Submission on Proposed
Canterbury Land and Water
Regional Plan

Form 5: Submissions on a Publicly Notified Proposed Policy Statement or Regional Plan under Clause 6
of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991

Return your signed submission by 5.00pm Friday 5 October 2012 to:
Freepost 1201 Proposed Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan
Environment Canterbury
P O Box 345
Christchurch 8140

Full Name: Geoffray Charles Maw
Organisation: Farmer
Postal Address: 696 McCrorys Road
R D II Rakaia
Email: 
Contact name and postal address for service of person making submission (if different from above):

Trade Competition

Pursuant to Clause 6 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991, a person who could gain an
advantage in trade competition through the submission may make a submission only if directly affected by an effect
of the proposed policy statement or plan that:

- adversely affects the environment; and
- does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

Please tick the sentence that applies to you:

☐ I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission; or
☐ I could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

If you have ticked this box please select one of the following:

☐ I am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that adversely affects the
environment and does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
☐ I am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that adversely affects
the environment and does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

Signature: [Signature of person making submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making the submission]
Date: 2nd Oct 2012

Please note:
(1) all information contained in a submission under the Resource Management Act 1991, including names and addresses for service, becomes public information.

☐ I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission; or
☐ I do wish to be heard in support of my submission; and if so,
☐ If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
I hereby endorse the submission that Federated Farmers have made.

As a grower of arable and process crops It concerns me that you Ecan want to implement something which you don’t know how to.

A few points I would like to make.

* Restrictive farm nutrient budgets which won’t allow for a change of crops which require higher inputs without adversely affecting the rest of the property.

* The stffling effect it will have on farm development

* Extra Time and cost of monitoring pushing up our production costs without receiving any reward.
I would like to see plenty of consultation time taken to work through this issue before any rash decisions are made.

Geoff Maw
& C Maw