## SUBMITTER ID: F505



**Regional Plan** 

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY			
FILE REF: Plan-LWRP-DRP			
DOCUMENT No .:	-	SU	-5
1288 83 1 3 NOV 2012	ACTION	INFO	
Submitter ID:	-	1	
File No:	4	7	
EC 133 L 59 - EC 2926	30	S	

Form 6: Further Submissions in support of, or in opposition to, submission on a Publicly Notified Proposed Policy Statement or Regional Plan under Clause 8 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991

Return your signed further submission by 5.00pm Wednesday 14 November 2012 to:

Freepost 1201 Proposed Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan Environment Canterbury P O Box 345 Christchurch 8140

Further Submission on Proposed

**Canterbury Land and Water** 

Full Name: Christopher Balfour Clarke	Phone (Hm): 03 383 0287			
Organisation*: <u>Clarke Goldie and Partners</u> * the organisation that this further submission is made on behalf of	Phone (Wk): 021 722 948			
Postal Address: 47 Anglesea Avenue,	Phone (Cell): 021 722 948			
Parklands, Christchurch	Postcode: 8083			
Email:chris@clarkegoldie.co.nz	Fax:			
Contact name and postal address for service of person making further submission (if different from above):				

Only certain people can make further submissions. Please tick the option that applies to you:

I am a person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest; or

I am a person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has (for example, I am affected by the content of a submission); or

I am the local authority for the relevant area.

I <u>do not</u> wish to be heard in support of my further submission; or I <u>do</u> wish to be heard in support of my further submission; and if so, I would be prepared to consider presenting your further submission in a joint case with others making a similar submission at any hearing

## Service of your further submission:

X

 $\square$ 

Please note: any person making a further submission must serve a copy of that submission on the original submitter no later than five working days after the submission has been provided to Environment Canterbury. If you have made a further submission on a number of original submissions, then copies of your further submission will need to be served with each original submitter.

Signature: Chit & Chit Date Date	<u>7-11-12</u>		
(Signature of person making submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making the submission)			
Please note:			
(1) all information contained in a submission under the Resource Management Act 1991, including names and addresses for service, becomes public information.			

(1) I support or oppose the submission of:	(2) The particular parts of the submission I support or oppose are:	(3) The reasons for my support or opposition are:	(4) Support or oppose
Name & postal address of original submission	Submission point reference number i.e. 4.23	Provide reason for support or opposition	Note support or oppose
Mr & Mrs P K & A E Jarmar	14.3	We oppose retaining Rule 5.87 as currently	Oppose in part
Essendon Road, RD 1, Darf	ield 7571	worded, while supporting the intention	
		of the rule in general.	
Mr E Moorhead	15.3	The current wording of condition 2 captures	Oppose in part
Wolfes Road, RD 4, Christ	church 7674	water takes located < 20 metres from a	
		boundary that were originally permitted	
Mr S Hampton	24.3	activities under the Transitional Regional	Oppose in part
1269 Back Track, RD 12, R	akaia 7782	Plan (TRP). To provide bore owners with	
		protection from neighbouring permitted	
Mr F Luporini	36.3	water takes the TRP placed a 100 metre	Oppose in part
721 Sleemans Road, RD 2,	Darfield 7572	setback distance between bores.	
		We consider a setback distance between	
Mr M Nerea	37.3	wells is an appropriate protection,	Oppose in part
59 Clifford Road, Merival	е,	whereas the current wording will	
Christchurch 8014		unnecessarily penalise and disadvantage	
		otherwise permitted activities.	
Mr C Burt	42.3	We submit that an amendment to condition 2	Oppose in part
PO Box 8, Leeston 7656		is appropriate, replacing the reference to	
NJ & MJ Brooks	83.3	site boundaries with a setback distance	Oppose in part
Barford Road, RD 8,		between bores on neighbouring properties.	
Ashburton 7778			

Add further pages as required – please initial any additional pages.

(1) I support or oppose the submission of:	(2) The particular parts of the submission I support or oppose are:	(3) The reasons for my support or opposition are:	(4) Support or oppose
Name & postal address of original submission	Submission point reference number i.e. 4.23	Provide reason for support or opposition	Note support or oppose
Fitzallan Park Limited	138.3	We oppose retaining Rule 5.87 as currently	Oppose in part
Attention: Kenneth Swift,		worded, while supporting the intention	
PO Box 28042, Beckenham,		of the rule in general.	
Christchurch 8242		The current wording of condition 2 captures	
		water takes located < 20 metres from a	
Adam Environmental Ltd	216.6	boundary that were originally permitted	Oppose in part
Attention: Warwick Pascoe		activities under the Transitional Regional	
PO Box 177, Kerikeri 0245		Plan (TRP). To provide bore owners with	
		protection from neighbouring permitted	
Hydrotrader Ltd	223.3	water takes the TRP placed a 100 metre	Oppose in part
Attention Warwick Pascoe		setback distance between bores.	
PO Box 3132,		We consider a setback distance between	
Christchurch 7140		wells is an appropriate protection,	
		whereas the current wording will	
		unnecessarily penalise and disadvantage	
		otherwise permitted activities.	
		We submit that an amendment to condition 2	
		is appropriate, replacing the reference to	
		site boundaries with a setback distance	
		between bores on neighbouring properties.	

Add further pages as required – please initial any additional pages.