

Christchurch 8140

Submission on Proposed Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan

SUBMITTER	ID!	0045

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		
EC - CHCH		7
FILE REF:		
DOCUMENT No.		
-4 OCT 2012	ACTION	INFO
Submitter ID:		
File No: PLAN/LWRP/DRFT/6SU/	2	
ECZS6728- ECIZUSII		

Form 5: Submissions on a Publicly Notified Proposed Policy Statement or Regional Plan under Clause 6 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991

Return your signed submission by 5.00pm Friday 5 October 2012 to:

Freepost 1201 Proposed Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan Environment Canterbury
P O Box 345

Phone (Hm): 03 302 8110 Full Name: Phone (Wk): 03 302 8110 * the organisation that this submission is made on behalf of TINWALD WESTERFIELD Phone (Cell): 027 588/ 83/ Postal Address: 8/7 Postcode: 777/ Fax: Contact name and postal address for service of person making submission (if different from above): **Trade Competition** Pursuant to Clause 6 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991, a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission may make a submission only if directly affected by an effect of the proposed policy statement or plan that: a) adversely affects the environment; and b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition. Please tick the sentence that applies to you: I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission; or I could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission. If you have ticked this box please select one of the following: I am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that adversely affects the environment and does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition. I am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that adversely affects the environment and does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition. Signature: Date: (Signature of person making submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making the submission) (1) all information contained in a submission under the Resource Management Act 1991, including names and addresses for service, becomes public information. I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission; or V I do wish to be heard in support of my submission; and if so, If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.

sion is that: (include whether you support or oppose the specific wish to have them amended and the reasons for your views.)	(3) I seek the following decisions from Environment Canterbury: (Please give precise details for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier
rt Reasons	it will be for the Council to understand your concerns.)
My Main concern with this phovision is with the use of OVERSEER as the model for establishing nitrate leaching. This model does not model effectively the farming system that we operate and is based mainly around pastwal dry land farming therefore making it insuitable for intersive Unixed arable farming systems. While our industry has been assured that the model is being uptlated, if that is a most point as if how even adopted in its current form and the plan dictate that we must use it now to establish our nitrate losses for the 2011-13 period	I support the measuring of nutrient losses both I would urge Ecan to find more scientific mean to do so or a more farming type specific mobbel to be used. OVERSEER needs to be scropped from the LURP.
	nitrate losses for the 2011-13

Add further pages as required – please initial any additional pages.