postal address for service of person making further submission (if different from above): _____ _____	

Only certain people can make further submissions. Please tick the option that applies to you:

I am a person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest; or

I am a person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has (for example, I am affected by the content of a submission); or

I am the local authority for the relevant area.

<input type="checkbox"/>	I <u>do not</u> wish to be heard in support of my further submission; or
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	I <u>do</u> wish to be heard in support of my further submission; and if so,
<input type="checkbox"/>	I would be prepared to consider presenting your further submission in a joint case with others making a similar submission at any hearing

Service of your further submission:
Please note: any person making a further submission must **serve a copy of that submission on the original submitter no later than five working days after the submission has been provided to Environment Canterbury**. If you have made a further submission on a number of original submissions, then copies of your further submission will need to be served with each original submitter.

Signature: Signed Peter Kingsbury _____ **Date:** 14/11/12 _____

(Signature of person making submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making the submission)

Please note:
(1) all information contained in a submission under the Resource Management Act 1991, including names and addresses for service, becomes public information.

(1) I support or oppose the submission of:	(2) The particular parts of the submission I support or oppose are:	(3) The reasons for my support or opposition are:	(4) Support or oppose
<i>Name & postal address of original submission</i>	<i>Submission point reference number i.e. 4.23</i>	<i>Provide reason for support or opposition</i>	<i>Note support or oppose</i>
Waimakariri District Council	94.13	The Christchurch City Council supports the inclusion of a definition of community water supply, needs to be defined and recognised as comprise for community drinking water supplies and also other uses such as stockwater supply, and supply to institutional, industrial, processing, and fire fighting	Support
Waimakariri District Council	94.15, 16, 17,18	Amending the Objective 4.14, Policy 4.4, Policy 4.46, Policy 4.47 and Policy 4.61 to ensure that the provisions apply to all community water supplies regardless of their size, not just community and group drinking water supplies. This will provide recognition to the importance of maintaining water quality for all drinking water resources.	Support
Waimakariri District Council	94. 25	Policy 4.9. The inclusion of the words <i>“ There are no direct discharges to surface water bodies or groundwater except in extreme rainfall events of:”</i> The submission on Policy 4.9 supports Christchurch City Council own submission which highlights the need to recognise that there will always be some level of overflows and hence discharge form sewer systems, and the provisions in the LWRP should reflect this.	Support
Waimakariri District Council	94.32	The Christchurch City Council supports the change to Policy 4.13 with regard to the changes to 4.13(c) to read <i>“how any discharge of stormwater, treated or untreated, into water or onto land where it may enter water meets or will meet in an appropriate timeframe the water quality outcomes for that waterbody set out in Sections 6-15 or Table 1 (whichever applies), and”</i> This recognises that meeting the water quality standards may not be achievable immediately, but that progressive improvement towards the specific standards accepted.	Support
Kaikoura District Council	125.20	“	Support
Waitaki District Council	157.27	“	Support
Timaru District Council	160.21	“	Support

Waimakariri District Council	94.34	<p>The submission seeks to amend rule 5.73 to make an activity that is non-complying with Rule 5.72 as a permitted activity, a discretionary activity, or amending the rules so that if the activity does not comply with condition 3(b) and 3(c) and condition 6 a non-complying activity and activities that do not comply with other conditions a discretionary activity.</p> <p>The City opposes this submission and considers it important to retain the non-complying status of the rule. Firstly, to ensure that the best environmental outcomes are achieved, and secondly to providing environmental and economic incentives for as many as possible discharges being covers within appropriate SMPs.</p>	Oppose
Waitaki District Council	157.31	“	Oppose
Canterbury Regional Council	167.15	<p>The Christchurch City Council supports the amendment proposed for Policy 4.23</p> <p><i>“ 4.23 Any discharges of hazardous substances from contaminated land, including existing and closed landfills, shall be managed to ensure there are no adverse effect on people’s health or safety, on human or stock drinking supplies, or on surface water or groundwater.”</i></p> <p>It is considered to be important to specifically recognise groundwater within this policy. In the Proposed LWRP, the policy could create the impression that as long as surface water is protected from contaminants human and animal water supplies will be protected. This is not the situation; groundwater must also be protected as the groundwater supplies much of region’s drinking water and can significantly impact on surface water quality.</p>	Support
Canterbury Regional Council	167.24	<p>The Christchurch City Council supports the amending of Rule 5.7 as this submission suggests.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Adding the “domestic” after “on-site” in the first line. This appears to have been an oversight in the rule writing. 2. Deleting condition 5 (Septic Tank Suitability Area”). The City considers that if all the other conditions are met there is no need for this condition to be included. 3. Adding two additional conditions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>“The discharge shall not contain hazardous substances or hazardous waste;</u> <u>The discharge shall not result in wastewater being visible on the ground surface.”</u> 	Support

		Both of these proposed conditions will ensure that wastewater systems are being managed correctly and the environment, in particular surface waters and human health are being protected from adverse effects.	

Add further pages as required – please initial any additional pages.

