Submission on Proposed Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan

Form 5: Submissions on a Publicly Notified Proposed Policy Statement or Regional Plan under Clause 6 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991

Return your signed submission by 5.00pm Friday 5 October 2012 to:
Freepost 1201 Proposed Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan
Environment Canterbury
P O Box 345
Christchurch 8140

Full Name: Samuel Christian Nevin
Organisation*: * the organisation that this submission is made on behalf of
Postal Address:
Email: scnevin@gmail.com
Contact name and postal address for service of person making submission (if different from above):

Phone (Hm): Phone (Wk): Phone (Cell): 027 698 7339
Fax:
Postcode:

Trade Competition

Pursuant to Clause 6 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991, a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission may make a submission only if directly affected by an effect of the proposed policy statement or plan that:
a) adversely affects the environment; and
b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

Please tick the sentence that applies to you:

☑ I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission; or
☐ I could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

If you have ticked this box please select one of the following:

☐ I am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that adversely affects the environment and does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
☐ I am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that adversely affects the environment and does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

Signature: __________________________ Date: 5 October 2012

(Signature of person making submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making the submission)

Please note:
(1) all information contained in a submission under the Resource Management Act 1991, including names and addresses for service, becomes public information.

☐ I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission; or
☒ I do wish to be heard in support of my submission; and if so,
☒ If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section &amp; Page Number</th>
<th>Sub-section/Point</th>
<th>Oppose/support (in part or full)</th>
<th>Reasons</th>
<th>(3) I seek the following decisions from Environment Canterbury: (Please give precise details for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand your concerns.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5-23</td>
<td>Rule 5.98</td>
<td>Oppose</td>
<td>Surface water allocation &amp; flow limits established now can not account for future changes in values, demands &amp; technology. There may be unique cases in the future where it is appropriate to allocate surface water above the limits provided for in sections 5-15 e.g., to use in storage during high flows.</td>
<td>I seek that Rule 5.98 be a non-complying activity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-25</td>
<td>Rule 5.14</td>
<td>Oppose</td>
<td>The groundwater allocation limits established in the OWRP are interim management limits only. As such they should be managed in this manner. ECan should allow for the ability to apply for consent where in rare situations it can be shown that effects will be no more than minor.</td>
<td>I seek that Rule 5.14 be changed to a non-complying activity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-30</td>
<td>Rule 5.133</td>
<td>Oppose</td>
<td>ECan should consider cases where it is completely impractical to comply with this rule &amp; where effects will be no more than minor e.g., crossings twice a year where no practical alternatives are available.</td>
<td>I seek that Rule 5.133 be a non-complying activity.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Add further pages as required – please initial any additional pages.
Support

I seek that EC can include an "Rule and/or Table and/or Schedule outlining acceptable increases in nutrient loading and leaching on a per hectare basis arising from a "change" in land use in different each colour for the Nutrient Allocation Zones. The interim measure will be superseded by Schedule 13. This will provide council, the community, and consent applicants with some direction and certainty when land use changes are undertaken within Canterbury.

Section and page number: 16-21
Sub-section / rule: Schedule 13
Oppose / support: oppose
Decision sought: I seek that diversions of surface water are only included against a surface water allocation block from the point of diversion to the point of re-entry of the water back into the waterway.

Diversions are non-consumptive takes and thus should not be included against allocation outside of the diversion area. The proposed approach will mean water will not be able to be allocated to other potential abstractors, despite it being physically back in the waterway.

Reason

Samuel Nevin