**Submission on Proposed Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan**

Form 5: Submissions on a Publicly Notified Proposed Policy Statement or Regional Plan under Clause 6 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991

Return your signed submission by 5.00pm Friday 5 October 2012 to:

Freepost 1201 Proposed Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan
Environment Canterbury
P O Box 345
Christchurch 8140

---

**Full Name:** Anakelle Margaret Edwards

**Organisation:** N/A

* the organisation that this submission is made on behalf of

**Postal Address:** P.O. Box 11013

Chch's Church Airport

**Email:**

Contact name and postal address for service of person making submission (if different from above):

Anakelle M. Edwards

---

**Trade Competition**

Pursuant to Clause 6 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991, a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission may make a submission only if directly affected by an effect of the proposed policy statement or plan that:

a) adversely affects the environment; and

b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

Please tick the sentence that applies to you:

- [X] I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission; or
- [ ] I could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

*If you have ticked this box please select one of the following:*

- [ ] I am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that adversely affects the environment and does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
- [ ] I am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that adversely affects the environment and does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

**Signature:** Anakelle M. Edwards

**Date:** 5/10/2012

(Signature of person making submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making the submission)

Please note:

1. All information contained in a submission under the Resource Management Act 1991, including names and addresses for service, becomes public information.

- [ ] I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission; or
- [X] I do wish to be heard in support of my submission; and if so,
  - [ ] If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
Form 5 - Submission on a publicly notified Proposed Canterbury Land Use Regional Plan.

1. The specific provisions of the Proposed Plan that my submission relates to are.

2. My submission is that: (include whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended and the reasons for your views.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section &amp; Page Number</th>
<th>Sub-section/Point</th>
<th>Oppose/support (in part or full)</th>
<th>Reasons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>My submission is set out on two separate pages, as it written as an argument/discussion on the overall impact of the Plan, and my request that WCOs be reinstated in their previous form; councillors are brought back to ECan; and various other aspects are considered very seriously.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. I seek the following decisions from Environment Canterbury. (Please give precise details for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand your concerns.)

Add further pages as required – please initial any additional pages.

[Handwritten signature]

[Handwritten date]
Submission (partial) on Re WCC
Proposed Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan.

The 2012 ECan Act gave the ECan commissioners special powers, bypassed the Resource Management Act (RMA), and did a local rewriting of how Water Conservation Orders (WCOs) apply here in Canterbury.

The "Democracy on Hold" article by John McGone in The Press of Saturday, September 29, 2012, explains what the ECan commissioners have done and what they apparently intend to do. It also includes some explanations of why the promised return of democracy has not eventuated.

One of these explanations is not among the ones given by the commissioners. It has to do with the alterations they have made to the Water Conservation Orders.

WCOs were weakened by replacing their preservation purpose with, instead, a sustainable-management purpose that requires them to look to the principles of the Canterbury Water Management Strategy.

In John McGone's article he quotes Eugene Sage, Green MP and former ECan councillor: "Sage says the words matter because they enshrine a right to exploit WCO-protected rivers such as the Rakaia. The game shifts to arguing only balance"—(between, presumably, a reduced degree of protection and the push for much intensified agricultural production).
The usual rights of appeal to the Environment Court have been suspended, so that whatever the commissioners decide is final. "Objectors cannot dispute principles, only argue technicalities in the High Court."

"The powers (taken by the commissioners) snuffed out much of the potential for debate on factual issues, like what are the appropriate nutrient levels; is it right to have only X metres of riparian buffer; what is the substantive basis for the stock-access rules. All these sorts of issues have been struck out of the process" (unlike elsewhere in New Zealand).

Under the Water Conservation Orders as they were before the commissioners altered them, Canterbury's rivers, lakes and groundwater reserves had the special status of National Parks and the Environment Court was the forum in which to attempt to defend these precious resources from degradation. Interested parties could oppose allocation and/or contamination, or at least plead for a reduced effect.

Canterbury's rivers, lakes and groundwater resources need to be given back their form WCO protection and this needs to happen before the implementation of the commissioner's grand plans.

There are some more points I wish to raise, but I have not enough time.
Left @ Fendale Library
@ 5 to 5 Friiday 5/10