Steph Bennett

From: Kingsbury, Peter <Peter.Kingsbury@ccc.govt.nz>
Sent: Thursday, 20 December 2012 2:49 p.m.
To: Mailroom Mailbox
Subject: LWRP Further Submission
Attachments: CCC further submission on ECan s LWRP. November 2012.DOC

Categories: Purple Category

EC111751

Submitter ID: F618

<<CCC further submission on ECan s LWRP. November 2012.DOC>>

Peter Kingsbury
Principal Advisor - Natural Resources
Strategy & Planning Group
Christchurch City Council
P O Box 73012
Christchurch Mail Centre
Christchurch 8152
Civic Offices
53 Hereford Street
Christchurch Central
Christchurch 8011
DDI (03) 941 8487
(03) 941 8999 (ext 8487)
Mobile (027) 599 4615
Fax (03) 941 8337
peter.kingsbury@ccc.govt.nz
www.ccc.govt.nz

This electronic email and any files transmitted with it are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed.

The views expressed in this message are those of the individual sender and may not necessarily reflect the views of the Christchurch City Council.

If you are not the correct recipient of this email please advise the sender and delete.

Christchurch City Council
<http://www.ccc.govt.nz>

Submitter ID No. 106

CCC further submission on ECan's PLWRP.

Please note that the changes to our original further submission are in **red text** and relate specifically to submission points 94.13 and 94.15, 16 17 & 18.

20 December 2012

Contact:

Peter Kingsbury

peter.kingsbury@ccc.govt.nz

027 599 4615

(1) I support or oppose the submission of:	(2) The particular parts of the submission I support or oppose are:	(3) The reasons for my support or opposition are:	(4) Support or oppose
<i>Name & postal address of original submission</i>	<i>Submission point reference number i.e. 4.23</i>	<i>Provide reason for support or opposition</i>	<i>Note support or oppose</i>
Waimakariri District Council	94.13	The Christchurch City Council supports the inclusion of a definition of	Support

		<p>community water supply, needs to be defined and recognised as comprise for community drinking water supplies and also other uses such as stockwater supply, and supply to institutional, industrial, processing, and fire fighting</p> <p>and Any similar or consequential amendments to the PLWRP that stem from the submissions and general relief sought.</p>	
Waimakariri District Council	94.15, 16, 17,18	<p>Amending the Objective 4.14, Policy 4.4, Policy 4.46, Policy 4.47 and Policy 4.61 to ensure that the provisions apply to all community water supplies regardless of their size, not just community and group drinking water supplies. This will provide recognition to the importance of maintaining water quality for all drinking water resources.</p> <p>and Any similar or consequential amendments to the PLWRP that stem from the submissions and general relief sought.</p>	Support
Waimakariri District Council	94. 25	<p>Policy 4.9. The inclusion of the words</p> <p><i>“ There are no direct discharges to surface water bodies or groundwater except in extreme rainfall events of.”</i></p> <p>The submission on Policy 4.9 supports Christchurch City Council own submission which highlights the need to recognise that there will always be some level of overflows and hence discharge form sewer systems, and the provisions in the LWRP should reflect this.</p>	Support
Waimakariri District Council	94.32	<p>The Christchurch City Council supports the change to Policy 4.13 with regard to the changes to 4.13(c) to read</p> <p><i>“how any discharge of stormwater, treated or untreated, into water or onto land where it may enter water meets <u>or will meet in an appropriate timeframe</u> the water quality outcomes for that waterbody set out in Sections 6-15 or Table 1 (whichever applies), and”</i></p> <p>This recognises that meeting the water quality standards may not be achievable immediately, but that progressive improvement towards the specific standards accepted.</p>	Support

Kaikoura District Council	125.20	“	Support
Waitaki District Council	157.27	“	Support
Timaru District Council	160.21	“	Support
Waimakariri District Council	94.34	<p>The submission seeks to amend rule 5.73 to make an activity that is non-complying with Rule 5.72 as a permitted activity, a discretionary activity, or amending the rules so that if the activity does not comply with condition 3(b) and 3(c) and condition 6 a non-complying activity and activities that do not comply with other conditions a discretionary activity.</p> <p>The City opposes this submission and considers it important to retain the non-complying status of the rule. Firstly, to ensure that the best environmental outcomes are achieved, and secondly to providing environmental and economic incentives for as many as possible discharges being covers within appropriate SMPs.</p>	Oppose
Waitaki District Council	157.31	“	Oppose
Canterbury Regional Council	167.15	<p>The Christchurch City Council supports the amendment proposed for Policy 4.23</p> <p><i>“ 4.23 Any discharges of hazardous substances from contaminated land, including existing and closed landfills, shall be managed to ensure there are no adverse effect on people’s health or safety, on human or stock drinking supplies, or on surface water or groundwater.”</i></p> <p>It is considered to be important to specifically recognise groundwater within this policy. In the Proposed LWRP, the policy could create the impression that as long as surface water is protected from contaminants human and animal water supplies will be protected. This is not the situation; groundwater must also be protected as the groundwater supplies much of region’s drinking water and can significantly impact on surface water quality.</p>	Support
Canterbury Regional Council	167.24	<p>The Christchurch City Council supports the amending of Rule 5.7 as this submission suggests.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Adding the “domestic” after “on-site” in the first line. This appears to have been an oversight in the rule writing. 	Support

2. Deleting condition 5 (Septic Tank Suitability Area"). The City considers that if all the other conditions are met there is no need for this condition to be included.

3. Adding two additional conditions:

"The discharge shall not contain hazardous substances or hazardous waste;

The discharge shall not result in wastewater being visible on the ground surface."

Both of these proposed conditions will ensure that wastewater systems are being managed correctly and the environment, in particular surface waters and human health are being protected from adverse effects.