Submission on Proposed
Canterbury Land and Water
Regional Plan

Form 5: Submissions on a Publicly Notified Proposed Policy Statement or Regional Plan under Clause 6 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991

Return your signed submission by 5.00pm Friday 5 October 2012 to:
Freepost 1201 Proposed Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan
Environment Canterbury
P O Box 345
Christchurch 8140

Full Name: Miles Anderson
Phone (Hm): 03 6864832
Organisation: 
* the organisation that this submission is made on behalf of
Phone (Wk): 
Post Address: 152 Anderson Rd, Southburn
Phone (Cell): 027 4228048
Fax: 
K22 Jireau Postcode: 7972
Email: km.alexander@scorch.co.nz
Contact name and postal address for service of person making submission (if different from above):

Trade Competition

Pursuant to Clause 6 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991, a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission may make a submission only if directly affected by an effect of the proposed policy statement or plan that:

a) adversely affects the environment; and
b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

Please tick the sentence that applies to you:

☐ I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission; or
☐ I could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

If you have ticked this box please select one of the following:

☐ I am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that adversely affects the environment and does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
☐ I am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that adversely affects the environment and does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

Signature: [Signature]
Date: 2/10/12

(Signature of person making submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making the submission)

Please note:
(1) all information contained in a submission under the Resource Management Act 1991, including names and addresses for service, becomes public information.

☐ I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission; or
☐ I wish to be heard in support of my submission; and if so,
☑ If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
I am submitting in support of Federated Farmers submission.

The property I farm has been farmed by my family for 120 years and has had multiple uses over the years, intensive cropping, cattle grazing, sheep breeding/finishing, and lamb trading amongst others. Over 120 years under responsible stewardship the property has been steadily improved and has had extensive planting of trees for erosion/weed control and amenity value.

Currently the property is predominantly sheep breeding/ finishing with a small amount of cropping. However returns have diminished from sheep farming over the last decade and the ability to change land use, as previous generations have, needs to be maintained for the economic viability of the property.

The low nutrient limit that has been set severely impacts on the ability of the property to generate sufficient income to meet our obligations and raise our family. If this nutrient limit is enforced we will have fewer options and production will be limited. (Nitrogen inhibitors are excessively expensive and not a realistic option at this stage)

Essentially we will be placed in an economic strait-jacket that will have a negative impact on the community that we, and others who run similar systems, live in.

I urge Environment Canterbury to revisit the nutrient limits that have been set and to give farmers such as ourselves the ability to earn a living in a changing and dynamic world.