Submission on Proposed
Canterbury Land and Water
Regional Plan

Form 5: Submissions on a Publicly Notified Proposed Policy Statement or Regional Plan under Clause 6 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991

Return your signed submission by 5.00pm Friday 5 October 2012 to:
Freepost 1201 Proposed Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan
Environment Canterbury
P O Box 345
Christchurch 8140

Full Name: ROBERT DONALD FENWICK
Organisation: Self
Postal Address: 33 EDINBURGH ST
WAIMATE 7924
Email: ____________________________________________
Contact name and postal address for service of person making submission (if different from above):

Trade Competition

Pursuant to Clause 6 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991, a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission may make a submission only if directly affected by an effect of the proposed policy statement or plan that:
   a) adversely affects the environment; and
   b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

Please tick the sentence that applies to you:

☐ I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission; or
☐ I could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

If you have ticked this box please select one of the following:

☐ I am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that adversely affects the environment and does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
☐ I am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that adversely affects the environment and does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

Signature: R.D. Fenwick
Date: 2 Oct 2012

(Signature of person making submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making the submission)

Please note:
(1) All information contained in a submission under the Resource Management Act 1991, including names and addresses for service, becomes public information.

☐ I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission; or
☐ I do wish to be heard in support of my submission; and if so,
☐ If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section &amp; Page Number</th>
<th>Sub-section/Point</th>
<th>Oppose/support (in part or full)</th>
<th>Reasons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4-6</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>Support. Recent examples of pollution touch upon the Canterbury Plains Water in priority.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-7</td>
<td>4.21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-7</td>
<td>4.22</td>
<td>Support. Hazardous substances to be addressed. More inspections of farms.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-7</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>Support. Nitrate discharges have increased. There needs to be records kept.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-8</td>
<td>4.39</td>
<td>Support. Instream values are very important. Dry rivers are no good to anyone.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-13</td>
<td>4.61</td>
<td>Oppose. Wetland restoration to odd abstractions. Why consider anything that enhances the environment?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-14</td>
<td>4.81</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Add further pages as required – please initial any additional pages.

Thank you for the opportunity to be involved.  

RD Forwick