



Submission on Proposed Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY			
FILE REF:			
DOCUMENT No.			
116030		116030	
- 5 OCT 2012		ACTION	
L-L Spm		INFO	
Submitter ID: 0234			
File No: PLAN/LWRP/DRFT/6SU/2			
EC133411			

Form 5: Submissions on a Publicly Notified Proposed Policy Statement or Regional Plan under Clause 6 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991

Return your signed submission by 5.00pm Friday 5 October 2012 to:
 Freepost 1201 Proposed Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan
 Environment Canterbury
 P O Box 345
 Christchurch 8140

Full Name: Guy Spencer Wigley Phone (Hm): 03 689 8635
 Organisation: G. Spence K.D. Wigley Farms Phone (Wk): "
* the organisation that this submission is made on behalf of
 Postal Address: 732 Lower Hook Road Phone (Cell): 027 6033821
8 R.D., Waimate Postcode: 7978
 Email: gwigley@farmside.co.nz Fax: 03 689 8638
 Contact name and postal address for service of person making submission (if different from above):

Trade Competition

Pursuant to Clause 6 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991, a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission may make a submission only if directly affected by an effect of the proposed policy statement or plan that:

- a) adversely affects the environment; and
- b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

Please tick the sentence that applies to you:

I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission; or

I could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

If you have ticked this box please select one of the following:

I am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that adversely affects the environment and does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

I am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that adversely affects the environment and does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

Signature: _____ Date: 5-10-12

(Signature of person making submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making the submission)

Please note:
 (1) all information contained in a submission under the Resource Management Act 1991, including names and addresses for service, becomes public information.

<input type="checkbox"/>	I <u>do not</u> wish to be heard in support of my submission; or
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	I <u>do</u> wish to be heard in support of my submission; and if so,
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.

(1) The specific provisions of the Proposed Plan that my submission relates to are:		(2) My submission is that: <i>(include whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended and the reasons for your views.)</i>		(3) I seek the following decisions from Environment Canterbury: <i>(Please give precise details for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand your concerns.)</i>
Section & Page Number	Sub-section/ Point	Oppose/support (in part or full)	Reasons	
			<i>I support the Federated Farmers submission on this Plan and wish to speak in support of it.</i>	

Add further pages as required – please initial any additional pages.

Volume 1

(1) The specific provisions of the Proposed Plan that my submission relates to are:		(2) My submission is that: (include whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended and the reasons for your views.)		(3) I seek the following decisions from Environment Canterbury: (Please give precise details for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand your concerns.)
Section & Page Number	Sub-section/ Point	Oppose/support (in part or full)	Reasons	
5-14	5.52	Oppose	Opposis set back distance for fertilizer application be 5m from flowing water or water bodies.	5m set back. No requirement for Current Spreader Certification as no farmers are certified and modern equipment has headland management systems
5-14	5.52	Oppose	Condition 1 (a) + (b) are naturally occurring during high rainfall events	modify these conditions as naturally occurring.
Water Ways			Not to include the natural flows of water running down the contours of a field which run only during rain events	

Add further pages as required – please initial any additional pages.

Volume 1

(1) The specific provisions of the Proposed Plan that my submission relates to are:		(2) My submission is that: (include whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended and the reasons for your views.)		(3) I seek the following decisions from Environment Canterbury: (Please give precise details for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand your concerns.)
Section & Page Number	Sub-section/ Point	Oppose/support (in part or full)	Reasons	
5-11	5.39	oppose	Overseer TM is a model devised for pastoral systems not mixed cropping so nitrogen loss only a best guess at best and a costly guess at that!	ECAN to abandon restrictions on farming and the inflicting of unscientifically supported costs on farming families.
5-13	5.46	Oppose	3 years is to short for rolling average for cropping systems. of 20 kg/ha Nitrogen loss is an arbitrary figure. Overseer TM not designed to model a mixed cropping system. The use of Farm Environment Plan Auditor will add significant costs to our farming families families	Drop all the restrictions to farmers changing farming activity!

Add further pages as required – please initial any additional pages.