Submission on Proposed
Canterbury Land and Water
Regional Plan

Form 5: Submissions on a Publicly Notified Proposed Policy Statement or Regional Plan under Clause 6 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991

Return your signed submission by 5.00pm Friday 5 October 2012 to:
Freepost 1201 Proposed Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan
Environment Canterbury
P O Box 345
Christchurch 8140

Full Name: Wayne O'Donnell
Organisation*: Archer Neil Bridging Services Ltd
Postal Address: P O Box 10 Geraldine
Email: Wayne@gbbridging.com

Trade Competition

Pursuant to Clause 6 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991, a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission may make a submission only if directly affected by an effect of the proposed policy statement or plan that:

a) adversely affects the environment; and

b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

Please tick the sentence that applies to you:

☐ I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission; or

☐ I could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

If you have ticked this box please select one of the following:

☐ I am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that adversely affects the environment and does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

☐ I am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that adversely affects the environment and does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

Signatures: __________________________ Date: 1/10/12

(Signature of person making submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making the submission)

Please note:
1. All information contained in a submission under the Resource Management Act 1991, including names and addresses for service, becomes public information.

☐ I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission; or

☐ I do wish to be heard in support of my submission; and if so,

☐ If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
The specific provisions of the Proposed Plan that my submission relates to are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section &amp; Page Number</th>
<th>Sub-section/Point</th>
<th>Oppose/support (in part or full)</th>
<th>Reasons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hares 5.78.1</td>
<td>Oppose</td>
<td>have major concerns over how accreditation will be implemented by who? and what policing will be performed a fee or auditing fee will only order no point issue boss the driving contractor will be liable if box is unsuitable due to wrong location ie feed zone or close to neighbouring house this is a major concern especially forVEC boxes 2. they do not permit to stock domestic 3. which stock boxes as they have little affect on other boxes</td>
<td>need a permit to fill or an injection boxes issued by E Can</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>