

Submission on Proposed Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan

THE RESIDENCE OF THE PROPERTY					
EC TIM	e constitution of the contract	THE PERSON NAMED OF			
FILE REF:					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY					
LOCOMENT NO.	-				
1111200		100			
11-41-110	-				
-5 OCT 2012	0	01			
3 001 2012	ahera maa	111.			
115096 3pm	0	2			
113016	V				
Control of the Contro					
Comments and the contract of t		T-STATE OF THE STATE OF THE STA			
NO MERCHANISM CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF TH	-				
The same of the sa					
Submitter ID:	- 1				
Submitter ID.	THE PERSON NAMED IN	TO SERVICE STATE OF THE PERSON SERVICE STATE			
File No: PLAN/LWRP/DRFT/6SU/2					
1 116 NO. FLAN/LVVRP/DRF 1/050/2					
+1 1511-					
EC 151176					

Form 5: Submissions on a Publicly Notified Proposed Policy Statement or Regional Plan under Clause 6 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991

Return your signed submission by 5.00pm Friday 5 October 2012 to:

Freepost 1201 Proposed Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan Environment Canterbury P O Box 345 Christchurch 8140

Full Name:	Phone (Hm): 036939906 Phone (Wk): 036939906 Phone (Cell): 0211291390 Postcode: 7991 Fax:			
Trade Competition				
Pursuant to Clause 6 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991, a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission may make a submission only if directly affected by an effect of the proposed policy statement or plan that: a) adversely affects the environment; and b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.				
Please tick the sentence that applies to you:				
 I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission; or I could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission. If you have ticked this box please select one of the following: ☐ I am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that adversely affects the environment and does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition. ☐ I am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that adversely affects the environment and does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition. 				
Signature: Date: 4 October 2012				
(Signature of person making submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making the submission) Please note:				
(1) all information contained in a submission under the Resource Management Act 1991, including names and addresses for service, becomes public information.				
I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission; or				
I do wish to be heard in support of my submission; and if so,	t aggs with them at the leading			
If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a join	t case with them at the hearing.			

(1) The specific provisions of the Proposed Plan that my submission relates to are:		(2) My submission is that: (include whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended and the reasons for your views.)		(3) I seek the following decisions from Environment Canterbury: (Please give precise details for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier
Section & Page Number	Sub-section/ Point	Oppose/support (in part or full)	Reasons	it will be for the Council to understand your concerns.)
1.2.2.3	pera 8	Oppose in part	Unbalanced comment on foresting in	Add: Forester attenuates flash flooding.
			drag Upper Catcherents	reducer soil evocion, improves agretice
			·	habitat through 2 + of 30 gr. rotation
1.2.6	over-alloration	Oppose in part	Inadequate remedial action that conflicts	Add "over-alloration must be
			with MPS Freshwater Monagoment 2011	shared out in a defined timeframe
1 -			Objective bi and BZ, Polisies B5 and B6	0 0
. /	over-allowing	11	Complier with NPS	Anend 1.2.6 as above
14-4.6	new consent	Oppure in full	New or replacement water allocation consent	Allex wording to new or replacement
	Ovari hier		should not be based on need and efficiency	consent should be based on dimination
			•	of over- aloration and restoration
115	0	, ,		of adequate encommented flow!"
14.4.12 +14.5.2	Lower Drain	Oppose in	Daming of Lower Overi would interfue	Daming of Louis Ovari becomes
*145.L	Louis Viere	foll	with flushing flows, and flow varieticlety	a prohilieted activity as with
166	Minimum	000	essential for the ocologes of the rice	respect Over See definition of dam
V 5 C	Flows	oppose in	August to Recember should be in higher	3 years from O.P. May - Dec: 900 Us
	1 600	para	flow tracket as cretical for resting	2030 (230 years from 1990's consent
			period of visabel had including	: 1800 L/S Monmen flows for
			3 threatened species See Rangitata	A permit. Adjust allocateon
			wico flow regime. Essential tolary ruses dogs at Siti-Sit 72 from current	accordia ela
			85 days to "Natural" (pre-consent) 45	Providing their minimum flows reduces
			days LECAN and Galler figures)	neinter of day niver days as
		Δ	dd further pages as required – please initial any additiona	inaccales

Add further pages as required - please initial any additional pages.