Submission on Proposed
Canterbury Land and Water
Regional Plan

Form 5: Submissions on a Publicly Notified Proposed Policy Statement or Regional Plan under Clause 6 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991

Return your signed submission by 5.00pm Friday 5 October 2012 to:
Freepost 1201 Proposed Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan
Environment Canterbury
P O Box 345
Christchurch 8140

Full Name: Guy Spencer Wrigley
Organisation: Guy Spencer Wrigley Farms
Postal Address: 732 Lower Hook Road
Email: gujays@farmside.co.nz

Phone (Hm): 03 689 8635
Phone (Wk):
Phone (Cell): 027 603 3821
Postcode: 7978
Fax: 08 689 8638

Trade Competition

Pursuant to Clause 6 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991, a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission may make a submission only if directly affected by an effect of the proposed policy statement or plan that:

a) adversely affects the environment; and
b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

Please tick the sentence that applies to you:

☐ I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission; or
☐ I could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

If you have ticked this box please select one of the following:

☐ I am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that adversely affects the environment and does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
☐ I am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that adversely affects the environment and does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

Signature: [Signature]
Date: 5-10-12

(Signature of person making submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making the submission)

Please note:
1) All information contained in a submission under the Resource Management Act 1991, including names and addresses for service, becomes public information.

☐ I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission; or
☐ I do wish to be heard in support of my submission; and if so,
☐ If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
(1) The specific provisions of the Proposed Plan that my submission relates to are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section &amp; Page Number</th>
<th>Sub-section/ Point</th>
<th>Oppose/support (in part or full)</th>
<th>Reasons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>I support the elevated farm submission in this Plan and wish to speak in support of it.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(2) My submission is that: (Include whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended and the reasons for your views.)

(3) I seek the following decisions from Environment Canterbury: (Please give precise details for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand your concerns.)

Add further pages as required – please initial any additional pages.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section &amp; Page Number</th>
<th>Sub-section/Point</th>
<th>Oppose/support (in part or full)</th>
<th>Reasons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5-14 5.52</td>
<td>Oppose</td>
<td>Fertilizer setback distance</td>
<td>5 m set back.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>fertiliser application &amp; 5 m from flowing water or water bodies.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-14 5.52</td>
<td>Oppose</td>
<td>Condition 1(a) &amp; (b) not naturally occurring during high rainfall events</td>
<td>Modify these conditions as naturally occurring.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Ways</td>
<td></td>
<td>Not to include the natural flows of water running down the contours of a field which are only during rain events</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Add further pages as required – please initial any additional pages.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section &amp; Page Number</th>
<th>Sub-section/ Point</th>
<th>Oppose/support (in part or full)</th>
<th>Reasons</th>
<th>Environment Plan Auditor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5 - 11 5 - 39</td>
<td></td>
<td>Oppose</td>
<td>Overseer TM is a model devised for pastoral systems not mixed cropping so nitrogen loss only a best guess at best and a costly guess at that.</td>
<td>UCAN to abandon restrictions on farming and the inhibiting of unscientifically suggested costs on farming families.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 - 13 5 - 46</td>
<td></td>
<td>Oppose</td>
<td>3 years is a short for rolling average for cropping systems less than 20 kg/ha nitrogen. These are in an arbitrary figure.</td>
<td>Drop all restrictions to farmers changing farming activity!</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Add further pages as required – please initial any additional pages.