Submission on Proposed Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan

Form 5: Submissions on a Publicly Notified Proposed Policy Statement or Regional Plan under Clause 6 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991

Return your signed submission by 5.00pm Friday 5 October 2012 to:
Freepost 1201 Proposed Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan
Environment Canterbury
P O Box 345
Christchurch 8140

Full Name: James Norman Jolly
Organisation*: Jolly Consulting Ltd
* the organisation that this submission is made on behalf of
Postal Address: 30 Kalamuher Road
R D 21 Geraldine
Email: j.jollyconsulting@xtra.co.nz

Trade Competition

Pursuant to Clause 6 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991, a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission may make a submission only if directly affected by an effect of the proposed policy statement or plan that:

a) adversely affects the environment; and
b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

Please tick the sentence that applies to you:

☐ I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission; or
☐ I could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

If you have ticked this box please select one of the following:

☐ I am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that adversely affects the environment and does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
☐ I am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that adversely affects the environment and does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

Signature: James Norman Jolly
Date: 4 October 2012

(Signature of person making submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making the submission)

Please note:
(1) All information contained in a submission under the Resource Management Act 1991, including names and addresses for service, becomes public information.

☐ I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission; or
☐ I do wish to be heard in support of my submission; and if so,
☐ If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section &amp; Page Number</th>
<th>Sub-section/Point</th>
<th>Oppose/support (in part or full)</th>
<th>Reasons</th>
<th>Additions/Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.2.2.3</td>
<td>Para 8</td>
<td>Oppose in part</td>
<td>Unbalanced comment on forestry in dry upper catchments</td>
<td>Add: Forestry alternative, flood prevention, soil erosion, improved aquatic habitat in 3rd stage of 30 year rotation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>Oppose in part</td>
<td>Inadequate remedial action that conflicts with NPS Freshwater Management Plan Objective B1 and B2 Policies B5 and B6</td>
<td>Add: “over-allocation must be phased out in a defined timeframe”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>Support</td>
<td>Complies with NPS</td>
<td>Amend 1.2.6 as above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.4.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>Oppose in full</td>
<td>New or replacement with allocation must not be based on need and efficiency of over-allocation and restoration of adequate environmental flow</td>
<td>After wording to “new or replacement consent should be based on diminution of over-allocation and restoration of adequate environmental flow”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.4.12</td>
<td></td>
<td>Oppose in full</td>
<td>Dams on lower Opari would interfere with flushing flows and flow variability essential for the ecology of the river</td>
<td>Daming of lower Opari becomes a prohibited activity in with upper Opari. See definition of dam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.5.2</td>
<td>Lower Opari</td>
<td>Full</td>
<td>with flushing flows and flow variability essential for the ecology of the river</td>
<td>3 years from 1st May - Dec 400 L/s 2030 (30 years from 1990’s consent)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>Minimum flows</td>
<td>Oppose in full</td>
<td>August to December should be in higher flow bracket as critical for native period of migratory birds, including 3 threatened species see Fishing for Non-Flow Regime - Essential Laying accordingly 85 days at SHI 5572 from current 85 days to “Natural” (pre-consent) 45 days</td>
<td>1500 L/s. Minimum flows for 85 days to “Natural” (pre-consent) 45 days to “Natural” (pre-consent) 45 days</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Add further pages as required – please initial any additional pages.