Submission on Proposed
Canterbury Land and Water
Regional Plan

Form 5: Submissions on a Publicly Notified Proposed Policy Statement or Regional Plan under Clause 6 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991

Return your signed submission by 5.00pm Friday 5 October 2012 to:
Freepost 1201 Proposed Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan
Environment Canterbury
P O Box 345
Christchurch 8140

Full Name: Colin Hurst
Organisation*: Makikihi
Postal Address: RD Timaru
Email: colin.hurst@xtra.co.nz
Phone (Hm): 036895898
Phone (Wk):
Phone (Cell): 027 6895898
Postcode: 7971
Fax: 036895710

Trade Competition

Pursuant to Clause 6 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991, a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission may make a submission only if directly affected by an effect of the proposed policy statement or plan that:

a) adversely affects the environment; and
b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

Please tick the sentence that applies to you:

☑ I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission; or
☐ I could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

If you have ticked this box please select one of the following:

☐ I am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that adversely affects the environment and does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
☐ I am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that adversely affects the environment and does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

Signature: [Signature]
Date: 5/10/2012

(Signature of person making submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making the submission)

Please note:
(1) all information contained in a submission under the Resource Management Act 1991, including names and addresses for service, becomes public information.
I Support the submission from Federated Farmers

And also

Rule 5.52, page 5-14

Support in part.

Decision sought

1. Amend Condition 1 to read: There is no fertiliser discharged when there is water flowing on the surface of the land.

2. Amend Condition 2 to read: Fertiliser is not discharged directly into or within 5 metres of the bed of a permanently flowing river, lake, artificial watercourse or within 5 metres of a wetland boundary or any identified significant indigenous biodiversity site,

Reason

There are many different ways to apply Fertiliser to land

Drilling with seed through a seed drill, low pressure dripping on of liquid Fertiliser with a sprayer, spraying on of liquid Fertiliser, Appling by hand for spreading fertilizers in and around riparian plantings ,modern European designed Fertiliser spreaders with headland spreading capabilities (these are very accurate) Cropping farmers operate tram lining systems to give very accurate driving distances from the edge of the fields
Map 4 Nutrient Allocation Zones

Submission

Oppose

Decision sought

That the Nutrient Allocation Zone Map re done to include only nitrogen losses and is peer reviewed

Reasons

The overseer model only includes farm management activities that relate nitrogen losses and I question the science behind this map

General Statement

Mixed Cropping Farmers have a diverse rotation from year to Year and respond to market forces from time to time

Crop that I grow in any one year are winter wheat, spring wheat, spring barley, winter barley, peas, rape seed, oil seed rape, ryegrass seed, potatoes, carrot seed, beet seed, plaintain seed, sheep grazing, winter dairy grazing, brassica feed crops, pasture, milking cow grazing, fescue seed, mustard seed, general pasture grazing

With the outcome of having up to a 15 year rotation

This plan will make compliance, complicated and costly to administer for my farming operation

Colin Hurst  5/10/2012