

SUBMITTER ID: 0044

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY			
EC - CHCH			
FILE REF:			
DOCUMENT No.		ACTION	INFO
113993			
- 4 OCT 2012			
Submitter ID:			
File No: PLAN/LWRP/DRFT/6SU/2			
EC13831-EC06702			



Submission on Proposed Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan

Form 5: Submissions on a Publicly Notified Proposed Policy Statement or Regional Plan under Clause 6 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991

Return your signed submission by 5.00pm Friday 5 October 2012 to:
 Freepost 1201 Proposed Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan
 Environment Canterbury
 P O Box 345
 Christchurch 8140

Full Name: Charles Richard George FORBES Phone (Hm): 03 3146891
 Organisation*: Maungatahi Farm Ltd. Phone (Wk): 03 3146891
* the organisation that this submission is made on behalf of
 Postal Address: Maungatahi Phone (Cell): _____
3RD Postcode: 7483
 Email: forbes@amuri.net Fax: _____
 Contact name and postal address for service of person making submission (if different from above): _____

Trade Competition

Pursuant to Clause 6 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991, a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission may make a submission only if directly affected by an effect of the proposed policy statement or plan that:

- a) adversely affects the environment; and
- b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

Please tick the sentence that applies to you:

- I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission; or
- I could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

If you have ticked this box please select one of the following:

- I am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that adversely affects the environment and does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
- I am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that adversely affects the environment and ~~does not relate~~ to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

Signature: [Signature] Date: 2/9/12
(Signature of person making submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making the submission)

Please note:

(1) all information contained in a submission under the Resource Management Act 1991, including names and addresses for service, becomes public information.

- I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission; or
- I do wish to be heard in support of my submission; and if so,
- If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.

1

WCF

(1) The specific provisions of the Proposed Plan that my submission relates to are:		(2) My submission is that: (include whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended and the reasons for your views.)		(3) I seek the following decisions from Environment Canterbury: (Please give precise details for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand your concerns.)
Section & Page Number	Sub-section/ Point	Oppose/support (in part or full)	Reasons	
MAP. A-037		oppose	springfed-plains classification of July (purple) shown in red zone, Map lower left, from Bain Rd to Waipara River very occasional rain flow in parts like at hill feed gullies. No spring feed now or in the past	Identify as Hill feed-lower (green)
Rule 5.29 (3)		Oppose all	Impractical total put on larger farms	delete Rule 5.29 (3)
Rule 5.125 4(a)		Oppose all	5m ³ gravel will not cover safety issues re drives, tracks, gateways, slopes	Amend volume to 50m ³
Rules 5.52 to 5.54		Oppose ^{part}	In part. Terms river a "wetland" uncertain.	River defined as-flowing, over 1 metre width.
Rules 5.133 to 5.137		Oppose ⁵		Wetland defined as-0.5 hectares or greater in size
Rule 5.162 (1)		Oppose all	Large farming operations and contractor on farms use/store greater volumes of petrol & diesel on farms now - Irregular or less deliver increases volumes on farm.	Amend volume to 500 litres
Rule 5.35 to 5.36		Oppose all	Sheep, cattle yards used for more than 30 days/year. No way to use on so few days, impractical. No alternative to handle stock outside these yards	Exclude sheep and cattle yards that are used for less than 120 days/year
Policies 4.27, 4.38		Oppose	Nutrient discharge allowances not known. Overseer not sufficiently accurate	Nutrient discharges below 20kg N/ha/yr are a permitted activity
Rules 5.39 to 5.42				
Rules 5.46 to 5.5.51		all.	Add further pages as required - please initial any additional pages. Landowner leaching < 20kg N/ha/yr similar obligations/losses to those exceeding this level.	Delay enforcement of other rules until the Hurunui/Waipara Zone Committee has fully considered the Waipara Catchment and reported its findings/recommendations.
Schedules 7 & 8				

Handwritten signature/initials

(1) The specific provisions of the Proposed Plan that my submission relates to are:		(2) My submission is that: (include whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended and the reasons for your views.)		(3) I seek the following decisions from Environment Canterbury: (Please give precise details for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand your concerns.)
Section & Page Number	Sub-section/ Point	Oppose/support (in part or full)	Reasons	
Rules 5.42 to 5.45 "change" p 2-5		Oppose definition	N loss to 30 June 2013 unknown. N loss vary between years naturally	"land use change" if N discharged is over 20kg/N/ha/yr averaged over whole farm. or application of irrigation water.
Policies A.28.434 Rules 5.42, 5.45, 5.46, 5.49 - 5.50 5.51 Nutrient Zone Map. P4-8 Waipara Catchment.		Oppose.	The Science sets a standard for periphyton that at times is exceeded naturally in the Waipara Catchment.	Delay the enforcement of any rules for managing nutrient discharges until the Hummell/Waipara Zone Committee has fully considered the Waipara Catchment and reported its findings & recommendations.
		I Support	any other amendments to	give effect to these submissions
		I Support	the retention of all other policies and rules as written	
<i>Handwritten signature/initials</i>				