Submission on Proposed
Canterbury Land and Water
Regional Plan Volume 1

Form 5: Submissions on a Publicly Notified Proposed Policy Statement or Regional Plan under Clause 6 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991

Return your signed submission by 5.00pm Friday 5 October 2012 to:
Freepost 1201 Proposed Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan
Environment Canterbury
P O Box 345
Christchurch 8140

Full Name: Lesley Shand
Organisation*: 
* the organisation that this submission is made on behalf of
Postal Address: GPO Box 2306
  Chch Mail Centre
Email: 
Contact name and postal address for service of person making submission. (If different from above):

I live on a tributary of the Hurunui River named the Glencoe River which flows into the Mandamus Lake at Island Hills (Island Hills is on the Rolleston-Rahiri Road)

Trade Competition

Pursuant to Clause 6 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991, a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission may make a submission only if directly affected by an effect of the proposed policy statement or plan that:

a) adversely affects the environment; and
b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

Please tick the sentence that applies to you:

☐ I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission; or
☐ I could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

If you have ticked this box please select one of the following:

☐ I am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that adversely affects the environment and does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
☐ I am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that adversely affects the environment and does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

Signature: [Signature]
Date: 

(Signature of person making submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making the submission)

Please note:
(1) all information contained in a submission under the Resource Management Act 1991, including names and addresses for service, becomes public information.

☐ I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission; or
☐ I do wish to be heard in support of my submission; and if so,
☐ If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section &amp; Page Number</th>
<th>Sub-section/Point</th>
<th>Oppose/support (in part or full)</th>
<th>Reasons</th>
<th>(3) I seek the following decisions from Environment Canterbury: (Please give precise details for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand your concerns.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Add further pages as required – please initial any additional pages.
Lesley Shand's submission.

- Existing use is not written into the proposed plan. It should be. The RMA requires this. For any change to occur, change should not be by default.
- Why should new farmers bear all the costs of compliance? This should be spread across all farmers, and all dairy farm input should have to comply.

I support the section 4 Policies as I state.

4.9 Support, all.
4.10 a b c d.
I am concerned about e.
4.11 a, b. Please refer to microorganisms, eg. underground crustaceae, which are living organisms.

| 4.15 | I support |
| 4.16 |      |
| 4.17 |      |
| 4.18 | a b c |
| 4.19 |      |
4.20 I support

4.21 I support

4.22 "

4.23 "

4.24 "

4.25 

4.26 I support a b c.

4.27 "

4.28 It must not only be minimised but it must not occur in water that is fit for drinking or contact recreation.

4.29 I support but this must begin immediately (time not some time in the distant future)

4.30 I support also I consider this should be an audited process. The auditors must give no warning of their audit.

4.31 2017 is too far out. The time limit must be sooner. Even 2018 is too far out. 2014 would be best

4.32 I support

4.33 —

4.34 (b) I do not support unless there is an emergency like Ench's earthquake.
4.37 This must not be high.
otherwise I support

4.37 Support

4.39 4.40 4.41 4.42

4.43 I support.

4.44 I do not support 4.44
What is small scale.

4.45 I Support.

4.46 4.47 4.48 4.49
I do not support

4.50 I consider the Public
must be asked. This on a
case by case basis (Written
submissions) I would like
to comment.

4.51 I Support

4.52 I Support

4.53 Support 4.54 Support.

4.55 I do not support

4.56 Support

4.57 Support

4.58 Too much. I am concerned
4.59. I support the public must know where galleries exist (on paper) so we can monitor this.

4.60. Support. Our ephemeral rivers should not be over drawn.

4.61. a. One single point is not sufficient unless it is up river.
   b. Support.
   c. Support.

4.62. Support. a. b. c. d. e. f.

4.63. Support except (b) I do not support any water permits being transferred. It will make the land unavailable.

4.64. I understand native trees do not have the same effect as exotic trees (conifers).

4.65. Support.

4.66. Support.

4.67. Black River beds. Birds must be considered feeding nesting roosting.

4.68. Support.

4.69. Support. Transfer of Water Permits. 4.71 - 4.72 4.73

I only support this if it is for less than 5 years.

4.74. Support.

4.75. Why 2 years when everything else is 2017?

4.76. Support.
4077 Strongly support
4078 “
4079 4080 4081 4082 Support
Also Support 4083
4084
4085
4086
I support all

4090 Support
4091 Strongly Support
Natural Hazards. 4092 — 4093
I do not know enough about
Section 5
Rules. Reflect the Policies. I would like to comment on
the adequacy.

Schedule 15.
5 Hurunui River? This must not
5 Waiau River? No river bed birds nor
roosting
(Please note the letter of David Bedford 21 June 2011) I have not included it as it is on your files.

I DID NOT collect signatures only in 2006 I collected 600 then and a further 400 signatures by April 2009 I counted and this was verified by 2 JPs who counted them again. G Bennett in May 2006 and M Clark in April 2009 counted all the signatures again. So I had more than 1000 signatures.

I enclose the submission form that I personally collected the signatures on. More than 400 signatures were collected from the district.

The submission still holds and please regard this
CC Rt Hon. Helen Clark, H.D.Council.
To
Kesley Shand.

Hurunui Community Water Development Project
Working Group.

Dear Mr. Viles,

I have more than 600 signatures and of those 600 plus signatures more than 300 of the signatures were ones I collected from ratepayers or persons living within the district, ie Hurunui District Council's Area.

We are all united in stating we consider to take or use the water of the Upper Catchment of the Hurunui River, for the purpose of putting a weir or damming diverting or discharging into, is unacceptable and should be a prohibited activity.

We state the whole Upper Catchment of the Hurunui River, including Lake Sumner, the North and South Branches, all tributaries, lakes downstream to, and including, the Mandamus River are wild and scenic, in a natural state, and as a total, an outstanding landscape and natural system.

Further I add, I as a landholder on the Mandamus River have not been consulted with. I asked at the Hurunui District Council, the Mayor and councillors in a 'public meeting in the Long Term Council Community Plan in the first week of May 2006 for more information and the Mayor publicly stated he could not give me any more detail as he did not know either.

Yours Faithfully, Island Hills Kesley Shand
Culverden.
To Whom it may concern

I have a total of more than 1000 signatures. We are all united in stating we consider to take, or use the water of the Upper Catchment of the Hurunui River, for the purpose of putting a weir, or damming, diverting or discharging into is unacceptable and should be a prohibited activity.

We state the whole Upper Catchment of the Hurunui River including Lake Sumner, the North and South Branches, all tributaries, lakes downstream to, and including the Mandamus River are to us wild and scenic in a natural state, and as a total, an outstanding landscape and natural system.

600 plus signatures I collected prior to 12 May 2006, and I have collected more than 400 signatures since then, a total of more than 1000 signatures.

---

DECLARED AT CHRISTCHURCH
THIS 16TH DAY OF MAY 2009
BEFORE ME:

Mollie McKenzie Clark

J.P.

Yours Faithfully
Island Hills
1619 Teko Road
Edgewater

Desley Shand
We state the whole Upper Catchment of the Hurunui River, including Lake Sumner, the North and South Branches, all tributaries, all tributary lakes of the Hurunui River downstream to, and including the Mandamus River, are Natural State Waters, wild and scenic as a total an outstanding landscape and natural system. We consider to take or use the water of the Upper Catchment of the Hurunui River as described above for the purpose of putting a weir or damming, diverting or discharging into is unacceptable and should be a prohibited activity.
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This is Not a petition. Please treat each and every signature as an individual statement signature. The persons who represent these statements will speak for or represent the above signatories true to the statement above.