Submission on Proposed Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan

Form 5: Submissions on a Publicly Notified Proposed Policy Statement or Regional Plan under Clause 6 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991

Return your signed submission by 5.00pm Friday 5 October 2012 to:
Freepost 1201 Proposed Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan
Environment Canterbury
P O Box 345
Christchurch 8140

Full Name: Glen William Tait
Organisation*: Toit P/ship Ltd (Forming)
Postal Address: 817 Tinwalde WESTFIELD MANFIELD RD RD1 ASHBURTON
Email: glen-tad@gmail.com
Contact name and postal address for service of person making submission (if different from above):

Trade Competition

Pursuant to Clause 6 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991, a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission may make a submission only if directly affected by an effect of the proposed policy statement or plan that:

a) adversely affects the environment; and
b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

Please tick the sentence that applies to you:

☐ I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission; or
☐ I could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

If you have ticked this box please select one of the following:

☐ I am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that adversely affects the environment and does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
☐ I am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that adversely affects the environment and does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

Signature: __________________________ Date: 1/10/12

Please note:
(1) all information contained in a submission under the Resource Management Act 1991, including names and addresses for service, becomes public information.

☐ I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission; or
☐ I do wish to be heard in support of my submission; and if so,
☐ If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
(1) The specific provisions of the Proposed Plan that my submission relates to are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section &amp; Page Number</th>
<th>Sub-section/Point</th>
<th>Oppose/support (in part or full)</th>
<th>Reasons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5/87 5.38</td>
<td></td>
<td>OPPOSE (in full)</td>
<td>My main concern with this provision is with the use of OVERSEER as the model for establishing nitrate leaching. This model does not model effectively the farming system that we operate and is based mainly around pastoral dryland farming, therefore making it unsuitable for intensive mixed arable farming systems. While our industry has been assured that the model is being updated, that is a moot point as it has been adopted in its current form and the plan dictates that we must use it now to establish our nitrate losses for the 2011-13 period.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(2) My submission is that: (Include whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended and the reasons for your views.)

I support the measuring of nutrient losses but would urge Ecan to find more scientific means to do so or a more farming-type specific model to be used. OVERSEER needs to be scrapped from the LWIP.

(3) I seek the following decisions from Environment Canterbury: (Please give precise details for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand your concerns.)

Add further pages as required – please initial any additional pages.