

Submitter ID 305

From: rod naish <rodacey@xtra.co.nz>
Sent: Friday, 5 October 2012 4:17 p.m.
To: mailroom@ecan
Subject: submission - waipara catchment
Attachments: Scan 106.jpeg

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

From: [redacted]
To: [redacted]
Subject: [redacted]
Date: [redacted]

Began forwarded message:

From: rod reish <rodreish@dmr.co.nz>
Subject: submission re waipara
Date: 5 October 2012 4:03:02 PM NZDT
To: nrc@canter.govt.nz

P 1

**Resource Management (Forms, Fees and Procedures) Regulations - Schedules 2003
Form 5**

**Clause 6 of the First Schedule, Resource Management Act 1991
Submission on a publicly notified proposal for a plan**

To: Environment Canterbury
PO Box 345
Christchurch

Name of Submitter:

[insert name here] BLACK ESTATE LTD.

This is a submission on the following proposed plan - Proposed Canterbury Land and Water Regional

I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

The specific provisions of the proposal that my submission relates to is:

PROVISIONS 4.28 to 4.34; Rules 5.42 and 5.43, Rules 5.46 and 5.49 and Rules 5.50 and 5.51; and Nutrient Zone map on p4-8, as they apply to the Waipara Catchment.

My submission is:

I oppose the provisions as they apply to the Waipara Catchment.

My reasons are:

- The plan provisions rely on periphyton indicators to identify catchments where water quality outcomes are not being met. The plan provisions then assume that the reason for water quality outcomes not being met is nutrient enrichment from land uses in the catchment. The plan provisions require significant reductions in nutrient discharges for both changes in land uses; and from 2017 for existing land uses which do not comply with the yet to be developed NBAs or the NDA is over 20kgN/ha/yr.
- This scenario is inaccurate for the Waipara Catchment. The Canterbury Regional Council's own technical reports (including Mosley 1994, Hayward 2003 and the staff technical report 2010) have repeatedly recorded the water quality in the Waipara catchment as generally very good, and identified the catchment as susceptible to periphyton growth during periods of prolonged low flow (below 100 l/s) in late summer. Factors affecting prolonged summer low flow have been attributed in various reports, with differing degrees of

Begin forwarded message:

From: rdi.nash@ndacey@stra.co.nz
Subject: submission - waipara catchment
Date: 5 October 2012 4:16:46 PM NZDT
To: rdi.nash@stra.co.nz

Black Estate Ltd. (cont')

P 2

certainly, to natural climatic conditions, afforestation in the upper catchment, willows, and abstraction.

- I understand from the Regional Council's own staff (Dr Tim Davie) that there is a high natural nutrient content in the river due to the geology of the upper catchment, particularly the Waipara Gorge.

- Given the land uses in the catchment (forestry, viticulture and dryland sheep and beef) it will be very difficult for landowners to significantly reduce their nutrient discharges. Even if they could, it will not result in the Waipara Catchment achieving the water quality outcomes set in the plan.

- The NRRP already has rules managing further afforestation in the catchment and these have been included in the proposed Land and Water Regional Plan. Abstraction in the catchment is managed through the Waipara Catchment Environmental Flow and Allocation Regional Plan which was heard and determined by the Canterbury Regional Council in 2011 and does not form part of this plan.

- The one remedy identified by the Hurunui-Wairau Zone Committee to relieve low flows in the Waipara Catchment is through the Hurunui Water Project Scheme either augmenting the river or as an alternative supply for abstractors. A significant portion of the Waipara Catchment is in the command area for that scheme. The proposed plan provisions work against this aspiration.

- Any change in land uses in the Waipara Catchment that increases nutrient discharges needs to be managed, to ensure it does not exacerbate periphyton growth. This issue can be addressed through a policy and rule construct suggested in this submission.

Therefore, I do not agree the policies and rules achieve the purpose of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) or give effect to the NPs for Freshwater or the Regional Policy Statement. In regard to these provisions, I do not believe the Council has fulfilled its duty under s82 of the RMA to be satisfied that the provisions are the most appropriate to achieve the plan's objectives.

I seek the following decisions from the Council:

As a first preference, delete the provisions for managing nutrient discharges from the Waipara Catchment from this plan and address the matter through the sub-regional plan section in due course.

As a second preference, Reclassify the Waipara Catchment from Red Zone to Blue Zone (unclassified); or

Develop a new classification for catchments such as the Waipara where water quality outcomes for periphyton are not being met but which the predominant cause is not nutrient discharges from land uses.

Introduce a new policy which reads:
In the Blue/X Zone, to ensure any change in land uses and associated increase in nutrient discharges do not, singularly or cumulatively, exacerbate periphyton growth or any other water quality issues in the catchment.

From: [redacted]
To: [redacted]
Subject: [redacted]
Date: Thursday, 11 October 2012 7:27:06 am

Begin forwarded message:

From: rod naish <rodnaish@xtra.co.nz>
Subject: submission waipara catchment
Date: 5 October 2012 4:20:28 PM NZST
To: rnaish@xtra.co.nz

P 3

Black Estate Ltd (cont')

Amend the status for the change of land uses from non-complying to restricted discretionary activity and apply the discretion from Rule 5.47.

Any consequential amendments required to give effect to this submission.

I do wish to be heard in support of my submission. ✓

I/we are/are not prepared to make a joint case with other parties. ✓

Signature of Submitter (or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter)

Black Estate Ltd. *G. R. Naish*
Director

Date: 5th OCTOBER 2012

G. R. NAISH.

Address for Service of Submitter:

(include postal address for where you want your correspondence to come to, fax, e-mail, and name of contact person)

G. R. NAISH.
BLACK ESTATE LTD.,
614 OMHI RD
R. D 3
AMBERLEY.

rodnaish@xtra.co.nz
027 504 7071
03 304 7071.